Old Broome Development Strategy

and Concept Plan for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore

Part 1



Prepared for Shire of Broome 2014

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Definitions & Terminology	3
1.3	Arrangement of this Report	3
2	Vision	5
2.1	Vision for Old Broome, Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore	5
2.2	A Vision for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore	5
3	Strategy Plan	7
4	Key Strategy Areas	9
4.1	Land Use	9
4.1.1	Introduction	9
4.1.2	Objectives & Principles	9
4.1.3	Strategies/Policy	9
4.1.4	Actions	10
4.2	Open Space	14
4.2.1	Introduction	14
4.2.2	Objectives & Principles	15
4.2.3	Strategies/Policy	15
4.2.4	Actions	15
4.3	Community Facilities	15
4.3.1	Introduction	15
4.3.2	Objectives & Principles	15
4.3.3	Strategies/Policy	15
4.3.4	Actions	16
4.4	Movement	16
4.4.1	Introduction	16
4.4.2	Objectives & Principles	16
4.4.3	Strategies/Policy	16
4.4.4	Actions	17
4.5	Natural Resource and Environmental Management	20
4.5.1	Introduction	20
4.5.2	Objectives & Principles	20
4.5.3	Strategies/Policy	20
4.5.4	Actions	20
4.6	Heritage	21
4.6.1	Introduction	21
4.6.2	Objectives & Principles	21
4.6.3	Strategies/Policy	21
4.6.4	Actions	21
4.7	Urban Form	22
4.7.1	Introduction	22
4.7.2	Objectives & Principles	22
4.7.3	Strategies/Policy	22
4.7.4	Actions	23

4.8	Utilities	23
4.8.1	Introduction	23
4.8.2	Objectives & Principles	23
4.8.3	Strategies/Policy	23
4.8.4	Actions	23
5	Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Concept Plan	23
5.1	Overview	23
5.2	Foreshore Concept Plan Elements	28
6	Implementation	33

Figures

Figure 1	Local Planning Strategy Precincts
Figure 2	Strategy Plan
Figure 3	Parking Configurations
Figure 4	Concept Plan
Figure 5	Concept Plan – Conti Foreshore Section
Figure 6	Concept Plan – Town Beach Foreshore Section
Figure 7	Concept Plan – Demco Foreshore Section

Photos referred to in text

Photo 1	Women of Pearling Statue
Photo 2	Pindan Cliffs and Mangroves
Photo 3	Pioneer Cemetery

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The Local Planning Strategy for the Shire of Broome identifies precincts within the Broome townsite (refer Figure 1) and anticipates the preparation of development strategies and/or design guidelines for the precincts in which substantial development or redevelopment is anticipated. The content of development strategies and design guidelines is adopted by Council as Local Planning Policies.

The development strategies are detailed strategies that set out the vision for an area; establish the land use planning and development framework for that area; and provide specific design guidance and controls to protect or enhance the sense of place. Development strategies may also outline opportunities for private development within the area. Development strategies are intended to guide development within the precincts and to assist Council in making recommendations to the Western Australian Planning Commission on subdivisions. Design Guidelines will similarly be utilised to assist in assessing subdivision and development applications.

As described in the Local Planning Strategy, Precinct 2 (Old Broome) contains the oldest parts of the Broome settlement along the shore of Roebuck Bay. Its location within Broome townsite is illustrated in Figure 1. Specifically, Precinct 2 includes the land bound by Frederick Street to the north, Herbert Street to the west, Roebuck Bay to the east and Reserve 51304 to the south of the Demco residential subdivision to the south.

The objective for Precinct 2 as stated in the Local Planning Strategy is to establish it as a mixed use area with an open form of development that recognises the historic character of the area. It is intended that there be diversity in the land uses provided within the precinct to include residential, offices, community services, tourist development and limited retail and that the cultural heritage, recreational and tourism values of the area be maintained. This development strategy has been prepared to provide more detailed guidance as to how this objective can be achieved.

The Old Broome Development Strategy sets out medium – long term planning directions for Old Broome over the next 10 - 15 years, a similar timeframe to that contemplated in the Local Planning Strategy. Included in the strategy is a concept plan for Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore. The concept plan outlines improvements to infrastructure and facilities within the public realm, with a particular focus on the area surrounding Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore.

The Old Broome Development Strategy was prepared by Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd for the Shire of Broome after consideration of various background reports and studies and initial consultation with local residents, agency stakeholders and the Shire during May and June 2013. It has been refined by the Shire of Broome to reflect the outcomes of the public advertising process for the Shire's Local Planning Strategy and Local Planning Scheme No. 6 which were adopted by Council in November 2013.



Figure 1 Local Planning Strategy Precincts

1.2 Definitions & Terminology

Following is a list of common terms and definitions applied throughout this strategy:

Old Broome Development Strategy (OBDS) Former Town Beach Development Strategy	The Shire's Local Planning Strategy categorises both Old Broome and Town Beach into the same precinct 'Precinct 2 – Old Broome'. Therefore, 'Old Broome Development Strategy' is a better suited title considering the strategy intends not only to guide development within Town Beach, but also Old Broome.
Town Beach and Conti Foreshore	This term has been introduced into the OBDS to better define Town Beach and extend its predetermined boundary beyond the extents of Apex Park.
	Town Beach: refers to the foreshore land extending south of the Catalina Apartments, down to the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park Site. The Lions Pioneer Park and Apex Park are both included within the extents of Town Beach.
	Conti Foreshore : refers to the foreshore land extending south of Moonlight Bay Apartments, down to Catalina's. Bedford Park is included within the extents of the Conti Foreshore.

1.3 Arrangement of this Report

The OBDS has been divided into two parts, to be consistent with the format used to prepare local planning strategies.

Part 1 – Strategy

This part contains:

- > A vision for Old Broome and a vision for Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore
- > The Strategy Plan, which is a diagrammatic representation of the key spatial elements of the strategy and will be used in assessing applications for rezoning, subdivision, and development within the Old Broome precinct.
- > Identification of key strategy areas, including:
 - Land Use;
 - Open Space;
 - Community Facilities;
 - Movement;
 - Natural Resource / Environmental Management;
 - Utilities;
 - Heritage; and
 - Urban Form
- > Objectives, Strategies and Actions for each of the key strategy areas;
- > A Concept Plan, which intends to convey graphically, in 2D, how the Roebuck Bay Foreshore south of Chinatown, and particularly the Conti Foreshore and Town Beach, could look if the actions for key strategy areas are successfully implemented. Accompanying the plan is explanatory text describing the illustrated elements.

Implementation. There are a variety of actions that will be necessary in order to implement the strategy. Some of these will be statutory, such as the adoption of the strategy as a local planning policy by the Shire of Broome, whereas others will be non-statutory but require action by the Shire or others.

Part 2 – Background Information and Analysis

This part contains:

> Context

This section briefly describes the role of Old Broome, and more specifically Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore, within Broome and explains the existing character of the built and natural environment.

> Challenges

This section investigates challenges which have informed the strategic recommendations in Part 1.

Opportunities

This section investigates opportunities which have informed the strategic recommendations in Part 1.

> Heritage

Old Broome is rich in cultural heritage, relating to both pre- and post-colonial settlement. The visible heritage (buildings, environment) helps define what is unique about Old Broome and its place in Broome's history, but equally there is a rich intangible heritage in the form of stories and spiritual beliefs that could, if appropriately interpreted, add immensely to the character and uniqueness of the area and how it is experienced by both residents and visitors. An understanding of the underlying heritage values is vital to appreciating the character of Old Broome.

> Commercial Demand Analysis

This section considers commercial demand in Broome using projections prepared by AEC Group to inform the Local Planning Strategy. It looks at areas of likely development throughout Broome, in particular Chinatown, Broome North, and Lots 1468 and 1655 Macpherson Street (located adjacent to the Boulevard Shopping Centre) and considers this in terms of projected commercial floor space need.

> Appendix A

The Outcomes Report prepared by Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd further to a stakeholder workshop held in May 2013 is reproduced as Appendix A.

> Appendix B

The indicative costings prepared by Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd for actions depicted on the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Concept Plan are included as Appendix B.

> Appendix C

The Community Engagement Plan which was adopted by Council at its March 2014 Ordinary Meeting is included as Appendix C.

> Appendix D

The Schedule of Submissions adopted by Council at its November 2014 Ordinary Meeting is included as Appendix D.

2 Vision

2.1 Vision for Old Broome, Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore

Prior to the preparation of this development strategy, a consultation workshop was held on Tuesday 28 May 2013 by Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd to determine future aspirations for the Old Broome Precinct. The workshop was attended by key stakeholders including residents, landowners, community organisations, businesses, government and service agencies, user groups and Shire of Broome Councillors and staff. The proceedings and outcomes of the workshop are summarised in a separate document which is included as Appendix A to Part 2.

During the workshop participants had the opportunity to consider opportunities and challenges for the Old Broome area in five categories – 'Infrastructure and Key Projects,' Cultural,' 'Buildings and Land Uses,' Natural Environment' and 'Sense of Place'. Whilst much of the focus seemed to be about issues specific to the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore and surrounds, some themes emerged that are applicable to the wider precinct, such as:

- Conservation and interpretation of the cultural heritage of the Yawuru, European and other cultural groups. Specific projects associated with this theme included better promotion of the museum; creating a historical precinct; creating a Yawuru Cultural Centre; and the Jetty to Jetty walkway, including along its route interpretation of the natural environment and both pre- and post-colonial cultural heritage
- Maintaining the 'Broome character'. Workshop attendees were divided on how much development was appropriate in the area. Some expressed a fear of 'over development' and 'Cairns style' high rises, others desired more retail and commercial development along the foreshore and criticised Broome for being known as a 'too hard town.' Most attendees, however, did share a vision that new development should be 'the right kind' – of a type and scale that is respectful of the 'Broome character'.
- Sood internal and external connections and easy access for both residents and visitors. Participants expressed a desire for improved pedestrian and cycle linkages throughout the precinct and along the foreshore. Specific projects including the reinstatement of the tram travelling between Chinatown and Town Beach and the Jetty to Jetty boardwalk. Some participants expressed the view that there are currently barriers to access and connectivity with the foreshore, such as the location of the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park.
- > Provision of Public Open Space. Attendees strongly desired public open spaces for recreation and social gatherings.
- Strong visual links to and along Roebuck Bay. The importance of preserving key viewsheds of Roebuck Bay such as along Hamersley Street, Guy Street and from the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park was sited by several attendees.

Based on the above, the following vision statement has been developed for Old Broome.

Old Broome will be a vibrant, accessible and equitable mixed use precinct meeting the needs of residents and visitors through development that is respectful of the rich cultural heritage and natural environment.

2.2 A Vision for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore

There has been a considerable amount of consultation with the Broome community regarding aspirations for the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore area and projects related to or affecting Town Beach and Conti Foreshore in recent years. Consistent themes have emerged regarding projects and activities that the community or parts of the community would like to see in the area, many of which are reflected in this strategy.

The Town Beach Cultural Plan (TBCP) was prepared in 2010 by Sharon Griffiths and Associates after extensive community engagement. The TBCP sought to describe, often through the words of community members, the 'sense of place' of Town Beach and the aspirations of the community for development in the area. It contained a plan for the foreshore which covered the area of reserved land south of the Catalinas to the edge of the Roebuck Bay Caravan

Park. It also included recommendations for the Water Corporation landholdings containing the museum, Sailmakers' shed and sewerage pumping station.

The TBCP describes the sense of place of Town Beach as 'easily accessible;' a place with a 'family friendly environment' where 'people mix freely.' The natural environment strongly informed the sense of place, with participants citing the tidal range, the refreshing breezes and the array of colours. The sense of place was further informed by the area's history – for some it was a connection to ancestors buried in the Pioneer Cemetery, for others 'a sobering reminder of World War 2.'

One participant aptly described Town Beach as 'a small, cosy oasis surrounded by bigger buildings and more people.'

In terms of the community's goals for future development at Town Beach, the TBCP describes some commonly held aspirations, including:

- > Retention of uninterrupted views to Roebuck Bay and access to breezes;
- > Open space for recreational use by the community (include family gatherings, night markets and community events) including picnic and barbeque areas, shady trees. green grass, and native plants;
- > The Old Jetty rebuilt to provide access for fishing and viewing;
- > Continued access for the launch and retrieval of small boats;
- > A coastal walkway around the Bay extending from the Port to Chinatown, with shading, seating, and interpretation in key locations;
- > A kiosk selling fish and chips, coffee, cool drink and ice cream, open for longer hours than a restaurant; and
- > Improvements to the area to be low-key and 'in tune' with the natural environment and landscape.

In the workshop held by Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd in May 2013, attendees shared many of the views expressed during the preparation of the TBCP, including:

- Improved low-key recreational opportunities creating meeting spots that are family friendly and open to all users, which may include an enclosed swim area and an expanded water playground;
- > A multimodal transport system, including a Jetty to Jetty boardwalk, improved pedestrian infrastructure, cycle paths, and a reinstated tram route;
- A rebuilt jetty with opportunities for fishing/boating; including the upgrade of parking and the existing boat ramp;
- > Stabilisation of erosion along the Roebuck Bay foreshore;
- Interpretation of cultural and environmental heritage, including better promotion of the museum and the creation of a 'heritage precinct'; and
- > Suitable space for outdoor markets to showcase Broome arts, crafts, food and locally produced goods.

The Town Beach and Cardno workshops highlighted the fact that people have differing perceptions of the qualities which make Town Beach unique. When asked to describe the personality of Town Beach in the Cardno workshop, the word's 'secretive', 'moody' and 'noisy' were suggested as both positive and negative characteristics. Some participants described the area as 'peaceful' and 'spiritual,' whilst for others it was seen as 'sociable' and 'active'. These differing views and perceptions are of equal value and have been fundamental in determining what shape and form Town Beach should take. It is important to note that the extent and suitability of some of the proposed projects reflect the 10-15 year time frame of this strategy, and build on the general aspirations set out within the TBCP.

A vision statement for Town Beach has been developed to reflect the multi-faceted characteristics of the area:

Town Beach will continue to be an inclusive place that brings people of all ages, cultures, and abilities together; that uses the land and sea so as to preserve Broome's history, culture, and environment; and that provides an array of recreational opportunities at a low-key scale, retaining the open vistas to Roebuck Bay.



Photo 1 Women of Pearling Statue

3 Strategy Plan

The strategy plan represents key spatial elements of the strategies identified in the below sections, and will be the key reference plan for guiding development within the Old Broome area.



Figure 2 Strategy Plan

4 Key Strategy Areas

The following sections establish key strategy areas and set out a series of objectives, strategies and actions for each area. These will form policy for Council to consider development applications.

Where relevant, actions included in the below sections have been depicted on the Concept Plan, and a more detailed description of these elements has been included in Section 5.2 – Foreshore Concept Elements

4.1 Land Use

4.1.1 Introduction

The underlying zones in LPS6 do not reflect the ultimate intention for Old Broome as described in the Local Planning Strategy. The LPS6 has a lifespan of 5 years as outlined in the *Planning and Development Act 2005*. This timeframe is considerably shorter than the Local Planning Strategy which has a 10-15 year lifespan.

Ultimately it is intended that the majority of Old Broome will be zoned Mixed Use, but it is not appropriate to apply this zone to the whole area. This is because there is not sufficient demand for such land and ad hoc development driven by individual land owners' aspirations is not consistent with orderly and proper planning. The zones in LPS6 reflect incremental progress towards the ultimate realisation of Old Broome as a mixed use area. Rezoning will take place over time in an orderly and spatially contiguous manner, not excessively in advance of demand.

The Shire will only support proposals within Old Broome that seek to vary the provisions of LPS6 or rezone the land if it is satisfied that the proposal is timely and supports realisation of the long term objectives for the area.

Under the Local Planning Strategy, the objective for Precinct 2 – Old Broome is to:

1. Establish Precinct 2 as a 'Mixed Use' area consisting of residential, tourist, and office uses in an open form of development that recognises the historic character of the area.

Old Broome is a large area and not intended to be homogenous. There will be parts of Old Broome more suitable for some uses than others and areas where there will be a greater emphasis on some types of activity than in others.

4.1.2 Objectives & Principles

- 1. For Old Broome to evolve over time into a mixed use are that complements but does not detract from the town centre functions of Chinatown.
- 2. For Old Broome to evolve into a mixed use area within which there are neighbourhoods with recognisably distinctive concentrations of activity.
- 3. That Old Broome be a focus for business tourism and for tourist activity focussed on Roebuck Bay and the history of the area.
- 4. For new development in Old Broome to be sympathetic to the existing character of the area.

4.1.3 Strategies/Policy

1. Establish eight land use areas as depicted on the Strategy Plan as follows:

Area A - Mixed Use Retail / Commercial;

Area B - Mixed Use Commercial / Civic and Administration;

Area C - Mixed Use Commercial / Retail;

Area D - Mixed Use Tourism / Residential;

Area E – Tourist;

Area F – Recreation;

Area G - Residential 1; and

- Area H Residential 2
- 2. Support commercial activation along key corridors, being Hamersley Street, Frederick Street, Carnarvon Street and Robinson Street south of Guy Street as depicted on the Strategy Plan.

- 3. Retail activity, in areas where supported, is to be small in scale with a focus on hospitality, tourist and convenience goods rather than comparison shopping, fast food or automotive related retail.
- 4. Ensure development within the Old Broome Special Character Area preserves the existing open character of the area.

4.1.4 Actions

1. The following preferred, not preferred, and inappropriate land uses shall be considered when assessing Development Applications within the relevant land use areas:

Preferred	Not Preferred	Inappropriate
Amusement Parlour	Hospital	Funeral Parlour
Art & Craft Centre	Telecommunications Infrastructure	Vehicle Hire
Restaurant	Childcare Centre	Plant Nursery
Office	Place of Assembly or Worship	Dry Cleaning Premises
Club Premises	Veterinary Centre	Liquor Store
Civic Use	Family Day Care	
Car Park	Holiday Home (Large)	
Shop	Holiday Home (Standard)	
Caretaker's Dwelling	Aged or Dependant Persons Accommodation	
Reception Centre	Recreation – Outdoor	
Occasional Use	Recreation – Private	
ndustry - Cottage	Cinema / Theatre	
Health Club	Tourist Development	
Consulting Room	Cinema / Theatre	
Varket	Tourist Development	
Home Office		
Single Dwelling	Educational Establishment	
Nultiple Dwellings	Recreation – Indoor	
Grouped Dwellings	Hotel	
Home Occupation	Public Utility	
Home Business	Community Purposes	
Exhibition Centre	Residential Building	
	Bed & Breakfast Accommodation	
	Medical Centre	
	Motel	
	Museum	

AREA B – MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/CIVIC

AREA B – MIXED USE COMME		In a name nic (a
Preferred	Not Preferred	Inappropriate
Childcare Centre	Amusement Parlour	Funeral Parlour
Hospital	Telecommunications Infrastructure	Vehicle Hire
Place of Assembly or Worship	Bed & Breakfast Accommodation	Plant Nursery
Family Day Care	Holiday Home (Large)	Dry Cleaning Premises
Aged or Dependant Persons Accommodation	Holiday Home (Standard)	Liquor Store
Multiple Dwellings	Recreation – Outdoor	
Grouped Dwellings	Recreation – Indoor	
Exhibition Centre	Tourist Development	
Art & Craft Centre	Hotel	
Medical Centre	Motel	
Civic Use	Club Premises	
Office		
Educational Establishment		
Car Park		
Home Occupation		
Community Purposes		
Museum		
Cinema / Theatre		
Home Business		
Public Utility		
Recreation – Private		
Residential Building		
Caretaker's Dwelling		
Reception Centre		
Occasional Use		
Industry - Cottage		
Health Club		
Consulting Room		
Market		
Home Office		
Single Dwelling		
Veterinary Centre		
Preferred activities along prior	rity active frontages	
Restaurant		
Shop		

AREA C - IVIIAED USE COIVIIVIEI	AREA C – MIXED USE COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL			
Preferred	Not Preferred	Inappropriate		
Caretaker's Dwelling	Health Club	Funeral Parlour		
Reception Centre	Veterinary Centre	Vehicle Hire		
Occasional Use	Medical Centre	Plant Nursery		
Industry – Cottage	Civic Use	Dry Cleaning Premises		
Consulting Room	Car Park	Liquor Store		
Market	Community Purposes			
Home Office	Museum			
Single Dwelling	Cinema / Theatre			
Aged or Dependant Person's Accommodation	Public Utility			
Multiple Dwellings	Educational Establishment			
Grouped Dwellings	Recreation – Outdoor			
Exhibition Centre	Recreation – Indoor			
Office	Tourist Development			
Bed & Breakfast Accommodation	Hotel			
Home Occupation	Motel			
Home Business	Club Premises			
Recreation – Private	Restaurant			
Residential Building	Hospital			
Holiday Home (Large)	Place of Assembly or Worship			
Holiday Home (Standard)	Amusement Parlour			
Shop	Telecommunications Infrastructure			
Art & Craft Centre				
Childcare Centre				
Family Day Care				

AREA D - MIXED USE TOURIST/RESIDENTIAL			
Preferred	Not Preferred	Inappropriate	
Family Day Care	Place of Assembly or Worship	Funeral Parlour	
Grouped Dwellings	Childcare Centre	Vehicle Hire	
Residential Building	Hospital	Plant Nursery	
Multiple Dwellings	Veterinary Centre	Dry Cleaning Premises	
Aged or Dependant Persons Accommodation	Telecommunications Infrastructure	Liquor Store	
Holiday Home (Large)	Educational Establishment		
Recreation - Private	Recreation – Outdoor		
Ancillary Dwelling	Exhibition Centre		
Holiday Home (Standard)	Medical Centre		

Hotel	Office
Home Business	Civic Use
Tourist Development	Car Park
Home Occupation	
Recreation – Indoor	
Public Utility	
Club Premises	
Cinema / Theatre	
Community Purposes	
Museum	
Motel	
Bed & Breakfast Accommodation	
Caretaker's Dwelling	
Reception Centre	
Occasional Use	
Industry - Cottage	
Health Club	
Consulting Room	
Market	
Home Office	
Art & Craft Centre	
Single Dwelling	
Preferred activities along priority a	active frontages
Amusement Parlour	
Restaurant	
Shop	

AREA E – TOURIST			
Preferred	Not Preferred	Inappropriate	
Caravan Park		All other uses	
Park Shop			
Recreation – private			
Caretaker's dwelling			
Park amenities			

AREA F - RECREATION				
Preferred	Not Preferred	Inappropriate		
Community Purposes		All other uses		
Recreation Indoor				

ecreation Outdoor
lub Premises
ealth Club
ecreation Private
ccasional Use

- 2. Uses identified as 'not preferred' in the above tables may still be granted planning approval if it is considered a planning application demonstrates the following:
 - a. Development will have no adverse impact on adjoining properties;
 - b. Development is considered to be appropriate in relation to other existing uses within the area.
- 3. 'Preferred' and 'Inappropriate' uses in Areas G and H are to be as per Table 4 Zoning Table of LPS6 for the 'Residential' zone.
- 4. Support Scheme Amendments for lots with frontage to the streets identified on the Strategy Plan as 'priority active frontages' only when the rezoning proposed is 'Mixed Use'.
- 5. Support Scheme Amendments within Area D to up-code existing 'Residential' zoned land to a maximum density of R40 if it can be demonstrated that such a rezoning will not adversely affect the existing character of the area. This does not apply to land located along a 'priority active frontage' as outlined above or within the 'Old Broome Special Character Area.'
- 6. Retain the R10 density coding in the Old Broome Special Character Area.
- 7. Retain the existing residential density codings in Area H.
- 8. Clause 4.32.3(b) of LPS6, which prohibits new residential development unless it is located above or behind an existing or new commercial development on site, shall apply only to lots with frontage along streets shown in the Strategy Plan as 'Priority Active Frontages'.
- 9. Development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley Street shall allow for a high level of general public access on the ground floor level and ensure vistas to Roebuck Bay are retained. Any building on this site should be sensitively designed, have a 'light' construction and address all four sides. The development should not be 'privatised' nor dominate the location visually. (Refer to element 8 on Concept Plan).

4.2 Open Space

4.2.1 Introduction

Under the Western Australian Planning Commission's *Liveable Neighbourhoods*, an operational policy which establishes minimum standards for subdivision design, a total of 10 percent of the gross subdivisional area of any development must be ceded free of cost to the local government for the provision of Public Open Space ('POS'). POS generally takes the form of parks and other outdoor spaces, and can in some circumstances contain community facilities and/or drainage infrastructure. Whilst *Liveable Neighbourhoods* is mainly used to plan for greenfield development sites, the 10% standard is recognised as a benchmark for sufficient provision of POS within an urban area. *Liveable Neighbourhoods* also establishes the maximum distance a person should have to travel to access POS based on type of space provided. For instance, a local park (up to 3000m² in size) should be provided within 150m to 300m from each dwelling.

As Old Broome was developed prior to the requirements for mandatory provision of POS at subdivision, there is a lack of formalised areas of POS. The precinct contains one district level park, Town Beach, and two local parks, Bedford Park and Demco Park. Much of Old Broome is located outside of the walkable catchment of any local or neighbourhood parks, although located within the 1 kilometre walkable catchment for district level facilities at Town Beach, Haynes Oval, or Male Oval. Farrell Park provides an additional option for residents in the south western portion of the precinct to access POS, however this park is owned and managed by Nyamba Buru Yawuru and not the Shire of Broome. Whilst recreational facilities are provided at the Broome Primary School and PCYC, these are not freely accessible to members of the public.

The Concept Plan provides further guidance on how public open space in the precinct can be enhanced.

4.2.2 Objectives & Principles

1. Old Broome shall contain a mixture of high quality Public Open Space to meet the needs of current and future residents.

4.2.3 Strategies/Policy

- 1. Provide a diversity of public space which can be activated at different periods of the day and night.
- 2. Support community events and provide adequate space to accommodate events.
- 3. Ensure public open space is provided with adequate shade and seating appropriate to Broome's climate.

4.2.4 Actions

- 1. Create a special precinct around Bedford Memorial Park that will include stronger visual and physical connections to Roebuck Bay. (Refer to element 9 on Concept Plan)
- 2. Enhance the foreshore area between Moonlight Bay Apartments and Town Beach to establish a linear foreshore park. (Refer to element 2 on Concept Plan).
- 3. Enhance the existing park located on Demco Drive for local residents (Refer to element 29 on Concept Plan).
- 4. Include opportunities for power/lighting/waste connections at strategic points within the Town Beach foreshore to be used for informal markets or at locations (which has a suitable hardstand surface) to accommodate 'Pop Up' Traders who may operate for a day or longer (Refer to element 28 on Concept Plan).
- 5. Investigate improvements to Demco Beach, such as an ablution block and interpretive signage (Refer to element 30 on Concept Plan).
- 6. Discourage illegal camping and access gained through the various tracks that take off from the Demco Beach access road (Refer to element 30 on Concept Plan).
- 7. Encourage the provision of public art in the gardens surrounding the Courthouse (Refer to element 6 on Concept Plan).

4.3 **Community Facilities**

4.3.1 Introduction

The Old Broome precinct contains numerous community facilities which perform a range of functions for residents and visitors, including the Civic Centre, Library, Museum, Hospital, Police and Citizens Youth Centre (PCYC), Broome CIRCLE, the Courthouse, the Police Station and the Broome Prison.

The Local Planning Strategy considered benchmarks for the provision of community facilities using a ratio that was calculated per head of population. Generally, the calculations showed that the supply of community facilities was commensurate with current demand. In some cases the calculations indicated facilities have been provided slightly in advance of demand, such as a regional performing arts/cultural centre and museum which are shown as being required once the permanent population reaches 20,000.

It is noted that as the population grows the demand for community facilities will increase. Many visitors to Broome also utilise community facilities. The use of existing facilities by tourists was not accounted for in the benchmarks as they only consider permanent residents.

4.3.2 Objectives & Principles

1. To promote the efficient and effective provision of community infrastructure and facilities to meet the needs of residents and visitors through best practice asset management.

4.3.3 Strategies/Policy

- 1. Identify land suitable for the development or expansion of community facilities.
- 2. Consider relocation and / or rationalisation of community facilities in circumstances where a positive community outcome can be achieved.

3. Prioritise the implementation of new community facilities based upon the benchmarks established in the Local Planning Strategy.

4.3.4 Actions

- 1. Improve the standard of the existing boat ramp at Town Beach (Refer to element 17 on Concept Plan).
- 2. Investigate opportunities for an expansion of the existing water park to provide play areas for older children and improve the existing public amenities (Refer to element 19 on Concept Plan).
- 3. Investigate opportunities for providing a safe swimming area at Town Beach (Refer to element 20 on Concept Plan).
- 4. Create a new jetty from the Old Jetty groyne (Refer to element 22 on Concept Plan).
- 5. Relocate the Municipal Library to Chinatown near the Visitors' Centre (Refer to element 5 on Concept Plan).
- 6. Extend the Shire Administration offices to incorporate the existing Library building once the new Library has been constructed (Refer to element 5 on Concept Plan).
- 7. Rationalise the Barker Street office (corner Weld and Barker Street) for potential infill development for an appropriate use. (Refer to element 5 on Concept Plan).
- 8. Investigate opportunities for low scale infill development on the Court house street block (Refer to element 6 on Concept Plan).
- 9. Relocate the Town Beach Cafe to a site near the end of the Old Jetty that does not restrict public access to surrounding foreshore areas (Refer to element 18 on Concept Plan).
- 10. Investigate opportunities to relocate or enhance the PCYC facilities. (Refer to element 24 on Concept Plan).
- 11. Investigate the feasibility of relocating the current Department of Parks and Wildlife/ Department of Environment Regulation office and yard to create additional vacant land to cater for expansion of the caravan park. (Refer to element 25 on Concept Plan).
- 12. Investigate the demand for additional community facilities within Town Beach, including the type of facility.

4.4 Movement

4.4.1 Introduction

The movement network plays a significant role in shaping social interaction, public safety and amenity. Pedestrian activity; motor vehicle activity; cycle activity; public transport activity; and vehicle parking provisions are all key aspects of the movement network. Challenges often experienced by residents travelling to, from and/ or within Old Broome will be addressed through the implementation of the movement network. The coordination and integration of movement networks should be subject to detailed design.

As a mixture of uses continue to develop in Old Broome over time, provisions for enhanced and readily accessible movement opportunities will need to be explored. Emphasis on enhancing and prioritising pedestrian and cyclist networks should be placed on the Conti/Town Beach Foreshore, as it continues to remain a popular foreshore recreation area and is heavily used by local residents and visitors.

4.4.2 Objectives & Principles

- 1. To integrate and balance a variety of movement options to create a network that meets the needs of all users.
- 2. To enhance all travel options within Old Broome and between Old Broome and Chinatown.
- 3. To provide safe and well located physical connections to and along the Roebuck Bay foreshore.

4.4.3 Strategies/Policy

- 1. Increase pedestrian and cyclist comfort, safety and ease of movement at all times of the day through provision of infrastructure and amenity.
- 2. Create an environment that encourages safe driver behaviour.
- 3. Accommodate vehicle parking without allowing it to become a dominant feature.

- 4. Ensure a legible movement network with good directional signage appropriate for all users.
- 5. Ensure continuity of all footpaths to help minimise the amount of dead ends.
- 6. Ensure the orientation of pathways, crossings and connections ultimately terminate at Town Beach or along the Conti Foreshore and encourage pedestrians to move in an east-west direction.
- 7. Ensure new development provides connections to pedestrian networks.
- 8. Minimise or avoid congestion experienced during events through solutions that do not compromise the amenity experienced in the precinct.
- 9. Ensure the design of movement network components minimises conflict between cars, trailers, pedestrians and cyclists.
- 10. Integrate public transport routes and transit points with pedestrian and cycling networks.
- 11. Improve permeability in the road network to promote ease of traffic movement.

4.4.4 Actions

- 1. New development is to cater for priority pedestrian routes, crossings and connections identified in the Shire's Pathway Plan.
- 2. Construct sealed pathways along both sides of each 'priority active frontage' within Old Broome as identified on the Strategy Plan and along at least one side of each secondary street.
- 3. Ensure pathways are illuminated, shaded and equipped with legible and appropriate wayfinding signage.
- 4. Provide for safe and publicly accessible east-west pedestrian links when undertaking any future redevelopment (including expansion) on the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park site, the street block formed by Weld, Barker, Robinson and Anne Streets, or the street block formed by Walcott, Barker, Robinson and Anne Streets.
- 5. Construct the proposed jetty to jetty walk between Town Beach and Chinatown and incorporate options for lookouts at key locations. (Refer to element 3 on Concept Plan).
- 6. Construct a recreational foreshore walkway between Demco Beach and Town Beach and incorporate options for lookouts at key locations (Refer to element 4 on Concept Plan).
- 7. Identify suitable locations for safe access to the beach (Refer to elements 3 & 4 on Concept Plan).
- 8. Undertake a traffic and transport study including an audit of road safety and stormwater management to provide information upon which to base detailed design for transport interventions in Old Broome.
- 9. Subject to the findings of the traffic and transport study, investigate opportunities for implementing the following road and intersection improvements:
 - a. Extend Hamersley Street towards Town Beach as far as an extension of Hopton Street between the museum and the proposed tram line (Refer to element 14 on Concept Plan).
 - b. Create a new formal road link between Hamersley Street and Robinson Street between the Seaview Shopping Centre and the Broome Museum (Refer to element 15 on Concept Plan).
 - c. Reconfigure the intersection of Guy Street and Hamersley Street into a T junction (Refer to element 25d on Concept Plan).
 - d. Open Anne Street at Hamersley Street and create a roundabout at the intersection of these roads with Carnarvon Streets to signify the northern entry into the Bedford Memorial Park precinct (Refer to element 25b on Concept Plan).
 - e. Extend Weld Street straight through to intersect with Louis Street and Hamersley Street at a new roundabout, which will signify the southern entry into the Bedford Memorial Park precinct. (Refer to element 25c on Concept Plan).
 - f. Treat the section of Hamersley Street adjacent to Bedford Memorial Park between Anne Street and Louis Street in a manner that will create a distinctive precinct with reduced vehicle speed, safer pedestrian crossing to Conti Foreshore and no parking (eg: raised road surface, different paving material). (Refer to element 9 on Concept Plan).

- g. Undertake improvements to the intersection of Herbert Street and Frederick Street to facilitate fourway traffic. (Refer to element 25e on Concept Plan).
- h. Create a new roundabout at the intersection of Haas and Hamersley Streets to address safety concerns. (Refer to element 25a on Concept Plan).
- 10. Car parking shall be in accordance with the relevant Design Guidelines.
- 11. Develop a Local Planning Policy outlining the circumstances in which cash in lieu of car parking will be permitted in Old Broome.
- 12. Prepare a 'Town Beach Parking Plan' to investigate opportunities to reconfigure the existing Town Beach car and trailer parking to improve safety and amenity. The 'Town Beach Parking Plan' shall also consider parking requirements associated with upgrades to the Town beach Boat Ramp, and may include the relocation of some parking away from the foreshore. (Refer to element 16 on Concept Plan).
- 13. Formalise the access to existing car parking adjacent to the women of pearling statue, along Hamersley Street and clearly demarcate car parking with bollards (Refer to Element 31 on Concept Plan)
- 14. Survey the current use of car parking in Old Broome to establish the nature of parking use, including duration of stay (turnover) and demand for parking, to inform preparation of a parking management strategy which will consider parking within road reserves and during events.
- 15. Investigate the conditions that would be required to increase public transport options and frequency within Broome, including services to and from Town Beach (i.e. bus and taxi).
- 16. Provide infrastructure at public transport transit points (ie. seating, shelter and lighting).
- 17. Encourage the provision of end-of-trip facilities for pedestrians and cyclists in all new non-residential developments. This includes, at a minimum, bicycle parking for staff and visitors, and showers for staff.
- 18. Prepare a comprehensive Bicycle Strategy which will provide recommendations for a Broome-wide cycleway network.
- 19. Identify and promote primary on-street cycling routes to serve key destinations, including the schools and Chinatown, and ensure that the design of the carriageways, car parking and street lighting support the safe use of these routes by cyclists.
- 20. Reinstate a tram line with transit points at key locations along the eastern side of Hamersley Street. (Refer to element 1 on Concept Plan).
- 21. Investigate opportunities for a vehicle boat trailer parking area immediately south of Catalinas, with steps down to the foreshore. (Refer to element 11 on Concept Plan).



Figure 3 Movement Options

4.5 Natural Resource and Environmental Management

4.5.1 Introduction

Roebuck Bay is an ecologically diverse area that was declared a 'Wetland of International Importance' under the Ramsar Convention in June 1990. The shallow bay has more than 150 kilometres of mudflats attracting hundreds of thousands of migratory shorebirds annually. It is also home to dugong, turtles, three species of dolphins and a large number of fish and invertebrates that get sustenance from the seagrass meadows. The area's large tidal variation (up to 10.5 metres) ensures that the bay is ever changing with the ebb and flow of the tides. This variation creates a unique atmosphere as the fresh smell of the changing tides is carried by the prevailing winds.

Parts of the Roebuck Bay foreshore and some adjacent inland areas are already under threat as a result of erosion and occasional inundation during high tides and/or storm surges. The Shire of Broome has commissioned the preparation of a Coastal Vulnerability Study, which will examine all parts of the coastal foreshore including the Roebuck Bay foreshore. This study will identify areas that are vulnerable to coastal processes such as erosion and inundation, including projected impacts of future sea level rise.

Stormwater drainage into Roebuck Bay is a concern as some outlets do not have any compensation or nutrient stripping. Early indications from water quality monitoring suggest that these drains may contain higher than normal nutrient loads which can lead to adverse environmental impacts such as Lyngbya algal blooms. The location of existing drains must also be carefully considered in determining where to site new infrastructure, as piped drainage cannot be used due to the high rainfall events experienced in Broome.

4.5.2 Objectives & Principles

- 1. To protect, conserve, and enhance the natural resources and environment in the Old Broome precinct, particularly Roebuck Bay.
- 2. To ensure that new development is 'future proofed' by taking into account the potential impacts of coastal vulnerability and climate change.

4.5.3 Strategies/Policy

- 1. Ensure the Shire's statutory framework is updated to reflect the outcomes of the Coastal Vulnerability Study.
- 2. Ensure that new drainage infrastructure is consistent with Better Urban Water Management principles and the Shire's Stormwater Management Policy.
- 3. Achieve better physical and visual connection between Old Broome and its natural environment; specifically the mangroves and Roebuck Bay through the provision of viewing opportunities and associated infrastructure.

4.5.4 Actions

- 1. Investigate retrofitting existing drains in Old Broome to reduce discharge of nutrient loads into Roebuck Bay.
- 2. Investigate opportunities for the retention of stormwater in existing landscaped areas of Public Open Space, such as in portions of Bedford Park.
- 3. Prepare a comprehensive drainage strategy for Old Broome to determine the most appropriate ways to achieve site drainage on a street-block by street-block basis that does not result in requirements for excessive amounts of fill or in significant height differences between adjacent properties.
- 4. Review the 'Parking, Storage, Crossover and Drainage Standard for Planning Applications' Local Planning Policy as required to reflect the findings of the drainage strategy.
- 5. Introduce erosion protection and control measures at vulnerable locations along the length of the foreshore, including the cliffs located along the caravan park site.
- 6. Construct a revetment to prevent further erosion of the pindan cliffs on the north side of the old jetty, which could incorporate tiers in the form of an amphitheatre that could be used as seating for viewing Staircase to the Moon. (Refer to element 26 on Concept Plan)
- 7. Remediate the dunal swale between the beach and housing on Demco Drive in consultation with Yawuru. (Refer to element 27 on Concept Plan)



Photo 2 Pindan Cliffs and Mangroves

4.6 Heritage

4.6.1 Introduction

Heritage defines an areas history and character and helps reinforce a local community's sense of identity and stability. From the end of the nineteenth century to the 1960s the town of Broome was arguably the most multi-cultural community in Australia. It was, and continues to be, home to Aboriginal people from diverse backgrounds. Following the arrival of the pearlers and European settlement, Broome also became home to many permanent and transient cultural groups from Asia. Pearling masters took advantage of land situated within close proximity to Chinatown to establish permanent residencies throughout the area now known as 'Old Broome'.

A thematic history undertaken for the Shire of Broome has identified, assessed and documented all post-contact places, buildings and features of potential cultural heritage significance. There have been several sites identified within Old Broome, most of which date back the pearling industry and early European settlement such as the Broome Regional Prison, McDaniel's Homestead, the Anglican Church of Annunciation, Matso's Store and Captain Gregory's building. The significance and value of each place identified has been assessed as part of a heritage study and included on the Shires Municipal Heritage Inventory. Within Old Broome, there are several places listed on the Shire's Municipal Heritage Inventory with seventeen (17) of these places also registered on the State Register of Heritage Places.

4.6.2 Objectives & Principles

- 1. For new development in Old Broome to build on the area's unique mixture of Aboriginal, European and Asian heritage and to better interpret this for the benefit of residents and visitors.
- 2. For new development to retain and preserve the heritage significance of Old Broome.

4.6.3 Strategies/Policy

- 1. Incorporate and/or interpret heritage elements into public space design where appropriate.
- 2. Promote authenticity through the interpretation and conservation of Broome's heritage.

4.6.4 Actions

- 1. Design a heritage trail with discrete signage reflecting significant buildings, features and places which reflect the history of Broome and are situated within the Old Broome Precinct.
- 2. Support the creation of a Yawuru Cultural Centre (Refer to element 7 on Concept Plan)
- 3. Encourage the conservation including restoration, interpretation, and adaptive reuse of the former Bourne and Ingliss Store as part of redevelopment of the larger site or alone. (Refer to element 10 on Concept Plan)

- 4. Install a restored Catalina aeroplane within the foreshore reserve adjacent to the Broome Museum and investigate possibility of an interpretative building and associated facilities to form part of an overall 'Heritage Precinct' incorporating the existing museum and tram line. (Refer to element 12 on Concept Plan)
- 5. Install a historically appropriate low fence around the Pioneer Cemetery to help preserve the heritage site and deter anti-social behaviour. (Refer to element 21 on Concept Plan)



Photo 3 Pioneer Cemetery

4.7 Urban Form

4.7.1 Introduction

Urban form relates to the layout and design of the urban area. Broadly, it is a combination of public open spaces or the public realm; and built form, which includes the height, massing, design and positioning of buildings. The public realm provides the setting for development and the 'stage' for public life and activity. It is not only public land; it can also include publicly accessible areas within private development, particularly where it is adjacent to or contiguous with the public realm. Built form frames the public realm and contributes to its quality, including activation and safety. Built form and public realm combine to create the spatial characteristics of Old Broome and the Town Beach/Conti Foreshore. Old Broome's urban form will experience a change as the area transitions into a place better suited to mixed use development with active ground floor land uses and an enhanced public realm.

4.7.2 Objectives & Principles

- 1. To reinforce and promote a vernacular 'Broomestyle' architecture that adds to the unique sense of place in Broome.
- 2. To promote climate responsive building design.
- 3. To encourage the provision of active building frontages facing the public realm.

4.7.3 Strategies/Policy

- 1. Ensure that site landscaping provides shade, shelter, screening where required and visual relief, and uses plant species and materials that are suitable to Broome conditions.
- 2. Ensure that setbacks are used to achieve climate sensitive design outcomes and maintain the spacious character of Old Broome.
- 3. Ensure a consistent approach to the treatment of streets and car parking appropriate to the desired character and function of streets.
- 4. Encourage nil setbacks for development along streets identified in the Strategy Plan.

- 5. Restrict building heights to two storeys in locations identified on the Strategy Plan to preserve the open vistas of Roebuck Bay.
- 6. Ensure that all development in Old Broome is consistent with adopted Design Guidelines.

4.7.4 Actions

- 1. Prepare and adopt Design Guidelines for Precinct 2 Old Broome defined under the Local Planning Strategy, as a Local Planning Policy.
- 2. New development shall incorporate Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles and pedestrian friendly streets in accordance with the relevant Design Guidelines.
- 3. Investigate opportunities to activate the eastern side of Robinson Street adjacent to Town Beach through mixed use infill development. (Refer to element 13 on Concept Plan).

4.8 Utilities

4.8.1 Introduction

Existing and future utility provision within Old Broome needs to be considered to accommodate sustainable growth.

4.8.2 Objectives & Principles

1. To ensure there is capacity in utility infrastructure to provide for sustained growth.

4.8.3 Strategies/Policy

- 2. To provide underground power throughout Old Broome.
- 3. To ensure that wastewater infrastructure is appropriate to cater for the population.

4.8.4 Actions

1. Should the existing wastewater pumping station on Lots 241 and 1913 Robinson Street relocate due to capacity issues, investigate opportunities for infill development (Refer to element 13 on Concept Plan).

5 Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Concept Plan

5.1 Overview

A concept plan has been prepared to illustrate how key actions of the development strategy could be implemented spatially. It incorporates some of the aspirations and design ideas generated by the stakeholder workshop and those contained in the Local Planning Strategy and put forward by other consultation and concept work carried for the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore area.

The concept is neither a statutory plan nor intended to be a literal interpretation of the strategy. It is intended to help readers to visualise how the strategy actions might come together in a physical or spatial sense. It is noted that further investigations and detailed design will be required to realise many of the actions shown on the Concept Plan. Over the life of this development strategy there are likely to be many ideas about how issues could be addressed and the vision for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore achieved.

The concept plan should be read in conjunction with the strategy plan (Figure 2) and other parts of this document as not all strategy elements can be spatially represented. The Foreshore Concept Plan is shown in Figure 4. The Concept Plan has also been broken down into three sections to provide a detailed view of the proposals for the Conti Foreshore, Town Beach, and the Demco foreshore.

An explanation of the elements illustrated in the concept plan is provided in Section 5.2.





Figure 5 Concept Plan – Conti Foreshore Section



Figure 6 Concept Plan – Town Beach Foreshore Section





5.2 Foreshore Concept Plan Elements

The elements identified on the foreshore concept plan are explained in detail below. Each number corresponds with the numbers annotated on the plan.

1. <u>Tram line and stopping points</u>

Tram line - a seven metre wide strip on the eastern side of the Hamersley Street road reserve will be kept clear to allow for the eventual construction of a tram, reminiscent of the former Broome Tram that travelled between Streeter's Jetty and Town Beach. Further investigation will be required to confirm how the tram will safely cross streets, intersections and driveways.

- ∑ *Tram transit points* transit structures will be located within proximity to common and popular destinations. Further investigation will help determine locations and design details.
- Ω *The historical transit point* located near the museum will be revived and used as a focal point for the tram line. Historical and interpretative information on the tram line is to be displayed at this transit point.

2. Conti Foreshore to Town Beach

Enhancement of the foreshore area between Moonlight Bay Apartments and Town Beach will be undertaken to establish a linear foreshore park, incorporating paths, furniture, shade structures, shade tree and other planting, public art and interpretation of the many and varied stories and historic sites associated with this historic area (eg: McDaniels' Camp). The character of the park may vary along its length and will be subject to detailed design.

3. Jetty to Jetty Foreshore Path

The Jetty to Jetty Foreshore path will provide a connection between Streeter's Jetty and the old Town Beach Jetty. Planning for Jetty to Jetty is currently being undertaken by the Shire. The Jetty to Jetty path will links culturally and historically significant sites along the foreshore via a formal constructed path and informal beach trails. Construction of the path is likely to be staged.

Formal constructed path - provides an alternative route when tidal variations restrict access to the beach trail.

- Informal beach trail marked by trail markers and appropriately positioned to incorporate symbols or other elements relevant to Roebuck Bay. Trail markers will be designed to be robust enough to withstand tidal action.
 - Lookout There are various high points along the path that lend themselves to lookouts. The design of each lookout will be subject to its location and setting, but would include a rest spot with seating from which to enjoy views across the bay and along the foreshore. Additional infrastructure such as drinking fountains, shade structures and/or public art or interpretive signage may also be incorporated in each lookout.
- Beach Access There are a number of locations where formal access to the beach in the form of stairs could occur. The proposed steps will establish links between the formal constructed path and informal beach trail.

4. Demco Beach Foreshore Path

Like Jetty to Jetty, the Demco Beach Foreshore path will be in the form of formal constructed paths and informal beach trails. The extents of the Demco Beach Foreshore Path will begin at Town Beach and end at Demco Beach.

Formal constructed path - provides an alternative route when tidal variations restrict access to the beach trail.

- Informal beach trail marked by trail markers and appropriately positioned to incorporate symbols or other elements relevant to Roebuck Bay. Trail markers will be designed to be robust enough to withstand tidal action.
- Lookout There are various high points along the path that lend themselves to lookouts. The design of each lookout will be subject to its location and setting, but would include a rest spot with seating from which to enjoy views across the bay and along the foreshore. Additional infrastructure such as drinking fountains, shade structures and/or public art or interpretive signage may also be incorporated in each lookout.
- Beach Access There are a number of locations where formal access to the beach in the form of stairs could occur. The proposed steps will establish links between the formal constructed path and informal beach trail. The suggested link from Demco Drive would use the existing drainage path and include stairs and a boardwalk to protect the dunes and vegetation and to make descent safe. This location is already being informally used for access to the beach but without control there is risk to both the environment and people using this route.

5. Municipal Library Relocation

It is intended a new municipal library will be constructed in Chinatown near the Visitors' Centre. When this takes place, there will be an opportunity for the current library to be incorporated into the main Shire Administration building to allow all office staff to be accommodated in the same building. This will free up the site currently occupied by the Shire's Technical Services staff (corner Weld and Barker Streets) for potential infill development for an appropriate use.

6. Infill Development on Courthouse Block

Any additional development on the Courthouse street block should be focussed on Weld Street and be kept low in scale (up to 2 storeys height) to conserve the heritage values of this important building and grounds. The gardens surrounding the courthouse are valued for their attractiveness and shade, as well as for being the venue for the popular weekly markets. There may be an opportunity for public art to be incorporated into the gardens.

7. Yawuru Cultural Centre.

The Yawuru Cultural Centre will form part of the Jetty to Jetty walking trail. Subject to design, the cultural centre may incorporate a formal lookout point which will allow people visiting the centre to enjoy views of Roebuck Bay. The Yawuru Cultural Centre will benefit the Yawuru people both economically and culturally.

8. Strategic Mixed Use Development Sites

The private lots (Lot 451 Hamersley Street and Lot 601 Hamersley Street) on the foreshore opposite Bedford Memorial Park are located in a privileged and prominent location surrounded on every side by parkland. These properties should be developed for a commercial or tourist use – a restaurant or café are considered ideal. Any upper floor could accommodate short-term accommodation or similar function but ground floor uses should allow for a high level of general public access. Any building on these sites should, in recognition of this important precinct, be sensitively designed, have a 'light' construction and address all four sides. The development should not be 'privatised' nor dominate the location visually.

9. Revitalising Bedford Park and adjoining Conti Foreshore

- a) Bedford Memorial Park is the location where the Broome community come together to celebrate and commemorate special events (ie. ANZAC Day and commemoration of the strafing of Broome). The park and its associated surroundings will form part of the new Bedford Memorial Park Precinct. Stronger visual and physical connections with Roebuck Bay and the Conti Foreshore will be established within this precinct. The Women of Pearling statue will be a focal point in the enhanced Bedford Memorial Park Precinct. Opportunities for extending the precinct boundaries to accommodate larger crowds during special events, by allowing for temporary road closures along Hamersley Street, will also be investigated.
- b) Hamersley Street adjacent to Bedford Memorial Park between Anne and Louis Streets is to become a lower speed environment with special road surface treatment. The size of the park can be visually increased by vegetating verges along this section of Hamersley Street. Opportunities for raising the level of Hamersley Street and creating entry statements on to Hamersley Street, at Anne and Louis Streets, will be

explored. Parking is not to be permitted along this section, in order to allow for the park to be visually extended into the road reserve. Similar visual extensions to the Conti Foreshore Park will ensure that pedestrian crossing along this section of road are safe. During events when large crowds gather at Bedford Memorial Park, this section of road could be closed and traffic diverted to create a continuous public space between Weld Street and Roebuck Bay.

10. Bourne and Ingliss Store

The former Bourne and Ingliss Store has a long association with the pearling industry and is on the State Heritage Register. Although in a poor state of repair, its conservation including restoration, interpretation, and adaptive reuse as part of redevelopment of the larger site or alone, is strongly encouraged.

11. Catalina vehicle and boat trailer parking

A vehicle boat trailer parking area is proposed immediately south of Catalinas, with steps down to the foreshore to enable people to get back to their boats at the base of the boat ramp quickly. There is limited parking along the Catalinas boat ramp, and the practice of parking informally on verges is not ideal. The design of the parking area will be subject to detailed stormwater investigations, as this area includes a major drainage outlet.

Safe launching facilities remain a priority in Broome and while the proposed Broome Boating Facility is no longer proceeding it is anticipated that only a small percentage of boat users would continue to launch at Catalinas and Town Beach¹.

12. Proposed Catalina hanger and museum

Within the foreshore reserve of Town Beach (R31340), adjacent to the Broome Museum, install a restored Catalina aeroplane and investigate possibility of a museum and associated facilities to form part of an overall 'Heritage Precinct' incorporating the existing museum and tram line.

13. Infill Development

Vacant land south of the existing museum could accommodate mixed use infill development to service the northern end of Town Beach Foreshore. This could be provided in stages. Firstly by providing hardstand surfaces with power/lighting/waste connections to accommodate 'Pop Up' traders who operate on a temporary and/or seasonal basis. Over time the site may be redeveloped for more permanent structures.

14. Extension of Hamersley and Hopton Street

It is proposed to extend Hamersley Street south of its present termination just south of Catalinas between the museum and the new tram line, to connect it to Hopton Street. This will assist traffic and pedestrian/cyclist circulation and create more street frontage for development. During events at Town Beach, if required all or part of this section of road could be closed – possibly even used for some market stalls (eg: food vans).

15. Formalise Seaview Road Reserve

The existing track between Robinson Street and Hamersley Street between the Museum and the Seaview Shopping Centre may be formalised into a road reserve. Design details will be subject to the proposed extension of Hamersley Street south, towards Town Beach.

16. Improve Town Beach vehicle and Boat Trailer Parking

Improvements to the layout of vehicle and boat trailer parking at Town Beach are recommended. A 'Town Beach Parking Plan' will be prepared to guide these improvements. The design of such improvements should consider the need to provide accessible parking bays to other infrastructure along the foreshore, such as the café and water playground, and consider the interaction between those using the Town Beach boat ramp and other foreshore users.

17. Town Beach Boat Ramp Upgrade

Improvements to the standard of the existing boat ramp will be undertaken at Town Beach.

¹ 5% of boats will continue to launch from Catalina's and Town Beach. (Department of Transport, 2012, *Broome Boating Facility – Demand Study*)

18. Relocate Town Beach Café

The café will be removed from its present location and a new facility built on a site near the end of the Old Jetty. This will allow for 270° views north, east and south. The new building will accommodate a café/restaurant and a kiosk to cater for both formal dining and casual refreshments. The design and positioning of the new facility will not restrict public access to surrounding foreshore areas.

19. Water Park Extension

Opportunities will be investigated for an expansion of the existing water park to provide play areas for older children and improvement of existing public amenities.

20. Safe Swimming Area Development

Swimming at Roebuck Bay at particular times of the year exposes swimmers to risks of crocodile attacks and irukandji stings. Swimming near the shore is not possible when the tide is out. This plan proposes investigation into possibilities for providing a safe swimming area which may include a rock pool or stinger nets. The design of the safe swimming area will need to carefully consider Broome's large tidal range, potential environmental impacts on the beach and mangroves, and the interaction between beach users and users of the boat ramp.

21. Preservation of Pioneer Cemetery

The Pioneer Cemetery is subject to heavy foot traffic during events such as Staircase to the Moon. It is proposed that a historically appropriate low fence be erected around the cemetery to help preserve the heritage site and deter anti-social behaviour. Access would still be possible for maintenance and paying of respect to those who are interred there.

22. Rebuilding Town Beach Jetty

A new jetty from the Old Jetty groyne will allow people to fish from a jetty at Town Beach once again. It will be supplemented by floating pontoons to allow for boats launched from the boat ramp to be tied up while trailers are parked. Recreation of the very long Old Jetty would neither be a practical nor a necessary option due to the expense and the fact that the Port now caters for large ships.

23. Redevelop and Expand Caravan Park

It is proposed to liaise with the Department of Parks and Wildlife / Department of Environment Regulation (formerly Department of Environment and Conservation, or DEC) to investigate the feasibility and possibility of relocating the current offices and yard to create additional vacant land to cater for the expansion of the caravan park. Opportunities to enhance the caravan park facilities through strategic redevelopment will also be investigated.

24. PCYC Improvements/Relocation

Investigations into the long term operation of Broome PCYC will be undertaken to determine the viability of relocation as opposed to retaining and enhancing the site and its existing facilities for community purposes and overflow parking.

25. Intersection improvements.

- *a)* Hass and Hamersley Streets a new roundabout situated at the intersection of Haas and Hamersley Streets will help address safety concerns at this intersection.
- b) Hamersley, Anne and Carnarvon Streets A new roundabout is proposed at the intersection of Hamersley, Anne and Carnarvon Streets. This will allow Anne Street to reconnect to Hamersley Street, improving circulation. It will also act as the northern entry statement to the Bedford Memorial Park precinct.
- c) Weld, Louis and Hamersley Streets a new roundabout situated at the intersection of Weld Street, Louis Street and Hamersley Street will signify the southern entry into the Bedford Memorial Park precinct. This will be subject to the possibility of realigning Weld Street and the availability of vacant land suitable for the relocation of hotel car parking.
- d) *Guy and Hamersley Streets* the intersection of Guy Street and Hamersley Street will be reconfigured into a more formal T-intersection to both reduce the speed of turning traffic and make the extension of Hamersley Street south a more desirable access route to Town Beach and Conti Foreshore.

e) *Frederick and Herbert Streets* – the intersection of Frederick Street and Herbert Street will be improved to facilitate four way traffic.

26. Coastline Stability and Revetment

Subject to detailed study and design, erosion protection and control will be required at vulnerable locations along the length of the foreshore, including the cliffs located along the caravan park site. A revetment is proposed to prevent further erosion of the pindan cliffs on the north side of the old jetty. The proposed design would incorporate tiers in the form of an amphitheatre that could be used as seating for viewing Staircase to the Moon. Detailed design will examine the use of materials to ensure the revetment is an attractive addition to the beach and will incorporate formal, safe access to the beach as well as accommodating drainage requirements.

27. Rehabilitation & Stabilisation of Dunes

The dunal swale between the beach and housing on Demco Drive requires remediation and management in consultation with Yawuru.

28. Provision of Space for Events

The improvements to the Conti/Town Beach foreshore will provide the opportunity to extend the areas within which events are held. Currently most are contained within the lawns near the Town Beach Cafe. The very popular Staircase to the Moon night markets held during the full moon period every month can attract between 1,000 and 2,500 people. Other events which occur on an annual basis, such as the Australia Day celebrations, attract larger crowds of between 4000 and 5000 people. This funnelling of patrons into a very confined area results in traffic congestion (pedestrians and vehicles), impacts on the historical Pioneer Cemetery and results in public safety issues. The redesign of the foreshore in addition to the park improvements should include opportunities for power/lighting/waste connections at strategic points whether for informal markets or at locations (which has a suitable hardstand surface) to accommodate 'Pop Up' Traders who may operate for a day or longer. Future investigations should consider the provision of dedicated parking in proximity to areas designed to accommodate pop-up traders. It is acknowledged that one-off events will require more car parking than can be permanently supplied, so investigations should also consider the optimal location and design of informal overflow parking for events.

29. Enhance Demco Park

Investigate opportunities for enhancing the existing park located on Demco Drive for local residents through the provision of more shade and additional seating positioned to enjoy views across Roebuck Bay.

30. Demco Beach Amenities

- a) A toilet block is recommended for the comfort of Demco Beach users. If required, this could be locked at night to discourage illegal campers. Improved amenities for Demco Beach car park could include seating, interpretive signage providing information on the former Derby Meat Company abattoir and significance of the area to Yawuru (possibly a modern version of the facilities available at Gantheaume Point).
- b) Existing pedestrian access from the car park to Demco Beach will be incorporated into the Demco Beach Foreshore Path and upgraded if necessary.
- c) Various tracks that take off from the Demco Beach access road into the reserve south of the study area boundary, which is jointly managed by the Yawuru Park Council, should be blocked to prevent illegal access and illegal camping. Access to this land will be determined as part of future development proposals for the Yawuru land.

31. Provision of Car Parking near Women of Pearling Statue

Opportunities to formalise car parking adjacent to the Women of Pearling statue will be investigated. Car parking provided will be demarcated with bollards or similar and remain unsealed.
6 Implementation

Once adopted, the Old Broome Development Strategy will serve as an 'Informing Strategy' under the Shire's Integrated Planning Framework. This means that it will be considered by Council when undertaking revisions to the Strategic Community Plan, the Corporate Business Plan, and the Long Term Financial Plan.

The Old Broome Development Strategy has three distinct components:

- A statutory section which will be used as a town planning tool;
- A visionary section which will be used by the Shire to source funding and commence feasibility studies, project planning and detailed design for various project ideas;
- An explanatory section (Part 2) which contains background information and analysis.

Statutory Section

The Old Broome Development Strategy will be adopted as a Local Planning Policy under the local planning scheme. When considering requests to initiate Scheme Amendments, subdivide land, or undertake new development, the Shire's planners and/or Council will refer to the Strategy Plan as well as the 'Objectives and Principles', 'Strategies and Policies' and 'Actions' outlined in Part 1 of the OBDS for each of the 'Key Strategy Areas'. These are sections from the statutory component of the OBDS. In some cases, planning decisions will be influenced by the outcomes of further studies, which are detailed in the statutory section.

Old Broome Urban Design Guidelines will be progressed separately as a Local Planning Policy and will also provide a level of statutory control over the built form of the following types of development in the Old Broome Precinct:

- All development within the 'Mixed Use' zone under Local Planning Scheme No.6;
- Medium density development in the 'Residential' zone (lots with a density of R30 or greater); and
- All development within the 'Old Broome Special Character Area' as identified on the OBDS Strategy Map.

Visionary Section

The OBDS Concept Plan and corresponding explanatory text will not have a statutory role. Rather, the purpose of these sections is to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. The Concept Plan does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The realisations of elements on the Concept Plan will require additional work, such as feasibility studies and detailed design. In some cases, the OBDS has already identified additional investigations that will be required to realise particular elements, such as the Traffic and Transport Study and the Town Beach Parking Plan.

To assist the Shire in commencing the process of progressing elements on the Concept Plan, Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd has prepared a set of indicative costings for some project ideas that are depicted. These costings, which are included as Appendix B to Part 2 of the OBDS, are 'high level' and will require refinement as proposals become more detailed.

Most of the actions in the 'Visionary Section' sit outside the influence of the land use planning framework, and will require a whole of Shire approach to carry them forward. In many cases, collaboration between the Shire, the State, Native Title Holders, local businesses and the community will be necessary to achieve the desired outcomes.

Way Forward

Further to the adoption of the OBDS, the projects depicted on the Concept Plan will be assigned to the relevant Shire department/s. Departments will then need to put forward their own project briefs to source funding through Council's annual budgetary process to undertake the necessary studies and actions. As an Informing Strategy under the Shire's Integrated Planning Framework, the OBDS will also feed into the annual revision of the Long Term Financial Plan and Corporate Business Plan.

Old Broome Development Strategy

and Concept Plan for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore

Part 2



Prepared for Shire of Broome 2014

Table of Contents

1	Context	4
1.1	Planning Framework	4
1.2	Role and Character of Old Broome	4
1.2.1	History	4
1.2.2	Residential Character	4
1.2.3	Mixed Use Character	5
1.2.4	Natural Environment	6
1.3	Yawuru Indigenous Land Use Agreement	6
2	Opportunities and Constraints Analysis	7
2.1	Challenges	7
2.1.1	Coastal Processes and sea level rise	7
2.1.2	Drainage	8
2.1.3	Access to the beach	9
2.1.4	Congestion during special events	10
2.1.5	Vehicle parking	10
2.1.6	Land Use	11
2.1.7	Demco Beach facilities	11
2.1.8	Footpaths	12
2.1.9	Cycling	13
2.1.10	Public Transport	13
2.1.11	Lack of shade and shelter	13
2.1.12	Limited provision of public open space	13
2.1.13	Water Corporation Sewerage pumping station	14
2.2	Opportunities	14
2.2.1	Seasonality	14
2.2.2	Increased support for community events	15
2.2.3	Interaction with Roebuck Bay	15
2.2.4	Celebration of culture and heritage	16
3	Heritage	17
3.1	Overview	17
4	Analysis of Commercial Demand	19
4.1	Analysis of Commercial Demand	19
4.1.1	Projected Demand	19
4.1.2	Current Provision	20
4.1.3	Future Provision of Commercial Floor Space in Other Areas	20
4.1.4	Future Provision of Commercial Floor Space in Old Broome	22
4.2	Implications of Commercial Demand Analysis on Land Use Recommendations for Old Broome	27

Appendices

Appendix A	Workshop Outcomes Report
Appendix B	Indicative Costings for Concept Plan Elements
Appendix C	Community Engagement Plan
Appendix D	Schedule of Submissions

Figures

Figure 1	Planning Framework
Figure 2	Elevation Contours and Inundation
Figure 3	Places of Heritage Significance
Figure 4	Vacant Land and Redevelopment Sites

Photos

Photo 1	Matso's on the corner of Hamersley and Carnarvon Streets
Photo 2	Erosion of the pindan cliffs adjacent to Town Beach
Photo 3	Man observed climbing the bank from beach to caravan park
Photo 4	Demco Beach
Photo 5	A family walks in a section of Walcott Street where there is no footpath
Photo 6	Fishing at Town Beach
Photo 7	Development Overlooking Roebuck Bay

Tables

Table 1	AEC Group Population Projections (2012)
Table 2	AEC Group Projections – Retail Floor Space Requirements
Table 3	AEC Group Projections – Commercial Office Floor Space Requirements
Table 4	Vacant Lots in Old Broome with Commercial Potential
Table 5	Potential Redevelopment Sites in Old Broome

1 Context

1.1 Planning Framework

The development strategy sits within a planning framework that starts with the State Planning Strategy as the overarching strategic document for land use planning within Western Australia. The State Planning Strategy informs the development of State Planning Policies and regional planning strategies. Within these, the local government planning strategies are established, followed by the local planning scheme and subsidiary documents, such as local planning policies.

This development strategy will have the status of a local planning policy under Town Planning Scheme No. 4 and subsequently Local Planning Scheme No. 6 once adopted by the Western Australian Planning Commission and the Minister for Planning. It is intended to provide guidance to land owners and the Shire of Broome when planning, assessing and/or determining proposals for subdivision, development or public works within Old Broome.



Figure 1 Planning Framework

1.2 Role and Character of Old Broome

1.2.1 History

The Old Broome precinct holds a special place in the history of Broome, being the location of sites of cultural significance for the Yawuru people and also being an area where the pearl shell industry once thrived along the shores of Roebuck Bay. The Old Broome Jetty was located at Town Beach and was a focus of commercial and social activity. People and cargo arrived in Broome at the Old Jetty, and pearl shell was loaded for export to overseas markets. The shore between the Old Broome Jetty and Streeters Jetty in Chinatown was lined with luggers, camps, pearling sheds, goods sheds, and Customs House (now the museum). A tram ran between the two jetties, providing a means of transport for people and goods. As the town grew, Old Broome became the location for the pearling masters" homes, a few of which still remain today.

Today the precinct is a focal point for tourist activity. It is the location of several hotel/resorts and other short term accommodation and numerous heritage listed buildings including the Courthouse (former Cable Station), Old Customs House (now the museum), and the well-known Matso's Brewery (former Union Bank). Town Beach itself is a popular swimming and fishing spot for locals and tourists, and is the favourite venue for viewing the Staircase to the Moon phenomenon, which is accompanied by the popular Staircase to the Moon night markets.

1.2.2 Residential Character

"Neighbourhood character" is defined by Planisphere (2010) as "the qualitative interplay of built form, vegetation and topographic characteristics, in both the private and public domains, that make one place different from another." "Qualitative interplay" is a term that describes how distinctive elements of an area come together to produce an overall sense of place. Trying to identify the character of a neighbourhood is attempting to determine how the buildings and the landscapes interact.

Old Broome was created in a grid road pattern, with wide road reserves (up to 40 metres) including generous verges which over time have dotted with mature vegetation. Some roads such as Stewart Street and portions of Walcott Street are somewhat haphazard; lacking kerbing, formal paved crossovers, and footpaths. Older buildings are set

back a considerable distance from the street as well as from side and rear boundaries, giving an impression of spaciousness. Front fencing is seldom provided, and when it is provided it is typically of a low height and does not appear visually dominant, enhancing a sense of openness. Most lots now contain lush vegetation which further adds to character and gives passers by the impression of wildness and secrecy. The oldest homes are low height with large open verandahs which ensures that the landscape is dominated by vegetation and not buildings. Although only a short distance from the heart of Chinatown, when walking the streets of the Old Broome residential areas you feel far away from the urban environment.

While the above paragraph describes the traditional residential character of Old Broome, it must be acknowledged that the area is under transition. Over the years there have been several "spot" rezonings to a higher density coding, leading to isolated sites of grouped and multiple dwellings. As these developments are able to have lesser setbacks under the *Residential Design Codes of WA*, developers attempt to maximise yield which leads to a larger building footprint and erodes the appearance of spaciousness. Grouped and multiple dwellings also generate a greater need for vehicle access and car parking, and if these areas are not sited sensitively (such as along the side or to the rear of buildings) this can lead to paved areas and vehicles, rather than trees, dominating the streetscape.

It is possible to design grouped and multiple dwellings in a way that is sympathetic to the traditional neighbourhood character of Old Broome and this will ultimately be addressed through the preparation of Design Guidelines.

1.2.3 Mixed Use Character

The eastern areas of Old Broome already have a mixed use function, particularly along Carnarvon Street, Hamersley Street, and Robinson Street south of Guy Street. Mixed Use development also occurs on Frederick Street. Mixed use development in Old Broome is characterised by resort-style tourism developments, a scattering of restaurants and cafes, residential development and civic uses including the police station, prison, Shire offices, court house, hospital and numerous state agencies offices. Businesses supporting civic uses such as offices and consulting rooms have also been developed throughout the precinct. There is limited retail in the precinct, with the exception of the Seaview Local Centre across from the Museum.

Mixed use development has not proceeded in any particular form, which leads to a disjointed appearance. Along the main "spine" of Hamersley Street most developments have on street or verge parking in different configurations.



Photo 1 Matso's on the corner of Hamersley and Carnarvon Streets

1.2.4 Natural Environment

It is impossible to consider the character of Old Broome without considering the stunning vistas of Roebuck Bay. Roebuck Bay is an ecologically diverse area that was declared a "Wetland of International Importance" under the Ramsar Convention in June 1990. The shallow bay has more than 150 kilometres of mudflats attracting hundreds of thousands of migratory shorebirds annually. It is also home to dugong, turtles, three species of dolphins and a large number of fish and invertebrates that get sustenance from the seagrass meadows.

The colours of Roebuck Bay form an intrinsic part of the character of Old Broome – from the pindan cliffs to the lush green mangroves to the mudflats and the turquoise water. Travellers heading eastward along Guy Street are presented with an uninterrupted view of the bay, with Town Beach, the Mangrove Hotel and the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park also popular viewing places. The area's large tidal variation ensures that the bay is ever changing with the ebb and flow of the tides. This variation creates a unique atmosphere as the fresh smell of the changing tides is carried by prevailing winds.

For the Yawuru people, Roebuck Bay has always been an abundant source of food – fish, dugong, oysters, cockles, crabs and stingrays – as well as a place of cultural significance.

1.3 Yawuru Indigenous Land Use Agreement

On 25 February 2010 agreements recognising the connection of the Yawuru People with land in and around Broome were signed. These agreements are known as an Indigenous Land Use Agreements (ILUAs) and were registered by the National Native Title Tribunal on 6 August 2010.

ILUAs between Yawuru Native Title Holders, the WA Government, and the Shire of Broome create a framework for addressing Native Title and Aboriginal heritage issues. The ILUAs recognise the primary and principal rights of the Yawuru community in protecting and preserving heritage values.

Various lands were transferred to Yawuru ownership, enabling the traditional owners to actively participate in the development of Broome. The ILUA also sets aside land as conservation estate to be jointly managed by Nyamba Buru Yawuru (NBY), the Department of Parks and Wildlife, and the Shire of Broome.

Within and adjacent to the Old Broome precinct there are several sites that are under some form of tenure by the Yawuru - variously direct (freehold) ownership, being within the Yawuru Conservation Estate, or other forms of reserve. The entire foreshore is significant to the Yawuru, with Roebuck Bay being a traditional source of food. Kennedy Hill is very significant and contains archaeological material such as shell middens.

Heritage consultations and agreements (where necessary) with the Yawuru are required to ensure the management of affected land within the precinct is aligned with the Yawuru management plans and practices.

2 Opportunities and Constraints Analysis

2.1 Challenges

There are a number of challenges affecting the future development and management of land within Old Broome.

2.1.1 Coastal Processes and sea level rise

The study area is subject to Broome's large 10.5m tidal range. Parts of the Roebuck Bay foreshore and some adjacent inland areas are already under threat as a result of erosion and occasional inundation during high tides and/or storm surges. The Shire of Broome has commissioned the preparation of a coastal vulnerability study, which will examine all parts of the coastal foreshore including the Roebuck Bay foreshore and identify areas that are vulnerable to coastal processes such as erosion and inundation, including projected impacts of future sea level rise.

Pending the outcomes of the coastal vulnerability study, which will provide definite planning limits, Cardno coastal engineers reviewed previous studies and topographic information to provide preliminary advice on the likely extent of inundation in Old Broome resulting from high water levels.

Previous studies on Coastal Geomorphology, the Broome Boat Facility and the Broome Seawall have determined the 50-year and 100-year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI) water levels to be 5.3m and 5.5m AHD respectively. These values are not much greater than the highest astronomical tide, as expected due to the large tidal range.

Topographic contour data provided by the Shire of Broome has been reviewed and the 6m AHD and 8m AHD contours shown overlain on an aerial photograph of Broome in Figure 4. The 6m AHD contour (white) can be interpreted as the extent of coastal inundation under the 50-year and 100-year ARI water level conditions. The 8m AHD contour (orange) is a rough estimate of the coastal inundation that could be expected when the wave action is taken into consideration. The 10m AHD contour (blue) is also shown as a frame of reference.

The main locations where coastal inundation should be considered when planning for land use within the study area are in the vicinity of Town Beach and Conti Foreshore itself, on the eastern side of Hamersley Street south of Anne Street, and in the north of the study area. The pindan cliffs between Town Beach and Catalinas have a history of erosion (see for example Photo 2) If this erosion continues, private property, public land and heritage sites such as the Pioneer Cemetery could be at risk.



Figure 2 Elevation Contours and Inundation

2.1.2 Drainage

Current Shire policy (Policy 8.10 - Parking, storage, crossover and drainage standards for planning applications) requires a combination of on-site detention and infiltration and flow across paved areas to road/drain or legal points of discharge. Pre and post development flows must be equivalent. High volumes of rainfall are experienced during storm events in Broome and the pindan soil has low capacity for stormwater retention, therefore the road network plays an important role in the management of stormwater.

There are currently stormwater outlets into Roebuck Bay that do not have any compensation or nutrient stripping. Early indications from water quality monitoring suggest that these drains may contain higher than normal nutrient loads which can lead to adverse environmental impacts such as Lyngbya algal blooms. The Shire may need to look at innovative practices to retrofit some existing drains to try and reduce the nutrient load to meet best practice urban water management. Another option is to investigate the retention of stormwater in existing landscaped areas, such as in portions of Bedford Park, to avoid nutrient discharge into Roebuck Bay. The location of existing drains must also be carefully considered in determining where to site new infrastructure, as piped drainage cannot be used due to the high rainfall events.



Photo 2 Erosion of the pindan cliffs adjacent to Town Beach

2.1.3 Access to the beach

There is no formal beach access between Demco Beach and the northern extent of the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park, a distance of approximately 700 metres. The caravan park occupies much of the beach frontage, so that pedestrian access from the area immediately west is significantly restricted. However there is evidence of informal access through the dunes and down steep embankments, which is neither safe nor good for the stability of the dunes or dunal vegetation (see for example Photo 3).

Access to the foreshore is also limited in other locations. When the tide is out, many people like to walk along the beach between the foreshore and the mangroves, however safe access to the beach is very limited. At Town Beach itself there is no safe way down from the Old Jetty groyne or the pindan cliffs.



Photo 3 Man observed climbing the bank from beach to caravan park

2.1.4 Congestion during special events

Regular events such as the Courthouse Markets and Staircase to the Moon attract crowds of locals and visitors into the Old Broome area. Crowds attending the Staircase to the Moon markets range from 1,000 to 2,500 people. Other special events, such as the annual Shinju Matsuri dragon boat regatta and Australia Day celebrations also attract larger crowds to the area. The Shire's recorded attendance for Broome's 2013 Australia Day celebrations was between 4,000 and 5,000 people. These events can create congestion on local roads, particularly near Town Beach. Parked cars fill formal car parks and also park on verges and roadsides leading to venues, causing a nuisance for residents. Informal parking on land adjacent to Town Beach restricts land availability, forcing market stalls, pavilions and people attending these events, into confined spaces. For night time events like the Staircase to the Moon, pedestrians are negotiating unmade paths and crossing roads amongst vehicles without the benefit of adequate lighting or crossing points.

The situation is exacerbated by the fact that Robinson Street, the main access to Town Beach, ends in a cul-de-sac that limits circulation options for vehicles.

Managing safe access for vehicles and pedestrians and accommodating car parking is a priority for the precinct as the Shire's intention is to continue to support community events in the area. However it is acknowledged that large scale events occur infrequently, and any design response to managing congestion should not be such that it detracts from the amenity of the area experienced during the non-peak times.

2.1.5 Vehicle parking

During peak times, such as when the Staircase to the Moon markets are in progress, the availability of car parking in some parts of the precinct is insufficient to meet demand. There are also isolated pockets of congestion, such as near the Town Beach Club in Walcott Street.

As Old Broome evolves into a more intensive residential and mixed use area, the requirements for car parking will increase. It will be important that car parking for development is sensitively sited so that does not visually dominate verges and street frontages. Apart from being very expensive to build and maintain; extensive car parking, not in use for extended periods of time, will increase the number of hard surfaces in and around Old Broome. Hard surfaces are not ideal in Broome's climate and have the potential to become visually and environmentally detrimental to the area.

Some of the developed areas within Old Broome, such as the Broome Hospital, are also beginning to experience car parking issues. The Broome Hospital's parking provisions are insufficient, resulting in staff members often resorting to the use of abutting verges abutting for day-to-day car parking opportunities.

In addition to cars, there are requirements for parking for vehicles towing boat trailers and caravans, particularly near the Town Beach boat ramp. The space requirement for these vehicles is naturally higher than for regular parking. A factor in determining the amount of parking that is required for boats in particular will be plans for recreational boat launching facilities elsewhere in Broome. Car parking and boat trailer parking is presently located close to the water"s edge it would be preferable to give priority in this area to people, not cars.

2.1.6 Land Use

Old Broome is already a fairly diverse area in terms of land use, but the intensity of development is generally very low. Within the precinct there are 34 vacant lots and numerous lots that have potential for significantly more intensive development than they currently accommodate. However transition to a fully mixed use area will necessarily be incremental.

LPS 6 does not zone the whole area for Mixed Use because zoning land ahead of demand risks scattered and suboptimal development.

The challenge for Old Broome as it evolves will be the tension between two competing priorities – the need to ensure that new mixed use development optimises development potential and the need to preserve the historic, open character of the precinct.

Within Old Broome there are a number of buildings that either have heritage significance (refer Section 3.1) or are intact examples of "Broomestyle" residential development. Land to the west of Robinson Street will generally remain zoned "R10" in LPS 6 (unless already assigned a higher coding under the current Town Planning Scheme No.4), which is consistent with the Shire's *Local Housing Strategy* (2009).

During the public advertising period for the LPS and LPS6, community sentiment was overwhelmingly in favour of retaining the low density R10 coding when rezoning and future mixed use development occurs within the portions of the precinct between Robinson and Herbert Streets, particularly north of Guy Street. This has now been formalised in the draft LPS. As there have already been several grouped and multiple dwelling developments constructed at higher densities in Old Broome over the years, new development at a R10 density may appear "underdeveloped" in comparison. The reduced dwelling yield and resultant financial implications may affect the viability and timing of redevelopment in this area.

2.1.7 Demco Beach facilities

The Demco Beach parking area due to its isolation has a history of illegal camping and incidents of anti social behavior. There is a rotunda shelter but no seating, toilets, rubbish bins, drinking water or interpretive signage. However the beach is popular for fishing and dog walking, and basic amenities such as toilets and fish cleaning facilities would benefit legitimate beach users and the environment.



Photo 4 Demco Beach

2.1.8 Footpaths

Broome is very much a car based community and this is evident from the relatively poor pedestrian facilities and lack of pedestrian priority. There is inconsistency in the provision of pedestrian infrastructure. In several Old Broome street blocks there is no footpath on either side of the street (see for example Photo 5), and no plan to provide them in the current Broome Pathway Plan. Despite the very wide road reserves (typically 40 metres), there are very few streets with footpaths on both sides. This increases the likelihood of pedestrians putting themselves in danger by walking on the road.

Also inconsistent is the location of existing footpaths relative to property boundaries and the carriageway. In some locations the footpath is immediately adjacent to the road, in others it runs alongside the property boundary and in others it is somewhere in between.

The location of the footpath relative to the property boundary will in some cases be dictated by the manner in which car parking is dealt with. It is undesirable for cars to have to cross a footpath in order to get to car parking other than in controlled situations (such as formal crossovers). Footpaths close to the road are less likely to enjoy shade from vegetation or buildings.

If Old Broome is to become a mixed use area, including higher density housing, commercial development and business tourism development, there will inevitably be more people walking around and through the area, as well as more vehicle traffic. It will be important that there be adequate and ,joined-up" footpaths with safe road crossings. There are few formal pedestrian crossings at present, even on busy roads or near the primary school and hospital. Additionally the primary school and hospital street blocks (Weld/Robinson/Walcott/Anne/Barker) are exceptionally long, with no formal pedestrian access across them.

Notwithstanding the above, it is acknowledged that competing priorities and funding constraints may prevent a full complement of footpaths from being developed in the short to medium term. For instance, it is highly unrealistic that footpaths will be installed on both sides of the road on every street in Old Broome. It is important, however, for this Strategy to identify the key pedestrian routes and noticeable gaps in the existing network so the provision of footpaths can be planned for. The provision of street lighting along key pedestrian thoroughfares also warrants consideration.

2.1.9 Cycling

There are very few facilities for cyclists in Old Broome. Even bicycle parking rails are scarce. For children and others who either do not have access to a car or prefer not to use one, cycling and walking are the only real options for transport.

Safe routes, particularly to the schools but also for recreational cyclists would encourage more people to cycle. Evidence is widespread that even in hot and humid climates like Broome's, people will cycle if the facilities are available. For travel to work, end-of-trip facilities like showers will be important to encourage more people to cycle.

Cycling and walking are not only healthier options that result in better public health outcomes, but also reduce reliance on fossil fuel.





2.1.10 Public Transport

There is no real public transport in Broome other than taxi services, the school bus service and the tourist-focussed Town Bus service that runs hourly, with half hourly services during the peak tourist season. The Town Bus travels through Old Broome via Carnarvon Street, Hamersley Street, Louis Street, Robinson Street, Hopton Street and also Frederick Street, connecting the major tourist accommodation area and tourist destination points.

There is support within sections of the Broome community for the introduction of the tram line between Chinatown and Town Beach, and potentially beyond, however this too is likely to be primarily a tourist service and will not be a serious option for the majority of trips.

Increased population density in Old Broome may eventually justify an expanded bus service and a fare structure to suit frequent users, but in the meantime those without access to a car will have to rely on walking, cycling or taxis to move around.

2.1.11 Lack of shade and shelter

There is a lack of shade and shelter in the public realm which discourages the use of outdoor spaces. Given the climate of Broome, the provision of shade and occasional shelter is important to encourage people to walk rather than drive for shorter journeys, and to provide general protection from sun and rain. Shade trees, free-standing shelters and building verandahs and awnings are all ways to provide protection for pedestrians in the public realm.

2.1.12 Limited provision of public open space

Under the Western Australian Planning Commission's *Liveable Neighbourhoods*, an operational policy which establishes minimum standards for subdivision design, a total of 10 percent of the gross subdivisional area of any

development must be ceded free of cost to the local government for the provision of Public Open Space ("POS"). POS generally takes the form of parks and other outdoor spaces, and can in some circumstances contain community facilities and/or drainage infrastructure. Whilst *Liveable Neighbourhoods* is mainly used to plan for greenfield development sites, the 10% standard is recognised as a benchmark for sufficient provision of POS within an urban area. *Liveable Neighbourhoods* also establishes the maximum distance a person should have to travel to access POS based on type of space provided. For instance, a local park (up to 3000m² in size) should be provided within 150m to 300m from each dwelling.

As Old Broome was developed prior to the requirements for mandatory provision of POS at subdivision, there is a lack of formalised areas of POS. Most of Old Broome is located outside of the walkable catchment of any local or neighbourhood parks, although located within the 1 kilometre walkable catchment for district level facilities at Town Beach, Haynes Oval, or Male Oval. The only local parks within the precinct are Bedford Park and Demco Park. Farrell Park provides another option for residents in the south western portion of the precinct to access POS, however this park is owned and managed by Nyamba Buru Yawuru and not the Shire of Broome. Whilst recreational facilities are provided at the Broome Primary School and PCYC, these are not freely accessible to members of the public.

There is a need to ensure that high quality POS is provided to meet the needs of current and future residents, particularly as residential density increases. It is important that existing open spaces are retained and enhanced to provide community benefit.

2.1.13 Water Corporation Sewerage pumping station

Lots 241 and 1913 Robinson Street are owned by the Water Corporation and used for a sewerage pumping station which contains a large underground storage tank. The Water Corporation has advised that the pumping station is permanent infrastructure and will be retained. As a result, constraints on the site restrict any form of infrastructure being developed. Opportunities to relocate the pumping station will have to be considered before infill development is permitted.

2.2 **Opportunities**

Whilst the above section outlines challenges to be considered in planning for the Old Broome area, this development strategy also considers opportunities that can inform future planning. In some cases, an element that is viewed as a challenge in one person's perspective can be viewed equally by others as an opportunity.

2.2.1 Seasonality

The Town Beach Cultural Plan (2010) refers to work by Gunn (1989) which described "the intangible qualities of Broome"s lifestyle known as "Broome Time." First among the seven statements are the following:

- Lifestyle is synchronised with the land, climate and tides; and
- Lifestyle flows with the seasonal change.

This "ebb and flow" is characteristic of life in Broome and reflected in the town's population, which can double over the dry season. This fluctuation provides an opportunity to consider options for short term businesses to take advantages of busy periods through "pop up" facilities and temporary trading in designated locations. Encouraging seasonal trade will provide additional amenities for tourists and locals alike, but will not result in the need for costly buildings and infrastructure which will be underutilised during the wet season when the population decreases.

"Pop up" facilities are a way to activate underutilised areas as a precursor to permanent development, which may not be feasible in some locations until the Broome's permanent population increases.



Photo 6 Fishing at Town Beach

2.2.2 Increased support for community events

Parts of Old Broome, specifically the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore and Bedford Park, are periodically used for community events, such as commemoration of ANZAC Day, Australia Day, and the Dragon Boat Regatta associated with the Shinju Matsuri Festival. The Shire of Broome is playing an active role in coordinating and publicising events, and the number of events will increase over time.

2.2.3 Interaction with Roebuck Bay

Old Broome is one of the few locations in Broome where development directly overlooks the water, and individual sites as well as public spaces are privileged with uninterrupted views of Roebuck Bay. There is the opportunity for new development in the Precinct to retain clear vistas to Roebuck Bay and to provide and enhance visual and pedestrian linkages to the foreshore.

The Shire is currently planning a Jetty to Jetty walk trail which will extend from Streeter's Jetty in Chinatown to Town Beach. Whilst the route and design of the Jetty to Jetty trail is still being finalised, it will consist of a formalised path in some locations and an informal beach path in other locations. It is envisaged that the Jetty to Jetty trail will include interpretative signage and other material which helps to share the stories of the area's history and unique environmental attributes. In tandem with initiatives such as Jetty to Jetty, new development in Old Broome can help promote the conservation and interpretation of the natural and cultural values of Roebuck Bay.



2.2.4 Celebration of culture and heritage

Old Broome is rich with elements of cultural heritage significance, and this connection to the past forms an intrinsic part of the area's character.

Since time immemorial the area we now know as Old Broome has been home to the Yawuru people, who lived off the abundant food sources available in Roebuck Bay. After European settlement it was, in conjunction with Chinatown and the establishment of the pearling industry, one of the first areas to be developed, and as a result contains a considerable number of historic buildings.

Future development in Old Broome has the opportunity to build on the area's unique mixture of Aboriginal, European and Asian heritage and to better interpret this for the benefit of residents and visitors. Section 3 below discusses the heritage significance of Old Broome in greater detail.

3 Heritage

3.1 Overview

Heritage defines an areas history and character and helps reinforce a local community's sense of identity and stability. From the end of the nineteenth century to the 1960s the town of Broome was arguably the most multi-cultural community in Australia. It was, and continues to be, home to Aboriginal people from diverse backgrounds. Following the arrival of the pearlers and European settlement, Broome also became home to many permanent and transient cultural groups from Asia. Pearling masters took advantage of land situated within close proximity to Chinatown to establish permanent residencies throughout the area now known as "Old Broome".

The earliest inhabitants, dating back to more than 40,000 years ago, were the Australian Aborigines. To date the Dampier Peninsula remains home to a number of groups of people, identified largely through language – Yawuru, Djugun, Ngumbari, Jabirrjabirr, Nyulnyul, Numanburr, Warrwa, Bardi and Jawi people, often collectively referred to as Goolarabooloo, the Salt-Water People. Town Beach and Conti Foreshore form part of the coast, which for many years has been considered as the foundation of Aboriginal cultural, spiritual, social and economic life. Today, much of Old Broome is located within a Heritage site as listed on the Aboriginal Sites Register maintained by the Department of Aboriginal Affairs.

The heritage of Old Broome is one of its key defining characteristics so its conservation is critical to retaining the essence of what makes it special. This level of recognition also enhances the community's sense of identity, belonging and continuity, as many Broome residents hold strong family ties which date back to the earliest days of settlement. Existing remnant buildings have helped characterise Broome's heritage. However, considering the nature of Broome's history, a lot of its heritage remains untold or invisible; in the form of stories and memories.

A thematic history undertaken for the Shire of Broome has identified, assessed and documented all post-contact places, buildings and features of potential cultural heritage significance. There have been several sites identified within Old Broome, most of which date back the pearling industry and early European settlement such as the Broome Regional Prison, McDaniel's Homestead, the Anglican Church of Annunciation, Matso's Store and Captain Gregory's building. The significance and value of each place identified has been assessed as part of a heritage study and included on the Shires Municipal Heritage Inventory. Within Old Broome, there are several places listed on the Shire's Municipal Heritage Inventory with seventeen (17) of these places also registered on the State Register of Heritage Places. A listing on the State Register of Heritage Places affords a place the highest level of protection under the *Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990*.

The ongoing interpretation and conservation of Broome's heritage ensures new development continues to foster authenticity. One of the key actions in this Strategy is the development of design guidelines to ensure that new development conserves the heritage and character of Old Broome.

Figure 3 depicts the existing heritage places and areas on the Shire's Municipal Heritage Inventory, places on the State Register of Heritage Places, and Aboriginal heritage sites that are listed on the Aboriginal Sites Register.





4 Analysis of Commercial Demand

4.1 Analysis of Commercial Demand

Transitioning Old Broome into a mixed use area will allow for the provision of additional commercial floor space to cater to the needs of a growing population. This section examines the current provision of commercial floor space in Broome, the projected future demand, and how this Strategy can assist in meeting the demand. It assists in providing the rationale for the land use designations and the extents of the designations in the Strategy Plan.

4.1.1 Projected Demand

In 2012, AEC Group prepared a Community Profile for the Shire of Broome to inform preparation of the LPS and LPS6. The Community Profile used four different scenarios to estimate population growth in Broome through 2031, taking into account a range of factors. Table 1 describes the four scenarios.

Scenario 1	WA Tomorrow medium population projections			
(permanent residential population only)	No inclusion of visitors or transient workers			
Scenario 2	WA Tomorrow medium population projections			
(permanent residents and visitors)	Projected future visitors (i.e. tourists)			
Scenario 3	WA Tomorrow medium population projections			
(permanent residents, visitors and 35 Mtpa	35 Mtpa LNG operations, running up to 10 LNG trains			
LNG operations at James Price Point)	Projected future visitors (both leisure and business travellers)			
Scenario 4	WA Tomorrow high population projections			
(permanent residents, visitors and maximum	50 Mtpa LNG operations, running up to 14 LNG trains			
LNG operations at James Price Point)	Doubling of expected growth in future leisure visitation and increases business visitation from LNG activities			

 Table 1
 AEC Group Population Projections (2012)

AEC Group also prepared an Economic Profile, which used the above scenarios to estimate future demand for, amongst other things, retail and commercial office floor space. Tables 2 and 3 outline the floor space requirements that are projected under each scenario. Please note that the AEC Group profile further broke down retail demand into categories such as "groceries and specialty food," "clothing and accessories" and others, however this analysis focuses more broadly on overall totals.

Population Scenario	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031
Scenario 1	28,471 m ²	34,743 m ²	40,912 m ²	47,911 m ²	56,680 m ²
Scenario 2	37,755 m ²	46,098 m ²	52,541 m ²	59,744 m ²	68,764 m ²
Scenario 3	37,755 m ²	48,640 m ²	56,577 m ²	62,182 m ²	72,067 m ²
Scenario 4	37,755 m ²	49,134 m ²	57,636 m ²	62,745 m ²	73,366 m ²

 Table 2
 AEC Group Projections – Retail Floor Space Requirements

Population Scenario	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031
Scenario 1	30,247 m ²	34,207 m ²	37,628 m ²	41,409 m ²	46,113 m ²
Scenario 2	30,247 m ²	34,207 m ²	37,628 m ²	41,409 m ²	46,113 m ²

Population Scenario	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031
Scenario 3	30,247 m ²	36,303 m ²	40,721 m ²	42,627 m ²	47,555 m ²
Scenario 4	30,247 m ²	37,026 m ²	41,855 m ²	43,176 m ²	48,559 m ²

Table 3 AEC Group Projections – Commercial Office Floor Space Requirements

Given the current status of the LNG development, it is unlikely that Scenario 4 (which contemplates maximum LNG production, a doubling of tourists and the highest projections for permanent population growth) will come to pass over the life of the LPS or of this Strategy. However, it still provides a useful context as to the upper limits of growth which could be experienced if a number of factors were to align. For the purposes of this analysis we are focussing on the "mid-range" scenarios 2 and 3 as the most likely to occur within the life of this Strategy.

Under Scenario 2, it was estimated that in 2011 there was a demand for $37,755m^2$ of retail floor space in the Shire, and a demand for $30,247m^2$ of commercial office floor space. By 2031, there would be a demand for $68,764m^2$ of retail floor space, and $46,113m^2$ of commercial office floor space – an increase of $31,009m^2$ and $15,866m^2$ respectively.

Under Scenario 3, it was estimated that in 2011 there was a similar demand for $37,755m^2$ of retail floor space in the Shire, and a demand for $30,247m^2$ of commercial office floor space. By 2031, there would be a demand for $72,067m^2$ of retail floor space, and $47,555m^2$ of commercial office floor space – an increase of $34,312m^2$ and $17,308m^2$ respectively.

4.1.2 Current Provision

In 2007, the Shire of Broome prepared a Local Commercial Strategy, which estimated a total provision of 70,000m² of commercial floor space in the Shire, 40,000m² of which was retail and 30,000m² of commercial office. Under both the Scenario 2 and 3 projections, this means there is currently a slight oversupply of retail floor space in Broome. The supply of commercial office floor space is approximately commensurate with current demand under both scenarios.

In the Chinatown Development Strategy (2013), the Shire estimated that there was currently in the order of $28,000m^2 - 29,000m^2$ combined retail and commercial office floor space provided in Chinatown. Western Australian Planning Commission estimates from 2003/04 place this total somewhat lower at 23,390m², with 6,279m² being devoted to office and 17,111m² devoted to retail.

The Broome Boulevard Neighbourhood Centre provides an additional 11,541m² of retail floor space, with the rest being provided in smaller Local Centres and portions of the Light Industrial Area.

4.1.3 Future Provision of Commercial Floor Space in Other Areas

4.1.3.1 Chinatown

It can be difficult to estimate the future provision of commercial floor space in established areas such as Chinatown where the majority of development will be redevelopment rather than "greenfield" development. To try to address this difficulty, the authors of the Chinatown Development Strategy focussed on larger vacant land parcels in single ownership as the most likely sites to be developed. Whilst this approach has some drawbacks as it does not fully account for other constraints (for instance, coastal setbacks and inundation risk) which may limit the form of development on a vacant site, it is a useful indicator of potential yield.

Using this method, the Chinatown Development Strategy identified two vacant or largely vacant areas in single ownership. They are Lots 160 and 161 Carnarvon Street and Lot 43 Dampier Terrace which have a combined area of 1.013 ha; and Lot 3067 Dampier Terrace which has a total area of 1.0358 ha. These areas are shown in the Chinatown Development Strategy Concept Plan as being suitable for infill retail and commercial development. The Chinatown Development Strategy then used the 2003 Chinatown Design Guidelines to estimate the total floor space that could be provided should these sites be developed to their full potential.

In 2013 Council adopted amended Design Guidelines for Chinatown which allow 75% site coverage and three storey development with a plot ratio of 1. Applying a plot ratio of 1 to the two sites described above would lead to the provision of a maximum floor space of 10,130m² and 10,358m² respectively. Put together, this additional 20,488m² (if split evenly between commercial office and retail) would provide an additional 10,244m² retail floor space and 10,244m² commercial office floor space. These figures do not account for additional redevelopment in Chinatown

which may take place over the life of this Strategy and as such are considered to be a reasonably conservative estimate of future floor space provision.

4.1.3.2 Kimberley Regional Offices

In addition to redevelopment which may take place in Chinatown, it is also worthwhile to consider other areas where new development or redevelopment is planned to occur,

The Kimberley Regional Offices complex is located just outside of Chinatown on portions of Lot 30 Napier Terrace and Lots 686, 951 and 1332 Frederick Street. Collectively these lots are identified as Reserve 8656 which has a Management Order in favour of the Shire of Broome for the purpose of "offices."

The Shire is currently investigating options for redeveloping the site to provide a higher quality of facilities for the tenants. Whilst a definitive option is yet to be identified, it is possible that this may entail the demolition of one or more of the existing buildings and the construction of new building(s) to replace them. Refurbishment of the existing buildings, or some combination of refurbishment and new construction, are also options.

At present, the two existing buildings have a total Net Lettable Area (NLA) of 2313m².Under LPS6, Reserve 8656 is proposed to be zoned "Town Centre." The development standards for the "Town Centre" zone allow for 75% site coverage and a plot ratio of 1. Reserve 8656 has a total area of 8056.73m². Therefore, if the land was developed to the fullest extent possible under LPS6 a total of 8,056.73m² of commercial office floor space could be achieved. Given site constraints however, it is highly unlikely that this scale of development will occur, with potential floor space estimated to be more in the order of 3,000 to 4000m². If approximately 4,000m² is provided, this would be an additional 1,687m² of commercial floor space to what exists on the site at present, and will help meet some of the demand.

4.1.3.3 Broome North

It can also be difficult to speculate where, and how much, commercial development can be anticipated in other parts of Broome. One way of doing this is to look at adopted structure plans, such as the Broome North District Development Plan (2010). The Broome North District Development Plan allows for the creation of two Local Centres, each with a total floor space of 3,000m² (with 1,500m² of that devoted to an anchor tenant such as a supermarket). The first of these Local Centres, to be located on Lot 9007 Magabala Road, has been planned for through Local Development Plan 1. The commercial component of this centre is planned for completion in 2018. Assuming that the remaining 1,500m² of floor space within this Local Centre is split equally between retail and commercial office, this will lead to an additional supply of 2,250m² of retail floor space and 750m² of commercial office floor space.

The second Local Centre in Broome North has not been considered in detail as it will be part of subsequent stages of subdivision and will not likely be developed in the short to medium term.

4.1.3.4 Broome International Airport Land

There is also the potential for new commercial development to take place on the land directly to the east of the Broome Boulevard shopping centre (Lot 1468 and Pt. Lot 1653 MacPherson Street), as these lots have a 21 lot subdivision approval in place. Under LPS6, the area covered by the subdivision approval is proposed to be zoned "Service Commercial," a zone which is intended to cater for large format retail such as warehouses and showrooms. Under LPS6, a maximum plot ratio of 0.5 is permitted for development in the "Service Commercial" zone. The total developable area of the subdivision is approximately 44.73 hectares, which translates to a potential of 22.36 hectares (223,650m²) of new development. Should this development potential be fully realised, it would far exceed the retail needs projected for Broome over the life of this Strategy and the LPS. However, construction of this subdivision has not yet commenced and the first stages of development are likely to be several years away.

It is noted that the "Service Commercial" zone is not designed for smaller "boutique-type" retail, and instead is intended for larger developments (such as bulky goods retail, car hire and sales etc.) that are car rather than pedestrian orientated. Should this land ultimately be developed for "Service Commercial" purposes, it would further be desirable for some existing businesses to relocate from the "Light and Service Industry" zone to the new area and for the vacated land to be used to meet the concurrent demand for light industrial floor space. (The requirements for light industrial land are not discussed in detail in this Strategy but are covered in the AEC Group Economic Profile.)

Furthermore this area will not be able to accommodate the demand for commercial office space as under LPS6 "office" uses are not permitted within the "Service Commercial" zone unless incidental to another approved use within the zone. The remaining demand for this type of floor space will have to be met elsewhere.

4.1.4 Future Provision of Commercial Floor Space in Old Broome

Like Chinatown, Old Broome is largely developed and therefore the bulk of new development will be redevelopment, the likelihood and timing of which is difficult to anticipate. In a similar fashion to the Chinatown Development Strategy, this section will examine the development potential of the precinct first by considering vacant land and then considering sites that have previously been identified as having redevelopment potential.

4.1.4.1 Vacant Land

Within Old Broome, there are currently 31 vacant lots. Of these sites, three lots are currently zoned "Mixed Use" under TPS4, with an additional nine lots proposed to be zoned "Mixed Use" under LPS6, with densities of either R10 (generally applied to lots west of Robinson Street) or R40. The remaining 19 lots are proposed to be zoned "Residential;" under LPS6, with densities of either R10 or R40. Figure 4 below shows vacant land and its proposed zoning and density coding under LPS6.





Table 4 shows the location and size of vacant lots that are proposed to be zoned "Mixed Use" under LPS6, and therefore have future commercial potential.

Site	Zoning (TPS4)	Zoning (LPS6)	Lot Number	Street	Size
1	Mixed Use (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	106	Robinson Street	2327 m ²
2	Mixed Use (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	11	Weld Street	902 m ²
3	Mixed Use (R10)	Mixed Use (R40)	451	Hamersley Street	667 m ²
4	Residential (R10/R20)	Mixed Use (R40)	20	Robinson Street	1221 m2
5	Residential (R10/R20)	Mixed Use (R40)	21	Robinson Street	610 m ²
6	Residential (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)*	71	Frederick Street	2026 m ²
7	Residential (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)*	72	Frederick Street	2026m ²
8	Settlement	Mixed Use (R40)	52	Dampier Terrace	2024 m ²
9	Residential (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	63	Robinson Street	2028 m ²
10	Residential (R10)	Mixed Use (R10)	131	Weld Street	2431m ²
11	Residential (R10)	Mixed Use (R10)	133	Weld Street	2431m ²
12	Residential (R10)	Mixed Use (R10)	2	Robinson Street	1498 m ²
Total Area					2.02 ha
Total Developable Area					1.01 ha

*northern portion of site only as per Scheme maps

Table 4 Vacant Lots in Old Broome with Commercial Potential

Under LPS6, a maximum plot ratio of 0.5 is proposed for sites zoned "Mixed Use." As the lots detailed in Table 4 have a total area of 2.02 hectares, this means that a maximum of 1.01 hectares (10,100 m²) could be developed. However it is noted that as the sites in Table 4 will be zoned "Mixed Use" there is the potential for some of the developable area to be used for residential or tourist accommodation. These calculations represent the maximum commercial yield possible, as it is impossible to predict how individual owners will choose to develop their landholdings.

4.1.4.2 Potential Redevelopment Sites

In addition to the vacant land discussed above, the Shire has identified sites in Old Broome with redevelopment potential. In some instances, this land has been identified for more intensive development under LPS6. In other instances, the land has an existing Planning Approval in place for redevelopment; a pending Planning Application; or has been the subject of substantial discussion between the landowner and the Shire. Other lots are currently reserved for various public purposes but may be able to transition to private use over time if they are no longer required for public use. Figure 4 above shows the location and proposed zoning of potential redevelopment sites.

The lots identified are not intended to be an exhaustive account of future redevelopment sites but represent an initial analysis based on existing information available to the Shire about landowner intent.

Table 5 below outlines the lots that have been identified as having redevelopment potential that could include a commercial component. Sites 1-6 have the potential to be redeveloped immediately, whilst sites 7-9 will require a future Scheme Amendment in order to proceed. It is noted that the Department of Parks and Wildlife land, which is discussed in the Concept Plan, has not been included in Table 5 as this Strategy envisages its future use as an extension of the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park with no stand alone retail or commercial office component.

Site	Zoning (TPS4)	Zoning (LPS6)	Lot Number	Street	Size
1	Special Use	Special Use	213	Hamersley Street	2210 m ²
			214	Hamersley Street	2212 m ²
			215	Robinson Street	2210 m ²
			216	Hamersley Street	2209 m ²
2	Mixed Use (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	1029	Carnarvon Street	933m ² (39.25 m ²)'
3	Special Use	Mixed Use (R40)	3	Anne Street	3650 m ²
	Mixed Use (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	280	Hamersley Street	1217m ²
	Mixed Use (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	281	Anne Street	1215 m ²
4	Settlement	Mixed Use (R40)	3061	Frederick Street	1.01 ha
5	Residential (R10)	Mixed Use (R10)	130	Weld Street	2433 m ²
6	Mixed Use (R40)	Mixed Use (R40)	143	Hamersley Street	2430 m ²
Total Area					3.08 ha***
Total Developable Area					1.39 ha****
7	Civic and Cultural Reserve	Public Purposes Reserve	135	Barker Street	2431 m ²
8	Public Purposes Reserve	Public Purposes Reserve	Pt. 99	Hamersley Street	6965 m ^{2**}
9	Public Purposes Reserve	Parks, Recreation and Drainage Reserve	Pt. 300	Robinson Street	2770m ^{2**}
Total Area					1.22 ha
Total Developable Area					6083m ²
Overall Area					4.3 ha***
Overall Developable Area					2.0 ha****

*Note that whilst the total area of this lot is 933m2, the current planning approval includes 39.25m2 of commercial office space, with the rest of the site to be used for multiple dwellings.

** Estimated site area only as developable area will only comprise portion of site,

*** Total includes full site area of Lot 1029 Carnarvon Street.

**** Total includes 39.25m2 of developable area (commercial) for Lot 1029 Carnarvon Street in recognition of existing planning approval for the site.

Table 5 Potential Redevelopment Sites in Old Broome

Under LPS6, a maximum plot ratio of 0.5 is proposed for sites zoned "Mixed Use." (It has been assumed based on their location and adjoining zoning that if the "public purposes" site were rezoned it would be to "Mixed Use"). Based on the above, if all the redevelopment sites were developed to their full capacity this could lead to the provision of an additional 20,000m² of commercial floor space. As per Section 5.3.4.1 above, this assumes the maximum developable area for commercial purposes. It is acknowledged that many, if not all, developments will contain a residential or tourist accommodation component which will reduce the totals accordingly.

4.1.4.3 Summary of Potential Commercial Yield in Old Broome

In assessing the commercial needs of Broome until 2031, the above sections took into account the following assumptions:

- Two large vacant sites in single ownership located within Chinatown will be developed solely for commercial purposes over the life of this Strategy;
- The redevelopment of the Kimberley Regional Office Complex on the corner of Frederick Street, Weld Street and Napier Terrace will take place over the life of this Strategy, yielding a total NLA of 4,000m²; and
- The planned commercial component of one of the two Local Centres in Broome North will be fully developed over the life of this Strategy.

Based on the above assumptions, a total of 12,494m² of additional retail floor space and 12,681m² of commercial office floor space could be provided over the life of this Strategy from areas outside of Old Broome.

The development of the Broome International Airport subdivision adjacent to the Broome Boulevard was also considered, with the acknowledgement that if this area was fully developed it would alone provide more retail floor space than would be required over the planning timeframe, including under Scenario 4. Notwithstanding this, as stated above it is possible that even with an influx of available land that more boutique and tourist orientated retail would still prefer to locate elsewhere.

As stated in Section 4.4.1 above, under the AEC Group projections, it is estimated that under Scenario 2 an additional 31,009m² of retail floor space and 15,866m² of commercial office floor space will be required to meet demand by 2031. Under Scenario 3 these figures increase to 34,312m² of retail and 17,308m² of commercial office, respectively.

Should the land discussed above be developed as assumed, this would reduce the requirement to 18,515m² of retail floor space and 3,185m² of commercial office floor space under Scenario 2; and to 21,818m² or retail floor space and 4,627m² of commercial office floor space under Scenario 3. It is the Shire's intention that the majority of new retail development be located in Chinatown, however there is scope for limited tourist-orientated retail and entertainment uses to be located in portions of Old Broome.

If all the vacant land within Old Broome that is currently zoned "Mixed Use" under TPS4, or proposed to be zoned "Mixed Use" under LPS6, was developed to achieve the greatest extent of commercial floor space (assuming no residential or tourism development), a total of 10,100m² of floor space could be achieved. When sites with known redevelopment potential that will be appropriately zoned under LPS6 are factored in, an additional 13,900m² of floor space could be provided. Taken together, these sites with 24,000m² floor space in total would be able to supply all of Broome's additional commercial office needs under Scenario 3 as well as an additional 19,373m² of retail floor space – over 45% of the total retail floor space currently provided in the Shire and more than is provided in the Broome Boulevard.

The above total does not take into account the public land which may have redevelopment potential shown in Table 5, which would first require a Scheme Amendment and cancellation of the relevant reservations under the *Land Administration Act 1997.* It also does not account for any other redevelopment which may occur by individual landowners throughout the precinct.

4.2 Implications of Commercial Demand Analysis on Land Use Recommendations for Old Broome

The Chinatown Development Strategy clearly articulated the Shire's intentions for Chinatown to remain the heart of Broome's retail and commercial operations and to serve the primary activity centre for the Shire. Therefore it is important that the Old Broome Development Strategy does not facilitate commercial development in excess of genuine need, which may serve to divert commercial activity from Chinatown to an area where land costs and / or rents may be more favourable to a business operator.

When only taking into account vacant land in Old Broome and limited redevelopment sites, there is sufficient land to accommodate the Shire's commercial office needs as well as provide additional retail space to supplement Chinatown and the various Local Centres. This vacant land is largely located along Hamersley, Frederick, Robinson and Carnarvon Streets, where mixed use development is already taking place.

The AEC Group Economic Profile (2012) states that "land supply should always exceed demand to provide flexibility and choice to potential investors." It further states that "a rolling supply" of 10-15 years of forward demand is generally sufficient." Whilst this is in reference to industrial land, it can also be applied more broadly to other types of development in that it is beneficial to have a greater supply of land with development potential to avoid unreasonable prices due to speculation on the part of existing landholders. A "rolling supply" would mean that some land is "shovelready," or vacant and appropriately zoned, whilst other land would be progressively rezoned as the "shovel ready" land is taken up.

For this reason, the OBDS makes recommendations for how future requests to rezone land to "Mixed Use" is to be considered. Requests to rezone land along the priority active frontages of Hamersley, Frederick and Carnarvon Streets and Robinson Street south of Guy Street to "Mixed Use" will generally be supported, and it will be required that new development in this area must contain a commercial component. The majority of this land is already zoned "Mixed Use" under LPS6, therefore such proposals will be consistent with the existing planning framework. It also recognises that these streets already have a Mixed Use character and thus should be the primary focus for commercial activation which may or may not occur in conjunction with residential and/or tourist development.

Other portions of Old Broome are proposed to be zoned "Residential" under LPS6 and as such offer limited commercial opportunity at present. Tourist development, should it occur, will primarily take the form of Bed and Breakfast facilities which are visually compatible with adjacent residential uses. In areas B and D proposals to rezone to "Mixed Use" may be supported by Council on their merits, however it will be strongly encouraged that development along these streets is not strictly commercial in character. Development instead should be mixed use and contain both commercial and residential or tourist uses to integrate the development with the surrounding residential area.

Precincts G and H will remain low density residential and further rezoning will not be supported within them. Additionally, lots located within the "Old Broome Special Character Area" with a base designation of Area B or D may be rezoned to "Mixed Use," however the highest density permitted will be R10 and all development will have to be consistent with future adopted Design Guidelines. Appendix A

Stakeholder Workshop Outcomes

May 2013

Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd



Workshop Outcomes

Town Beach Development Strategy



Prepared for Shire of Broome August 2013



Contact Information

Cardno WA Pty Ltd Trading as Cardno ABN 77 009 119 000

11 Harvest Terrace, West Perth WA 6005

Telephone: 08 9273 3888 Facsimile: 08 9486 8664 International: +61 8 9273 3888

wa@cardno.com www.cardno.com

Document Information

Prepared forShire of BroomeProject NameTown Beach Development
StrategyFile Reference20130725-P13005-
SB130005-Workshop
Outcomes.docxJob ReferenceP13005DateAugust 2013

Document Control

Version	Date	Description of Revision	Prepared By	Prepared (Signature)	Reviewed By	Reviewed (Signature)
А	28/08/13	Workshop outcomes report	S. Blackwell	hehen	D. Morgan	Morgan

© Cardno 2013. Copyright in the whole and every part of this document belongs to Cardno and may not be used, sold, transferred, copied or reproduced in whole or in part in any manner or form or in or on any media to any person other than by agreement with Cardno.

This document is produced by Cardno solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. Cardno does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

Table of Contents

Introduction	2
Workshop Process	2
Outcomes	3
Challenges and Opportunities	3
Opportunities	3
Challenges	3
The Personality of Town Beach	5
Aspirations for the Future	6
Design Session	7
Conclusion and Next Steps	11

Appendices

Appendix A	Workshop	Agenda
Appendix B	Workshop	Attendees
Appendix C	Workshop	Responses

Workshop Outcomes Town Beach Development Strategy

Introduction

This report provides a summary of the process and outcomes of the stakeholder consultation workshop for the Town Beach precinct development strategy, which was held on Tuesday 28 May 2013 at the Shire of Broome offices. The workshop and its findings will inform the creation of the Town Beach Development Strategy. The Strategy will become a local planning policy to guide land use and development in the Town Beach precinct and will include a detailed foreshore concept plan.

The workshop represented the culmination of a three day visit to the Town Beach study area by the consultant team from Cardno.

The workshop provided an interactive and enjoyable forum where stakeholders identified the existing and desired qualities of the precinct and explored ways in which these ideas could be realised as the area grows and develops.

The Shire had issued invitations to all residents within the study area, as well as representatives of key precinct stakeholders – residents, landowners, community organisations, businesses, Government and service agencies, and user groups. The agenda of the workshop can be found in Appendix A.

Those who responded to the invitation are identified in Appendix B.

Workshop Process

The workshop took place over one weekday evening session and began with an introduction by the Shire President Graeme Campbell, reminding participants of the importance of their input on key planning projects such as this. Director of Development Services André Schönfeldt then provided some background to the study whilst Cardno's Denise Morgan introduced the team and provided an outline of the evening's agenda (see Appendix A).

Senior Landscape Architect Jeff Allot presented the consultants' own preliminary observations of the issues, opportunities and challenges in the precinct, which workshop participants were asked to consider and later confirm, modify or add to.

The workshop then guided participants through a series of interactive exercises to establish the key areas of agreement. These exercises aimed to arrive at a working 'vision' and elicit ideas of possible ways that this vision could be realised.

The first exercise asked stakeholders to identify the good and the challenging attributes of the precinct and the foreshore by writing a series of 'opportunities' and 'challenges' on post-it notes, that were then arranged into key themes by the facilitators.

A light supper was provided and participants were given the opportunity to discuss key issues among themselves.

The next exercise sought to establish an image of the precinct by asking participants to put forward key adjectives that they felt described the personality of Town Beach as a fellow human being. These traits were qualified as either positive or negative, which provided for some passionate debate among participants and indicated the many faces of the Town Beach precinct.

Participants were then led through a guided contemplation and visioning exercise in which they were asked to imagine the sights, activities, built and natural environment they might encounter when experiencing their ideal Town Beach 'of the future'.

The activities concluded with an interactive design session which gave participants the opportunity to contribute specific ideas for how the precinct and foreshore should look and feel in the future. These ideas were then presented by each group before the workshop was concluded and participants informed of how the workshop findings would be utilised going forward.

Outcomes

The combined outcomes of the four interactive exercises paint an informative and unique picture of the Town Beach precinct. Whilst this workshop represents only a small snapshot of the community's ideas and aspirations, its findings contribute an up to date and geographically targeted addition to the existing body of consultation work undertaken for Town Beach and the greater Broome township.

A concise summary of the workshop findings are outlined below, with a full record of responses provided as Appendix C of this report.

Challenges and Opportunities

Participants were asked to identify the key challenges and opportunities they saw for the Town Beach precinct as it develops, with a range of responses received. Whilst the results covered a broad range of themes, they indicated consensus around a number of key issues. The most commonly held views (four or more responses) are summarised as follows.

Opportunities

- > Improved recreational opportunities, creating meeting spots, family friendly, open to all users (eleven responses)
- > Foreshore walkaway/Jetty to Jetty boardwalk, interpretive trails and viewing platforms (ten responses)
- > Develop/regenerate the Town Beach Foreshore, upgrade open space, activate with retail/food (ten responses)
- > Interpret cultural and environment heritage, information points, interpretive trails (nine responses)
- > Incorporate cultural heritage into design/development and/or creation of historic precinct (six responses)
- > Increased commercial, retail and entertainment opportunities (six responses)
- > Rebuilding old jetty, and/or providing fishing spots for locals (six responses)
- > **Improved transport integration**, multimodal transport strategy, connections with China Town, encourage physical activity (five responses)
- > Reinstate tram (four responses)

Many of the responses mentioned the opportunity of upgrading the Town Beach foreshore open space, however opinions differed as to what form these upgrades might take, from a family picnic area to a community amphitheatre and outdoor music venue. Development and infrastructure dominated the opportunities, with almost two thirds of the responses relating to upgrade projects such as open space improvements, land use changes, integrated transport and key projects such as the Jetty to Jetty boardwalk and tram line.

Challenges

- > Erosion, sea level rising, degradation of pindan cliffs (eleven responses)
- > Parking issues, street/verge parking, managing parking at events (nine responses)
- > Mangroves, mangrove management, retain or remove? (seven responses)
- > Preserving natural environmental (eight responses)
- > Maintain cultural and built form heritage, heritage not destroyed by development, enhance and protect historical values (six responses)
- > **Funding**, financial resources available (six responses)
- > **Density/building heights**, maintain existing scale, preserve character of streetscape (six responses)
- > Tides, understanding and managing coastal processes (six responses)
- > Maintaining the 'Broome-feel', erosion of character through development (four responses)
- Provision of open space, increased population and use of open spaces, development pressures (four responses)

Other responses included the challenges of managing sandflies, safety/security and the difficulty and importance of gaining community consensus (three responses each).

Environmental issues were seen to be by far the greatest challenge for the future growth and development of the Town Beach Precinct, with 46 responses relating to the natural environment. Infrastructure provision and building/land use concerns were also key topics, with 24 and 33 responses respectively, with concern in these areas focused around issues of parking, funding, heritage and overdevelopment.



Figure 1 Some of the responses to the Opportunities and Constraints exercise

The Personality of Town Beach

When asked to outline the key personality traits of the Town Beach precinct, participants offered a range of adjectives that highlighted the diversity of perceptions of the area held by different stakeholders. Contrasting traits such as open/secretive, peaceful/party animal, friendly/antisocial, and restful/active show the diversity of experiences offered by the Town Beach precinct and the different ways in which people interact with and interpret the area. This contrasting perception of Town Beach was further underlined by many of the same adjectives being seen as either a positive or negative trait by different participants, such as: secretive, rigid, progressive, reserved, privileged, noisy, or a party animal.



Figure 2 Adjectives used to describe the positive personality traits of Town Beach

In fact, rather than projecting one distinct personality, Town Beach appears to be perceived as a number of different characters depending on the person experiencing it, what part they are experiencing and at what time. To some Town Beach is a wise old man: distinguished and learned, valued but rigid, restful but tired. To others it is like a playful but troubled teenager: active and sociable, noisy, exciting and changing but disheveled, moody and prone to being rash. Some see it as a kind and gentle mother: nurturing and unpretentious, welcoming, accommodating but overworked and vulnerable. To some it is like a sage: peaceful, inspiring and spiritual, cultural, authentic and unpretentious, yet reserved and enigmatic. Still others see it is a flamboyant backpacker: colourful, scruffy but relaxed, adaptable, friendly but a bit of a party animal.





The variety of responses for this activity suggests that one of the key attributes of the Town Beach is its diversity. This manifests in the diversity of its users, the diversity of activities and functions it accommodates, and people's diverse experience and interpretations of these activities and their setting.

Aspirations for the Future

A guided visioning exercise was conducted where participants were asked to contemplate their ideal 'Town Beach of the future' by imagining the sights, activities, built and natural environment they might encounter when experiencing the precinct. Afterwards they were invited to write some of their vision down and hand it in. Not all participants provided a response to this exercise, however those that did painted a picture of a Town Beach that was active, safe, accessible, culturally grounded, and firmly focused on the experience of the natural setting. The following responses provide a good snapshot of the sentiments shared:

"Trees, beach, people (actively using space), ocean, more birds/animal life, people sharing stories/knowledge, being able to interpret past to appreciate how we got here, more surveillance from buildings, more inviting streets, no dominant car parks, less cars – more people"

"Commercial dealings along waterfront, like vans with ice-cream and coffee (not buildings) – on permits and controlled times. Historical statues or plaques at intervals to make a stroll interesting - Broome history, like pictures of Old Jetty, Meatworks, tram etc. Bench seats, as well as picnic tables, under trees. Shady trees... Water drink fountains, elderly and disabled friendly paths, especially to reach the beach, play grounds."

"Activity – swimming, playing, sand grass paths, café on boardwalk, historical links, heritage waterfront apartments"

Design Session

The workshop culminated in an interactive design session in which people were asked to take the ideas and issues raised over the course of the sessions and propose specific interventions that might help achieve their visions for the precinct. Some of the key design interventions raised were:

- > Relocate the DEC and PCYC sites
- > Establish key land use precincts, with suggested clusters being 'mixed use/high-density residential', 'civic', 'medical/health', 'tourist' and 'residential'
- > Multimodal transport system
 - Hamersley Street as key transport spine
 - Jetty to Jetty boardwalk
 - Good pedestrian infrastructure Well lit and shaded walkways with seating
 - Bike paths
 - Reinstated tram route
 - Frequent pedestrian access ways mid-block, connecting Hamersley Street with the foreshore / boardwalk and Weld Street
 - Increased access to foreshore
- > Rebuilt old jetty, with opportunities for fishing
- > 'Graduated density profile' increasing in height to the west, before lowering down again to blend into Old Broome
- > Upgraded facilities at Demco Park
- > Celebration and interpretation of key points of interest along foreshore
- > Potential water park at town beach
- > Consider caravan park for 'future coastal interaction site' catering to families and food production
- > Reinstated tennis club

Other key objectives raised in the sessions were:

- > Preserve views of Roebuck Bay down Guys Street and other key streets
- > Improved stormwater drainage through nutrient traps etc
- > Biennale type rotating public art / community art
- > Identify new location for markets
- > Preserved cultural heritage



Figure 4 Group 1 ideas from the design session



Figure 5 Group 2 ideas from the design session



Figure 6 Group 3 ideas from the design session



Figure 7 Group 4 ideas from the design session

Conclusion and Next Steps

The outputs of the workshop will inform the preparation of the development strategy and foreshore concept plan, which will be considered by Council before being advertised to allow for community comment.



Figure 8 Workshop participants discussing ideas for Town Beach

Town Beach Development Strategy







Workshop Outcomes Town Beach Development Strategy

Town Beach Precinct Development Strategy Stakeholder Consultation Workshop Agenda

Date	Tuesday 28 May 2013
Time	4:00pm – 8:00pm
Venue	Shire of Broome Administration Centre, Cnr Weld and Haas Streets
Workshop Objective	Confirm a vision for the Town Beach Precinct and Roebuck Bay foreshore

Time	Activity	Facilitator/Presenter
3:45 - 4:00	Arrival and registration	
4:00 - 4:05	Welcome	Shire President
4:05 – 4:15	Introductions, housekeeping, outline of the evening and agenda confirmation	Denise Morgan, Cardno
4:15 – 4:30	Why we are here – background to the study	André Schönfeldt, Shire
4:30 - 5:00	Cardno preliminary observations about the precinct and foreshore	Jeff Allott, Cardno
5:00 - 5:30	Town Beach opportunities and challenges - identify the good and the challenging attributes of the precinct and the foreshore	Denise Morgan, Cardno
5:30 - 6:00	Break – refreshments provided	
6:00 - 6:30	The personality of Town Beach – identify qualities to keep, strengthen, modify, leave behind or introduce	Denise Morgan, Cardno
6:30 - 6:45	Aspirations and a vision for the precinct and foreshore	Denise Morgan, Cardno
6:45 – 7:30	Design session in table groups – putting ideas onto paper	Jeff Allott, Cardno
7:30 – 7:50	Report back – table facilitators share their group's ideas	Denise Morgan, Cardno
7:50 - 8:00	Next steps and close	Denise Morgan, Cardno

Workshop Outcomes Town Beach Development Strategy

Town Beach Development Strategy

APPENDIX B WORKSHOP ATTENDEES





Workshop Outcomes Town Beach Development Strategy

Name	Company/Organisation
Darren Stevens	Department of Environment and Conservation
Cate Gustavsson	Department of Planning
Hilary Wilkins	LandCorp
Chris Mitchell	Regional Development/Shire of Broome
Spencer	Resident
Fred Coates	Resident
Ross Clark	Resident
Carol Shaw	Resident
Laurence McKenzie	Resident
Brian Smith	Resident
Rita Smith	Resident
Clancy McDowell	Resident
William Willis	Resident
Helen Dorsett Bain	Resident
Alison Southern	Resident
Michael Dunnart	Roebuck Bay Caravan Park
Grant Adams	Saleeba Adams Architect
Cr Graeme Campbell	Shire of Broome
Cr Jenny Bloom	Shire of Broome
André Schönfeldt	Shire of Broome
Michelle Teoh	Shire of Broome
Kirsten Wood	Shire of Broome
Alex Mackenzie	Shire of Broome
Neels Pretorius	Shire of Broome
Monica Sullivan	Shire of Broome
Paul Martin	Shire of Broome
Michael Dale	Shire of Broome
Tim Bycroft	Nyamba Buru Yawuru
Sandra Yu	Nyamba Buru Yawuru
Denise Morgan	Cardno
Jeff Allott	Cardno
Simon Blackwell	Cardno
Andrea Brooke-Smith	Cardno

Workshop Outcomes Town Beach Development Strategy

Town Beach Development Strategy

APPENDIX C WORKSHOP RESPONSES





Town Beach Development Strategy Workshop – 28 May 2013

Following is a transcript of the notes taken during the interactive workshop sessions.

Opportunities and Challenges

The participants' responses regarding the opportunities and challenges facing the precinct were grouped into broad themes.

Infrastructure and Key Projects

Opportunities	Challenges
Jetty to Jetty Boardwalk	Maintain/design the area to be able to continue having Staircase to the Moon night markets and other festivals
Build old Jetty	Money to fulfil all of our visions
Develop area from the Pioneer Cemetery to the Catalina's	Better engineering of drains to stop nutrients entering the Bay and causing Lyngbya outbreaks (ie: algal bloom)
Fenced in playground for children to keep safe and locked at night	Managing traffic/parking at events
Foreshore walkways	Cars/parking
Interpretive walking trails including disabled/universal access	Drainage
Elderly and handicap friendly paths/seats/picnic areas	Parking issues relating to access to the precinct currently already an issue for residents on Stairway to the Moon nights
Broadening public access to foreshores	Parking at events eg Stairway to the Moon
Major water park on beach front	Stormwater runoff – outlets, erosion, nutrients to the Bay
Recreational spots and swim area screened off	Funding for public infrastructure – car parking
Tramway redevelopment	Parking issues
Better viewing areas for the staircase to the moon	Power lines should go underground
Get the tram going – very historic and good link to Town Beach and Chinatown	Boat launching facilities in a high use recreational area – parking for trailers and cars
Rail to Male Oval – Town Beach	The existing Water Park takes up too much space
Community Amphitheatre at Town Beach	Street lights
Improved recreation node at Demco (existing car park)	Street parking within the Residential Zones adjacent to Commercial Zones
More user friendly points along the foreshore for pedestrian/boating interaction	Parking – viewing Staircase and Markets
Beach front access for swimming to be maintained	Pedestrian security
Enclosed swimming area	Lack of car parks/traffic
Redevelop Old Jetty – need public fishing spot now New Jetty is difficult	Try not to overdevelop the area between the Old Jetty approach and Mangrove Point (Red Bluff)
Tramline form Town Beach to Dampier Terrace	Precedence of verge parking
Boardwalk along foreshore with retail/food activation opportunities	Financial resources available
Bike paths/foot paths	Funding for Jetty to Jetty Boardwalk
Jetty for fishing	Paying for a jetty

Opportunities	Challenges
Develop Old Jetty into a fishing platform and observation deck for staircase to the moon	
A jetty which would be a popular public space	
Jetty to Jetty project	
Rethink street lighting	
Employment opportunities for a growing youth population	
Love to see a boardwalk	
Increase linkage to foreshore	
View down Guy St at high tide is fantastic! Preserve! Turquoise so uplifting.	
Park 0 foreshore – trees (Savannah) and light and power and water and access and toilets	
Walkability to Chinatown	
Boardwalk (view the Bay)	
Viewing platforms over the mangroves to Roebuck Bay	
Targeted (focused) access to the foreshore	
Develop low impact pathway in the mangrove and intertidal zone	
Enhance areas which have been due for overhaul and upgrade	
Drainage – Preserve and extend Broome's old drainage system – raised roads, wide ditches each side and trees planted nearby. NOT roads as drains.	
A multimodal transport strategy along the foreshore	
Design out crime eg lighting/footpath locations	

Cultural

Opportunities	Challenges
Increasing cultural information sharing	Broome heritage NOT destroyed by progressive development, must be retained
Fishing spot for locals – jetty	Coordinating all stakeholders
Increased community access	Boats
Incorporating Cultural Heritage into design	Protection and celebration of the historical gravestones
Continued community involvement in designing the future visions for Broome	How to preserve the rich, cultural heritage
Share the cultural values specific to Broome eg multi- cultural etc	Keep surf kites off Town Beach
Interpret cultural and environment heritage	Maintaining the Cultural and historical values with development
Heritage interpretation	Security – are we providing a 5 star facility for the backpackers and the homeless
Incorporate historical/cultural area in precinct	
Better recreational activities/spaces	
Interpreting cultural, historic and environmental significance	
Historical viewpoints eg statues, plaques re Pioneers	

Opportunities	Challenges	
and World War times		
Interpretation of heritage and environment with relevance for both locals and visitors		
Heritage – Yawuru and Pearling		
Historic precinct near/around museum- Tramway		
Opportunities to promote the museum		
Potential to enhance and protect the historical values of the precinct from the dangers of high rise development		
History – Indonesia, S/E Asia, pirates		
Incorporating cultural heritage into design		
Cultural heritage of the precinct		
More info displays like at Bedford Park about the Japanese attack		
Creating meeting places and socialising/recreation		
Opportunity to reengage with our heritage		

Buildings and Land Uses:

Opportunities	Challenges
Historic precinct	Caravan Park location
Higher density apartments with sea views (set back from parks)	Balance between business/commercial and residential privacy
Development of the Town Beach area for tourism	Not to create Mini- Beni dorm situation around water front (lots of hotels/high-rise)
Shop at Town Beach open most hours – not especially restaurant	Losing the unique Broome characteristics – access to foreshore
Connecting Chinatown and Town Beach	Location of cemetery
Some retail outlets – dining and other along the area from Town Beach to the Fat Trees Cafe	Attracting the right kind of development and developers
Smith's Beach and in front of Caravan Park – don't initially develop. Leave until later.	Catering for increased population and desires for space and beach access
Make wasted space by museum in to parkland landscape and maybe market area	Jail as a multi-functional arts and music facility
Land tenure reform	Developing more café's, bars and restaurants to create a vibrant precinct
Develop foreshore – POS	Do not relinquish parks for development. Maintain public areas.
Maintain access for swimming	Private ownership of land – may have no interest to redevelop
Control redevelopment of private land to maintain/protect streetscape	Like the idea of a family friendly water park
Creating interesting and often used POS	Caravan Park stopping the continual viewing
POS – varied use by many groups	Caravan park location
Entertainment opportunities – restaurants, music, entertainment	Higher density – presenting character of streetscape
Linkage with Chinatown	Pioneer Cemetery – needs more protection or promotion
Waterfront activation and linkages	Hotels/apartments height of buildings

Opportunities	Challenges
Commercial opportunities linked to water front access	No more commercial development on streets away from foreshore
Expand Town Beach foreshore – POS – relocate car park	Public Open Space in residential areas
Creating historical precinct – integrated	Development of the Kennedy Hill
Museum area could be a much better tourism attraction with money	Noise levels/access issues for residents in the precinct
From Town Beach right around to Museum – multifunctional water front public space – secure and large, music outdoor venue, recreation	Cost of development
Use of public areas	Maintaining existing scale and characteristics
Leave foreshore open for all	Activation/vibrancy – how do we bring more people into the area?
More POS	No more residential development on foreshore
Restore old building on cnr Louis St and Hamersley	Location of Caravan Park in regard to foreshore - POS
Preserving public space	
Caravan Park to stay but access through or around	
Safe and friendly, inviting family picnic area at Town Beach	

Natural Environment

Opportunities	Challenges
Landscape sensitive walk-ways/footpaths throughout the precinct	Erosion and sea level rising
Water/views	Mangroves
Remove a few mangroves at Town Beach – stabilise pindan cliffs	Sandflies
Roebuck Bay is the most important shore, bird watching site in Australia – opportunity for an interpretive centre on birds/cultural values of the Bay. Best ground – bare ground near Fat Tree Café	Reducing erosion
Incorporating the natural environment into the design	Protecting natural environment
Interface with mangroves	Foreshore erosion
Study of sea-like in the Bay	Removing mangroves forever 0 not possible
Ecological – the value of the Bay and foreshore	Pindan Cliffs
Highlighting Broome's landscape	Mangroves to be removed?
Preserving the natural environment (mangroves)	Control erosion to pindan cliff face and other spots
An inter-tidal coastal ecology experience	Environmental issues
The environment values of Roebuck Bay itself - unique	Making the cliffs safe but don't change
	Erosion foreshore – 50m lost to the sea over 40 years
	Big tides
	Pindan cliffs – erosion – how to manage well?
	Mangroves – seek scientific indigenous advice before removing
	Kennedy Hill
	Marine environment/coastal processes

Opportunities	Challenges
	Sandflies, jellyfish, council restrictions
	The tide in and out and all these nice ideas with the tide in. Think about half the time when no water.
	Environmental concerns uncertainty about rising sea level
	Coastal impact sediments come out from Dampier Creek – vital to be aware of impact of water ways and tidal flow
	Conservation of landscape/prevention of increased pollution in Roebuck Bay
	Physical erosion along pindan cliffs
	Environmental issues
	Mangroves – retain or remove
	Erosion and sea level rise
	Potential destruction of the goose that potentially lays the golden egg – ie conservation work on pindan cliffs etc pose specific problems
	Environmental issues eg mangroves
	Any sea front development has major problems with tidal rise and fall, cyclones and sandflies
	Environmental issues – preservation of the natural environment
	Understanding coastal tide changes and design criteria
	Huge tidal changes = challenge
	Street scaping verges within zones of the precinct
	Preserving the health of Roebuck Bay
	Mangrove control
	Preserving bird habitats
	No incorporation of climate change impacts in planning
	Coastal vulnerability – foreshore erosion
	Foreshore erosion – sea level rise

Sense of Place

Opportunities	Challenges
Connecting all areas which retain "Old Broome" and Chinatown cultural, social and environmental	Pressure from developers
More family focus for Town Beach development	Maintaining 'Broome-ness'
Need to keep the open access and varied use of the Bay – not just looking at it, but fishing, crabbing, boating, dog walking etc	Misuse and vandalism
Social connectedness	Broome getting known as a too hard town. We need to get things done, not just talk about it
Colour of the ocean, the view	Poor incorporation of littoral tidal influences on foreshore
The heat/humidity – embrace?	Retain dynamics of 'Broome Town'
Interpretation of important places	Keeping the 'Broome' feel while activating the area for the future

Opportunities	Challenges
The Town Beach Café area, great local hang out	Getting the balance of development vs keeping the vistas
Spaces, paths, destinations that encourage physical activity – walking, riding etc	Love to see the Broome Museum moved to a larger venue
Maintaining vistas and views	Over development = 'Cairns' style of place, not 'Broome' style
Climate responsive design, solar materials used breezeways	Gaining consensus
	People not able to see opportunities for change
	Erosion of character/identity through development
	Community consensus and continued consultation on planning vision
	Community owned Courthouse Markets are currently too small and have no secure tenure – support arts, crafts, music and micro industries of Broome
	Illegal campers
	Illegal living between Caravan Park and Demco needs to be addressed
	How to integrate foreshore and precinct used by range of people of socio-economic background
	Anti-social behaviour is an issue
	To not let the foreshore become a space for the privileged
	Think of Kings Park – vision vs development pressure

Personality Traits

"If Town Beach were a person, how would you describe its personality?"

Positive	Negative
Secretive	Secretive
Relaxed	Dangerous
Adaptable	Vulnerable
Visually Attractive	Scruffy
Open	Rash
Dramatic	Compromised
Home (Indigenous)	Overworked
Peaceful	Moody
Accommodating	Tired
Friendly	Bureaucratic (civil/public service)
Cultural	Dishevelled
Old (history)	Progressive
Changing	Noisy
Nurturing	Privileged
Restful	Party Animal
Moody	Antisocial
Spiritual	Conflicted
Colourful	Reserved
Active	Rigid
Civil (Community)	
Learned	
Sociable	
Progressive	
Distinguished	
Noisy	
Privileged	
Rough Diamond	
Valued	
Party Animal	
Exciting	
Reserved	
Resourceful (rich in resources)	
Enigmatic	
Inspiring	
Rigid	
Welcoming	
Unique	
Authentic	
Unpretentious	

Future

After a short guided meditation, some participants volunteered their personal visions for the future of the precinct.

- > Parkland peace train chugging by going to Chinatown on train. Kids waving, views of ocean, mangroves, blue sea, and then mudflats. Changes in the day of view.
- > Open, spacious, safe road crossings, seating, shady, walkways (as an aged person)
- > Trees, beach, people (actively using space), ocean, more birds/animal life, people sharing stories/knowledge, being able to interpret past to appreciate how we got here, more surveillance from buildings, more inviting streets, no dominant car parks, less cars – more people
- Commercial dealings along front like vans with ice-cream and coffee (not buildings) on permits and controlled times. Historical statues or plaques at intervals to make a stroll interesting on Broome history, like picture of Old Jetty, Meatworks, tram etc. Bench seats only, as well as picnic tables, under trees. Shady trees like Tamarind Cascara (Shower Tree and Poiseanna). Water drink fountains, elderly and disabled friendly paths, especially to reach the beach, play grounds.
- > A rich, cultural precinct, a Yawuru Cultural Centre on the hill, spaces for picnicking/walking/meeting people, doing creative things, a strong arts community
- > Activity swimming, playing, sand grass paths, café on boardwalk, historical links, heritage waterfront apartments
- > Open foreshore no buildings, walk-way grass with interpretive signs, shady sea views, seating, Roebuck Bay Interpretive Centre
- > Exercise Trail, Interpretation, Bough Sheds, gathering places

About Cardno

Cardno is an ASX200 professional infrastructure and environmental services company, with expertise in the development and improvement of physical and social infrastructure for communities around the world. Cardno's team includes leading professionals who plan, design, manage and deliver sustainable projects and community programs. Cardno is an international company, listed on the Australian Securities Exchange [ASX: CDD].

Contact

Perth

11 Harvest Terrace West Perth

PO Box 447 West Perth WA 6872

Phone +61 8 9273 3888 Fax +61 8 9486 8664

wa@cardno.com www.cardno.com





Indicative Costings for Concept Plan Elements

Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd



FORESHORE PRECINCT COST ESTIMATE

ITEM	DESCRIPTION TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	UNIT	QTY F	RATE	TOTAL
	Intersection Upgrade & RAB Construction	Item	1		\$200,000.00
	Road Tie Ins	No	4	\$2,000.00	
	Proposed Off Street Parking (90 Degree)	m2	1210	¢2,000.00 \$75.00	
	Parking Area - On Road (Parallel)	m2	300	\$75.00	¥ ,
	Special Road Pavement	m2	1575	\$105.00	
	opecial Road Favement	1112	10/0	ψ100.00	φ100,070.00
	Sub-total				\$486,625.00
	PARKLAND ENHANCEMENT				
	Pedestrian Pathways (2.0m wide concrete)	lin/m	900	250	\$225,000.00
	Paved Plazas (Coloured exposed aggregate concrete)	m2	700	125	\$87,500.00
	Shade Shelters	No	3	8000	\$24,000.00
	Rubbish Bins	No	5	3600	\$18,000.00
	Bench Seat	No	8	2500	\$20,000.00
	Picnic Settings	No	2	5000	\$10,000.00
	Electric Barbeques	No	2	12500	\$25,000.00
	Foreshore Pathway Markers (Marker plus anchoring)	No	30	500	\$15,000.00
	Beach Access Stairs	m2	300	3500	\$1,050,000.00
	Trees	No	60	750	\$45,000.00
	Turf Works (Including soil amelioration works)	m2	18500	50	\$925,000.00
	Irrigation	m2	18500	30	\$555,000.00
	Sub-total				\$2,999,500.00
	TOTAL FORESHORE PRECINCT				\$3,486,125.00

COSTING SOURCE

Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates

Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates PC Allowance for Artistic Markers Rawlinsons Construction Handbook 2013

Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates Previously tendered contractor rates

ELEMENTS EXCLUDED

Pool House Café/Restaurant Tram Station

Further detailed required before costing can be determined Further detailed required before costing can be determined Further detailed required before costing can be determined

ITEM DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY	RATE	TOTAL	COSTING SOURCE		
TOWN BEACH FORESHORE ENHANCEMENT WORKS COST ESTIMATE							
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT							
Intersection Upgrade & RAB Construction	Item	1		\$200,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
New Roads - Item 54 & 14	m2	3000	\$75.00	\$225,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Road Tie Ins	No	6	\$2,000.00	\$12,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Proposed Off Street Parking (90 Degree)	m2	3000	\$75.00	\$225,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Relocated Town Beach Parking	m2	3200	\$75.00	\$240,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Boat Trailer and Caravan Parking - Formal	m2	2000	\$75.00	\$150,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Boat Trailer and Caravan Parking - Informal	m2	1800	\$75.00	\$135,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Boat Ramp Access Road	m2	1500	1		Previously tendered contractor rates		
Boat Ramp	Item	1	\$100,000.00		Previously tendered contractor rates		
Residential Access Sliplane	m2	500	\$75.00	\$37,500.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Sub-total				\$1,437,000.00			
RECREATION FACILITIES & ENHANCEMENTS							
Skate Park	Item			\$750,000.00	PC Allowance based on costs for similar in South Hedland		
Water Park	Item			\$1,000,000.00	PC Allowance based on costs for similar in Carnarvon		
Tidal Pool (2500m2 in size) (Allowance for earthworks, bund wall, boulder revetment, liner and valve/pumping station)	Item			\$300,000.00	Rawlinsons Construction Handbook 2013		
Amphitheatre (Earthworks, turfing, irrigation plus allowance for Limeston block walling with blocks supplied ex Broome)	Item e			\$500,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Proposed Market Area Redevelopment	m2	2500	150	\$375,000.00	Rawlinsons Construction Handbook 2013		
Fencing to Pioneer Cemetry	lin/m	120	175	\$\$21,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Café Plaza (Coloured exposed aggregate concrete)	m2	600	125	\$75,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Museum Plazas (Coloured exposed aggregate concrete)	m2	1500	125	\$187,500.00	Previously tendered contractor rates		
Sub-Total				\$3,208,500.00			

TOWN BEACH DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY F	RATE	TOTAL	COSTING SOURCE
	PARKLAND ENHANCEMENT					
	Pedestrian Pathways (2.0m wide concrete)	lin/m	500	250	\$125,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Shade Shelters	No	5	8000	\$40,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Rubbish Bins	No	20	3600	\$72,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Bench Seat	No	10	2500	\$25,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Picnic Settings	No	10	5000	\$50,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Electric Barbeques	No	5	12500	\$62,500.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Foreshore Pathway Markers (Marker plus anchoring)	No	10	500	\$5,000.00	PC Allowance for Artistic Markers
	Beach Access Stairs	m2	100	3500	\$350,000.00	Rawlinsons Construction Handbook 2013
	Trees	No	60	750	\$45,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Turf Works (Including soil amelioration works)	m2	24000	50	\$1,200,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Irrigation	m2	24000	30	\$720,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	Sub-total			-	\$2,694,500.00	
	COASTAL PROTECTION WORKS					
	Foreshore stabilisation	lin/m	600	450	\$270,000.00	Previously tendered contractor rates
	(Allowance of 5m3 per linear metre. Rock within 5km of site)					
	Sub-total			-	\$270,000.00	
	TOTAL TOWN BEACH			-	\$7,610,000.00	

ELEMENTS EXCLUDED

Relocated Café Tram Terminus Catalina Hanger Floating Pontoon Further detailed required before costing can be determined Further detailed required before costing can be determined Further detailed required before costing can be determined Further detailed required before costing can be determined



Appendix C

Community Engagement Plan



Old Broome Development Strategy

Community Engagement Plan

March 2014

Project name:	Old Broome Development Strategy
Project owner:	Andre Schonfeldt, Director Development Services
Project manager:	Monica Sullivan, Strategic Planning Coordinator

BACKGROUND

The Shire's adopted *Corporate Business Plan 2013-2017* includes Strategy 1.4.2: 'Develop and Implement a Town Beach Development Strategy.' The Old Broome Development Strategy (OBDS) has been designed to achieve the strategy described above.

The name of the document has been changed from the 'Town Beach Development Strategy' to the 'Old Broome Development Strategy,' as it provides guidance for the entirety of the area identified as 'Precinct 2 – Old Broome' under the Shire of Broome Local Planning Strategy. Precinct 2 – Old Broome encompasses the area bound by Frederick Street to the north, Herbert Street to the west, Roebuck Bay to the east and Reserve 51304 to the south of the Demco residential subdivision to the south.

The Local Planning Strategy was adopted by Council in November 2013 after substantial community engagement and is now awaiting final approval from the Western Australian Planning Commission and Minister for Planning. It contains an objective that Precinct 2 will develop into a mixed use area consisting of residential, tourist and office uses in an open form of development that recognises the historic character of the area. The aim of the OBDS is to provide greater detail as to how this can occur. It does this by presenting objectives, strategies and actions for a variety of key strategy areas, including land use, open space, community facilities, movement, natural resource and environmental management, heritage, urban form and utilities. The OBDS also contains a Concept Plan that visually depicts actions which represent one way of implementing the broad vision of the OBDS, with a focus on Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore.

The Shire engaged consultants to develop the draft OBDS. In May 2013 the consultants held a workshop with the residents in the area, other key stakeholders and the Shire. The outcomes of this workshop have informed the strategic recommendations of the OBDS.

The draft OBDS will be presented to Council for its consideration in March as a Local Planning Policy. In accordance with legislative requirements the wider public will be then invited to provide formal comment on the Local Planning Policy. This plan will set out the manner in which stakeholders and the community will be encouraged to participate in the public comment process to ensure the OBDS is accepted by the Broome community.

ESTABLISH ENGAGEMENT PARAMETERS

Legislative – Under Clause 2.5 of the Shire's Town Planning Scheme No. 4 Local Planning Policies are required to be advertised for a minimum of 21 days, which includes publication of newspaper advertisements once a week for two consecutive weeks.

In February 2014 the Shire adopted a draft Local Planning Policy – Public Consultation – Planning Matters which provides guidance on appropriate consultation levels based upon the potential impact of various proposals. Under this draft policy, a Development Strategy is designated 'Level E' which requires the preparation of a Community Engagement Plan. The minimum consultation requirements for Level E consultation are the same as for a Local Planning Policy as outlined above.

Geographic boundaries - Targeted engagement is planned with landowners,

government agencies, community organisations and Native Title holders with interests in the study area. The wider Broome community will also have the opportunity to participate in various activities.

Budget – Approximately \$5,000 has been set aside for engagement on this project. **Timelines** –

31 March 2014: Public comment period begins

12 May 2014 : Public comment period ends (42 days total)

26 June 2014: Local Planning Policy adopted by Council.

ENGAGEMENT DECISION TO BE MADE / PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

- To ensure the community and key stakeholders in the Shire of Broome are aware of the proposed OBDS and what it will mean from a land use and development perspective if adopted, and that they have the opportunity to consider and comment on these recommendations prior to Council adoption.
- To fulfil the statutory requirements in order to adopt a Local Planning Policy in accordance with *Town Planning Scheme No. 4.*
- To provide the opportunity for the community and key stakeholders to comment on a variety of conceptual ideas, generally involving publicly owned land in Old Broome, that may ultimately proceed as separate projects subject to detailed design and budget considerations.

IDENTIFY TARGET STAKEHOLDERS

Primary Stakeholders (those who have a direct interest in an outcome)

Council EMG Landowners and business owners in Old Broome Yawuru Department of Parks and Wildlife Department of Environment Regulation Water Corporation **Department of Corrective Services** WA Police Department of Sport and Recreation Department of Health - Kimberley Population Health Unit Broome Hospital Department of Education **Broome Primary School** Police and Citizens Youth Council (PCYC) Broome Museum Broome CIRCLE Vinnies Broome Out of School Care Children's Activities (BOSCA) Centacare **RSL** Club Sisters of Saint John of God **Broome Bowling Club** Secondary Stakeholders (those who have a general interest in a project or issue)

Broome Community
Broome Community Groups
Broome Chamber of Commerce
Heritage Council of Western Australia
Department of Aboriginal Affairs
Broome Historical Society
Consultants
Real Estate Agents
Tertiary Stakeholders (those that do not always fit neatly into the primary or secondary)
Media
Shire Staff
Broome Visitors

DETERMINE LEVEL OF ENGAGEMENT

Community Engagement Matrix:



The diagram below illustrates the Community Engagement Matrix:

Matrix Scorecard:

The below table will help you determine the level of engagement for your project. Consider your project and the below statement and place your response in the right hand column:

	SCORE: 1 - 2	SCORE: 3 - 4	SCORE: 5 - 6	Your Project Score
Degree of complexity	There is one clear issue and or problem that needs to be addresses.	There are more than one or two issues/problems that can be resolved.	There are multiple issues/problems and it is unclear how to resolve them.	3
Degree of	The project will	The project will fix a	The project will create a	4

potential community impact/political sensitivity

This project score for Degree of Complexity is: 3

This project score for Degree of community impact/political sensitivity is: 4

Using these scores on the graph, indicates that the project's engagement level should be: **CONSULT**

Below is a table to explain what the different levels of engagement mean:

INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	EMPOWER			
Public Participation Goal:							
To provide the public with balanced, objective information to assist them to understand the problems, alternatives & solutions.	To obtain public feedback on analysis, alternatives and/or decisions.	To work directly with the public throughout the process to ensure that public concerns and aspirations are consistently understood and considered.	To partner with the public in each aspect of the decision including the development of alternatives and the identification of the preferred solution.	To place final decision- making in the hands of the public.			
Promise to the Public	:						
We will keep you informed	We will keep you informed, listen to and acknowledge concerns and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision	We will work with you to ensure that your concerns and aspirations are directly reflected in the alternatives developed and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision.	We will look to you for direct advice and innovation in formulating solutions and incorporate your advice and recommendations into the decisions to the maximum extent possible.	We will implement what you decide.			
Attachment 3

ENGAGEMENT TOOLS AND ACTIVITIES

The Tools selected for this project are:

- Public Displays Shire Office and Library, Courthouse Markets
- Website
- Mailout to landowners, businesses and other key stakeholder groups
- Online Survey (paper copies also available) participants eligable to enter random draw for \$50 voucher
- Advertising/publicity Media Release, Broome Advertiser, ABC Radio, Spirit Radio
- Fact Sheets distributed and displayed on notice boards around town
- Workshops Community members, government agencies and community organisations

ENGAGEMENT ACTION PLAN – please note that dates are indicative only

Date	Tool/Activity	Responsibility	Budget	Status	Communication /message
11 March	Council workshop	DDS, SPC			
13 March	Agenda Item close				
20 March	Agenda Item goes on to website				
	Draft content for online survey, fact sheets	SPC, PO			
27 March	Ordinary Meeting of Council				
31 March	Public comment period begins				
31 March – 4 April	Media release and start of advertising schedule	MPO, SPC, PO			
(then ongoing until	(paper, radio, website). Mail out to owners,				
12 May)	businesses and other stakeholder groups. Public				
	displays set up in Shire office and library. Online				
	Survey launches. Fact Sheets prepared.				
5 April	Public Display – Courthouse Markets	DDS, SPC			

14 April	Workshop with Yawuru Cultural Heritage section	DDS, MPS, SPC, PO			
28 April	Workshop with Government Agencies and	DDS, MPS, SPC, PO			
	Community Organisations				
29 April	Workshop with general public	DDS, MPS, SPC, PO			
3 May	Public Display – Boulevard Shopping Centre	DDS, MPS, SPC, PO			
12 May	Public comment period closes				
26 June	Report, incorporating public submissions and	SPC, DDS			
	outcomes of community engagement, to Council				

DDS: Director Development Services, MPS: Manager Planning Services, SPC: Strategic Planning Coordinator, PO: Planning Officer, MPO: Media & Promotions Officer.

It is recommended that the DDS have the discretion to modify the plan as may be required during the public comment period in order to implement the plan taking into account the availability of stakeholders.

ENGAGEMENT EVALUATION

1. What needs to happen for this process to be a 'success'? (consider the perspectives of both Council and community/stakeholders) Members of the community feel informed about the project and provide a range of considered feedback on documents and plans.

How will we know if this has been achieved? (i.e. visible sign of success)
 A high level of response to the public survey. A wide range of informed submissions on the Local Planning Policy. Stakeholder and community attendance at workshops and events.

- 3. What data will you need to indicate this? (what will you need to demonstrate the results) **Schedule of submissions, collation and summary of survey responses.**
- 4. How will you collect this data? (participant feedback, feedback forms, informal discussions etc): Survey responses and notes from discussions at workshops and public events.

5. How will you document the learning's (both positive and negative) from this process, for future learning for yourself and other in the Shire of

Broome?

A report on the engagement process will be compiled and presented to Council in tandem with the final Local Planning Policy.

REPORTING / FEEDBACK

Council will be advised of the evaluation and outcomes by: Agenda Item (anticipated June 2014) which will contain a Schedule of Submissions and a report on the outcomes of the engagement process.

Stakeholders will be advised of evaluation by: Submitters will be sent letters advising them of Council meeting date when final Item is to be considered. Schedule of Submissions and outcomes report will be publicly available.



Schedule of Submissions



NO.	NAME & ADDRESS	AFFECTED PROPERTY	SUBMISSION	OFFICER COMMENT	OFFICER RECOMMENDATION
1	J. Halmarick		As property owner in Walcott Street, Old Broome, I would like to submit my opinion of the intended development in the area of Old Town Beach.		
a			I fully support that improvements recommended by your department need to be made. Old Town Beach must be made to be very beautiful to safeguard its important status as the original and historical foundation of this great town. However there is one suggested development that I am absolutely against. In section 5.2, paragraph 21 of the plan it is suggested that a Skate Park might be included. I am absolutely NOT in favour of this development in the Old Town Beach area. It is my opinion that it will increase noise pollution and attract a number of unsavoury characters to the area. I am sure there are many areas more suitable to this facility nearer to the main shopping centre where noise would not be noticeable or inconvenient.	The inclusion of the Skate Park in the Concept Plan was based on the outcomes of the Community Survey associated with the Broome 2040 Community Visioning Project. The survey identified the development of a 'Skate Park – all ages' as 12 on a list of desired projects. Officers have undertaken research on the land requirements for a suitable skate park facility. It has been recognised that if a Skate Park an equivalent size to the one currently at BRAC (492m ²) was constructed in the environs of Town Beach as shown on the Concept Plan, it will need to be located within the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park. Should a larger and more comprehensive facility be desired to cater for a range of ages and abilities, more land area will be needed and this will be difficult to assemble given competing priorities for the area. Construction of a Skate Park within the Caravan Park is not considered to be consistent with the current or potential future use of the Park. Additionally, there are valid concerns about potential conflicts between skate park users, tourists and caravan park residents as well as the impacts of additional noise and lighting on amenity. Ultimately, it is considered that the focus of recreational facilities at Town Beach should continue to be water-based activities. Whilst another skate park would be a valuable asset for the Shire, alternative locations are preferable. The Draft Final Sport Recreation and Leisure Plan contemplates an upgrade to the existing facility at BRAC and future provision of a facility in Broome North. For these reasons it is recommended that the Skate Park be removed from the Concept Plan.	Support. Recommend : 1. Amending Figure 4 – Concept Plan ('the Concept Plan') and Figure 6 – Town Beach Foreshore Section to remove Element 21 – Skate Park from the legend and the number 21 from the map. 2. Amending the corresponding text in Section 5.2 – Foreshore Concept Plan to remove Element 21 – Skate Park; 3. Amending Action 13 in Section 4.3.4 (Community Facilities) to remove 'i.e Skate Park, location and size (Refer to Element 21 on Concept Plan).
b			I love the idea of a board walk. Activities for Tourists are certainly needed, and it would be a very pleasurable thing to meander along at a leisurely pace enjoying the views and peaceful tranquillity of Roebuck Bay. If it is long enough, then fitness runners would certainly use it to for jogging as well.	The Concept Plan identifies a jetty to jetty path connecting Streeter's Jetty in Chinatown to the rebuilt Old Jetty at Town beach. Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 24 July 2014 endorsed the Business Case for the Jetty to Jetty Roebuck Bay Coastal Walk and agreed to the consultant's recommendation that Option 3 be the goal (this includes bookending the walk with facilities in Chinatown and Town Beach). The configuration and alignment of the path between Anne Street to Napier Terrace has yet to be formalised and may not be in	Note submission.

				the form of a board walk.	
C			The Tram Line would be a wonderful means of transport to get to an otherwise inaccessible	The OBDS does not consider future tram linkages	Note submission.
C			area. Recently we had course to stay in the Cable Beach side of town. Many of the resorts	beyond the Precinct boundary, however the draft	Note submission.
			there are located beyond walking distance to the beaches, which are the main tourist		
				Local Planning Strategy (2013) ('LPS') contains the	
			attractions to Broome. No one likes waiting a half hour for a bus so unfortunately unless you	following strategy in Section 2.4.10 – Transport and	
			have a car, the beaches are impossible to get to, particularly for "seniors" and in the very	Interchanges:	
			hot weather it is impossible for any pedestrians. So I think it is most important to address	Connect Town Boach to Chingtown with a trans in the	
			this situation.	Connect Town Beach to Chinatown with a tram in the	
				medium term and consider extending the tram line to Cable Beach in the longer term.	
2	C. Durton	12 Daylon Streat			Current Decommend
2	G. Burton	12 Barker Street	As per attached map. Living in a hundred year old house next door to a heritage house.	It is acknowledged that Figure 3 – Places of Heritage	Support . Recommend
	PO Box 823		Across the road from a heritage property. Not to be listed as "Old Broome" goes against	Significance in Part 2 of the Old Broome Development	amending Figure 2 –
	BROOME, WA,		"preservation of existing character". In going from R10 to R40 takes away from concept of	Strategy shows three places on the State Register of	Strategy Plan ('the
	6725		"Old Broome". Try and keep what "character" is left. After living here for more than 40 years	Heritage Places and one place on the Shire's	Strategy Plan') to include
			I have to go to the museum to see it.	Municipal Inventory in the four street blocks between	the area extending south
				Robinson Streets and Weld Streets, extending south	from the mid block
				from Frederick Street to Anne Street. Given this it is	between Frederick and
				considered appropriate to extend the 'Special	Stewart Streets to Anne
				Character Area' boundary to cover these blocks. This	Street between Robinson
				is further consistent with the Shire's <i>Local Housing</i>	and Weld Streets in the
				Strategy 2009.	'Old Broome Special
					Character Area.'
				As a result of this extension, Lot 12 Barker Street will	
				now be included in the 'Special Character Area.' As	
				per Action 4 of Section 4.1.4 of the OBDS, this means	
2				that its R-coding will remain R10.	
3	P. Newman	21 Baker Street	Strongly Disagree with re-opening Walcott Street at Frederick St.	The opening of Walcott Street into a four-way	Support Recommend:
	PO Box 489			intersection was originally intended as one of a series	
	BROOME, WA,			of potential road works designed to improve	1. Deleting the existing
	6725			connectivity in the Precinct. Whilst it is noted that all	text for Action 9(g) in
				road works are indicative and will be subject to the	Section 4.4.4 (Movement)
				findings of a traffic and transport study as outlined in	to remove reference to
				Action 8 in Section 4.4.4 (Movement), officers have	the opening of Walcott
				further considered the Walcott Street proposal in	Street and replacing with
				detail and concluded that the opening would be likely	the following text:
				to compromise the central spine of the Old Broome	
				Special Character Area (OBSCA). The location of a	g. Undertake
				major drain at the termination of Walcott Street	improvements to the
				would make it difficult to implement the proposal.	intersection of Herbert
					Street and Frederick
				Civen this it is recommended that all reference to the	Street to facilitate four-
				Given this, it is recommended that all reference to the	way traffic (Refer to
				opening of Walcott Street be removed from the OBDS	Element 27e on the
				text and plans.	Concept Plan)
				As an alternative, it is recommended that an	2 Doloting the ovicting
				As an alternative, it is recommended that an indicative four way intersection he shown on Herbert	2. Deleting the existing
				indicative four way intersection be shown on Herbert	text for Element 27(e) in
				Street, which is already open to through traffic. This	Section 5.2 – Concept Plan Elements and
				may increase connectivity within the Precinct.	
				Notwithstanding the above it is considered to be	replacing with:
				Notwithstanding the above, it is considered to be	

	1			
				premature to designate road con Strategy Plan prior to the traffic a being completed as the Strategy statutory function. Therefore it is the 'proposed new road connect from the Strategy Plan. A more a depict these proposals would be Options' figure, which could also proposed pedestrian connection links such as the tram, and propo many of these elements will also investigation. It is also considered retain the proposed road connect Plan as it is non-statutory and wi further investigations and detailed
4	D. D'Antoine PO Box 184 BROOME, WA,	22 Robinson Street	Strongly disagree – Robinson Street is busy enough – Good to have at least 1 quiet street. Definitely against re-opening Walcott Street at Frederick Street.	As per submission 3 above.
5	6725 P. Manning	10 Raible Rd	I would like to see the old jetty constructed into an arch walk way, finishing at/near the	Elements on the Concept Plan wi
J	r. wanning		I i would like to see the old jetty constructed into an arch walk way, infishing at/fiear the	

nnections on the and transport study Plan will fulfil a is recommended that tions' be removed appropriate place to e on a 'Movement o include existing and as, public transport osed parking areas as o be subject to further ed appropriate to ctions on the Concept ill be subject to ed design.	 e. the intersection of Herbert Street and Frederick Street will be improved to facilitate four way traffic. 3. Removing all 'proposed new road connections,' 'existing and proposed pedestrian pathways,' 'proposed foreshore walks' and possible tram route' from the Strategy Plan. 4. Amending Figure 3 – Parking Configurations to be titled 'Movement Options' and incorporate the elements listed in Point 3 above. 5. Deleting the 'proposed new road connection' from the intersection of Walcott and Frederick Streets on the modified Figure 3- Movement Options. 6. Including a four-way 'intersection improvement' at the intersection of Herbert and Frederick Streets on the modified Figure 3- Movement Options. 6. Moving the notation '27e' on Figure 4 – Concept Plan from the intersection of Walcott and Frederick Streets to
	intersection of Walcott
	Streets. Support in part. As per
	submission 3 above.
vill be subject to	Note submission.

	PO Box 1645		current café site. People could fish off there at high tide and go for walks along the jetty for	further investigation and detailed
	BROOME, WA, 6725		recreation. This construction could be designed to still allow for boat launching.	Council at the Ordinary Council N 2014 endorsed the Business Case Roebuck Bay Coastal Walk and ag consultant's recommendation th goal (this includes bookending th in Chinatown and Town Beach). remediation of Town Beach Jetty
6	D. Dureau PO Box 262 BROOME, WA, 6725	332 Hopton St	Historically larger beach lots were issue as 1 year, foreshore leases. Reflecting the uncertainties of the pearling industry. Who was exclusive permitted to occupy them. Leases were not renewed as the industry declined and became public fore reserves in the 1970's and 1980's. As intended I oppose development of the Jack block, recommend the shire incorporate it into the foreshore reserve as was the original intention under 1 year leases.	There are two freehold lots along – Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley are held in freehold ownership, t allowed exclusive possession. It is the transfer of land to freehold to
				Were the Shire to incorporate the and Recreation' reserve under To No. 4 or subsequent local plannir landowner may be able to lodge compensation under Section 173 <i>Development Act 2005</i> . Such a cl substantial cost to the Shire and to recommended.
7	D. Dureau PO Box 262 BROOME, WA, 6725	332 Hopton St	To resolve this situation would Shire/Council consider putting a proposal to the owner to accept an exchange block North of this site abutting existing free hold lot. This focusing development on northern end of coastal reserve, leaving a continuous foreshore reserve uninterrupted with buildings to pollute the visual amenity of this unique seascape complementary views driving down Hamersley St.	Council's position on the appropri development on Lot 451 Hamers clear when the lot was zoned 'Mi Planning Scheme No. 4. The 'Mixe been maintained in the draft Loca No. 6.
				Notwithstanding the above, Actio (Land Use) recognises the promir lots and recommends that any de ground floor allow for a high leve which maintains vistas to Roebuc states:
				Any building on these sites should designed, have a light construction four sides. The development shou nor dominate the location visually
				Should future development on the accordance with the recommend considered that amenity impacts
8	J. Hogan PO Box 3314, BROOME, WA, 6725	2 Ellies Crt	At present I see incomplete pedestrian/cycle pathways in the plan. There needs to be a network that includes all streets in Old Broome. At the moment there are no pedestrian paths along Walcott & Barker Street (although Barker appears to have proposed paths). My question is why hasn't Walcott been included?	The intention of the pathway plan 2 – Strategy Plan, was to improve provide for safe and publicly acce pedestrian links. This will allow re foreshore and the streets shown frontages.

ed design. Meeting of 24 July se for the Jetty to Jetty agreed to the hat Option 3 be the he walk with facilities This includes the sy.	
ng the Conti Foreshore y Street. As the lots the landowner is is not known when took place. hese lots into a 'Parks Town Planning Scheme ing scheme, the e a claim for 3 of the <i>Planning and</i> claim could result in a d this action is not	Reject.
priateness of rsley Street was made Aixed Use' under Town xed Use' zoning has cal Planning Scheme tion 7 in Section 4.1.4 inent location of the development on the yel of public access uck Bay. Action 7 also	Reject.
Id be sensitively ion and address all ould not be privatised Ily. the site be designed in dations above, it is to could be minimised.	
an depicted on Figure ve connectivity and cessible east-west residents to access the n as priority active	Support. Recommend amending 'Figure 3 – Movement Options' to include a proposed pedestrian pathway along the length of the eastern side of Walcott

				However, it is noted that Action 2 (Movement) states:
				2. Construct sealed pathways alo
				'priority active frontage' within O
				identified on the Strategy Plan an
				side of each secondary street.
				The Officer's response to submise
				recommends removing the existi
				footpaths from the 'Strategy Plar on a new 'Movement Options' fig
				recommended to include a north
				the 'Movement Options' figure of
				none exists, such as Walcott and
				along the full length of Carnarvor
9	C. Beausein	7 Walcott St	I am concerned about the possible road opening of Walcott St. I think it will impact on the	The potential opening of Walcott
	PO Box 2411, BROOME, WA,		heritage and character of the area. The whole rezoning of the area would impact on heritage and character. I am astounded that Council is considering such a proposal which	in submission 3 above.
	6725		would only damage the environment and character of this very special part of town. I don't	With respect to the 'rezoning of the second
			think a convoy of caravans down Walcott St adds to Old Broome's character. Broome has	that the OBDS itself will not rezo
			very little of its heritage intact, thus proposal is only going to negatively impact on what we	it will provide guidance to Shire s
			have left!	considered rezoning requests that individual landowners in the future fu
				Is necessary as the entirety of the
				shown as 'Mixed Use' under the
				Actions 1-3 in Section 4.7.4 (Urbather the preparation of Design Guide
				control development in:
				• the 'Mixed Use' zone un
				Medium density residen
				where it occurs in the Pr
				Development within the
				Character area.
				The draft DGs have been prepare
				Council's determination will be p They contain a range of Developr
				ensure that the form and bulk of
				the Precinct is compatible with the
				and scale of development. It is comparison
				application of the DGs will preven
				development from taking place.
10	L. Dorsett	Unit 4 Tameka Court,	I have perused the plan to build a large car park on the grassed area adjacent to Town	The Concept Plan is intended to s
	PO Box 499,	Robinson St	Beach. Although I can see there is a need to extra parking to be provided, I cannot agree to	statutory tool to identify potentia
	BUNBURY, WA		the large track of lawn area now used by picnickers and others for ball games etc. to be	orientate them spatially. It does
			converted into parking area.	of detail of a Master Plan and wil
				such. The elements on the Conce
				indicative and subject to future in (including an assessment of feasi

1 2 in Section 4.4.4	Street and the eastern side of Carnarvon Street.
long both sides of each Old Broome as and along at least one	
ission 3 above ting and proposed an' and including them figure. Therefore is th-south pathway on on streets where d Herbert Streets and on Street.	
tt Street is addressed	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
f the area,' it is noted one any land. Rather, e staff and Council in hat may be lodged by ture. This guidance he Old Broome area is e draft LPS (2013).	
elines ('DGs') to	
nder LPS6; ntial development Precinct; and le Old Broome Special	
red and subject to publicly advertised. pment Controls to of development within the existing character considered that the ent inappropriate	
serve as a non- tial project ideas and	Support in part. Recommend:
s not provide the level	Accommenta.
vill not be used as cept Plan are investigations sibility) and detailed	1. That Action 14 in Section 4.4.4 (Movement) be amended to state:

		design. With respect to the car par
		design considerations will need to a
		The overall amount of car p
		Town Beach environs – wh
		number of bays will be grea currently provided;
		 The number of bays to be r
		, Robinson Street area and h
		be configured to minimise
		space;The need to provide access
		to the cafe and water plays
		compliance with Australian
		Parking requirements for the second sec
		boat ramp and Departmen standards for boat ramp ar
		 The interface between any
		parking and existing reside
		Robinson Street.
		It is proposed that these matters b
		through the creation of a 'Town Be
		which will also address the parking
		associated with the Town Beach Bo
		Notwithstanding the above, officer
		reconsidered the most appropriate
		potential changes to the configurat Town Beach on Figure 4 – Concept
		concluded that depicting major cha
		current configuration prior to the in
		design considerations listed above premature. Therefore it is recomm
		Concept Plan be amended to depic
		configuration with an addition row
		along the eastern side of Robinson
		It is also recommended that the te Section 4.4.4 (Movement) and Elen
		5.2 be amended to more accurately
		indicative nature of the recommen
		car parking at Town Beach.
		•

parking areas, future to address:

ar parking within the whether the total greater or fewer than

be relocated to the id how this area will ise loss of green

essible bays adjacent ayground to ensure lian Standards; or the Town Beach eent of Transport o areas; and any relocated car sidential properties on

s be addressed Beach Parking Plan,' ing requirements Boat Ramp upgrades.

cers have ate way to depict the uration of parking at ept Plan and changes to the ne investigation of the we would be nmended that the epict the existing ow of angled parking son Street. e text for Action 14 of Element 17 of Section

lement 17 of Section tely reflect the rendations regarding

Prepare a 'Town Beach Parking Plan' to investigate opportunities to reconfigure the existing Town Beach car and trailer parking to improve safety and amenity. The 'Town Beach Parking Plan' shall also consider parking requirements associated with upgrades to the Town Beach Boat Ramp, and may include the relocation of some parking away from the foreshore.

2. That Element 17 in Section 5.2 be amended to state:

<u>17. Improve Town Beach</u> <u>Vehicle and Boat Trailer</u> <u>Parking</u>

Improvements to the layout of vehicle and boat trailer parking at Town Beach are recommended. A 'Town Beach Parking Plan' will be prepared to guide these improvements. The design of such improvements should consider the need to provide accessible parking bays to other infrastructure along the foreshore, such as the cafe and water playground, and consider the interaction between those using the Town Beach boat ramp and other foreshore users.

3. That Figure 4 – Concept Plan and Figure 6 – Town Beach Foreshore Section

					be amended to depict the current parking configuration at Town Beach with an additional row of angled parking on the eastern side of Robinson Street. 4. That Item 17 on the legend of Figure 4 – Concept Plan and Figure 6 – Town Beach Foreshore Section be amended to state 'Improvements to Town Beach Vehicle and Boat Trailer Parking.'
11	C. McDowell PO Box 580, Broome, WA, 6725	69 Robinson St			
a			I am not ticking one box above as there are elements of the plan that I think are very positive, but there are also elements that I don't agree with and so can't give a black or white response. My response is not undecided – it's "mixed". The elements that I think are positive include the Vision statement and ensuring that proposed elements of development adhere to that vision, such as the Jetty-to-jetty walk, preservation of the areas of special significance and ensuring there is continued community access to the foreshore and town beach areas. However the following features appear to be in direct contradiction of this stated vision and should not be given any priority:	Noted.	Note submission.
b			The tramway through town: The tram was significant for the town's history but trying to re- build a tramline that will interrupt traffic flow, parking and walking is ridiculous. It will be expensive, unsustainable and maintenance-heavy. Why not just have a static display of a tram? Think of the Sydney monorail.	Discussions over reinstating the historical tram line have been ongoing since 2008. As part of this process, several design concepts have been deliberated. The design, implementation and operation of a tram line, proposed to run along Hamersley Street, between Frederick Street and the Museum; will be subject to further study. Asset management, traffic control, engineering constraints and operation/maintenance costs will be investigated as part of this process. A feasibility study will be undertaken to ensure the design and operation of a tram line is viable, prior to the commencement of any associated works. A static display may be one option explored if the outcomes of further studies uncover a number of unforeseeable constraints.	Note submission.
С			The continuation of the road from Hopton St to Hamersley Drive: this is an area that should be a pedestrian zone not a traffic zone. Management of traffic and increasing public	Extending Hamersley Street to Hopton Street is one of a series of potential road works designed to increase	Support in part. Recommend:

		transport to the events in this area should be a priority rather than increasing traffic through this public space.	connectivity in the Precinct. The foreshore area north of Town Beach is currently underutilised and is mostly used for unofficial parking during events held at Town Beach. The extension of Hamersley Street to Hopton Street may be one option to cater for additional traffic generated by proposed enhancements to the foreshore area. It has the potential to encourage additional activation of the area and formalise existing informal usage.	1. Removing all 'proposed new road connections,' 'existing and proposed pedestrian pathways,' 'proposed foreshore walks' and possible tram route' from the Strategy Plan.
			However, it is noted that before any of the proposed road works can be implemented a traffic and transport study is required to holistically consider the existing movement network. As outlined in Action 8 in Section 4.4.4:	2. Amend Figure 3 – Parking Configurations to be titled 'Movement Options' and incorporate the elements listed in Point 1 above.
			8. Undertake a traffic and transport study including an audit of road safety and stormwater management to provide information upon which to base detailed design for transport interventions in Old Broome.	
			It is considered to be premature to designate road connections on the Strategy Plan prior to the traffic and transport study being completed as the Strategy Plan will fulfil a statutory function. Therefore it is recommended that the 'proposed new road	
			connections,' including the extension of Hamersley Street to Hopton Street, be removed from the Strategy Plan. A more appropriate place to depict these proposals would be on a 'Movement Options' figure, which could also include existing and proposed	
			pedestrian connections, public transport links such as the tram, and proposed parking areas as many of these elements will also be subject to further investigation. It is also considered appropriate to retain the proposed road connections on the Concept	
d		Expansion of the Area I "Old Broome Special Character Area" – Broome has unique residential and other buildings and while some of this area has been identified, the concept plan does not fully recognise the extent of heritage within that zone. The zone should be extended to include areas East to Weld St and South to Hopton St. The loss of this character area will be a loss for the nation, not just the town.	 Plan as it is non-statutory and will be subject to further investigations and detailed design. Submission 2 above deals with the extents of the Old Broome Special Character Area and outlines officer's support for increasing the extents to incorporate the area between Robinson and Weld Streets from the mid-block between Frederick and Stewart Street to Anne Street. 	Support in part. As per submission 2 above. Further recommend extending the 'Old Broome Special Character Area' to encompass land
			Officers also considered the area between Herbert and Walcott Streets from Guy to Hopton Street and recognised that this area is shown in the Shire's <i>Local</i> <i>Housing Strategy 2009</i> as retaining the R10 density coding. Whilst the <i>Local Housing Strategy</i> extends this designation to Robert Street, it is not considered	between Herbert and Walcott Streets, from Guy Street to Hopton Street.

-		 		
				appropriate to include the block Robert Streets within the 'Special is mainly comprised of the forme resort which is currently being us accommodation. It is also not cor to include the block between Wa Streets south of Guy Street as thi 'Priority Active Frontage' along it which, as it develops, will influen
				development that occurs on adjo Given the above, it is considered of the 'Special Character Area' be include land between Herbert an Guy and Hopton Streets.
	e		Traffic in Weld and Robinson Streets: the congestion around the Broome Primary School and the hospital is a major safety and traffic issue. Serious consideration needs to be given to increasing parking on Robinson St at school pick up hours, and traffic flow all around the area. This includes questions of Lighting throughout the Old Broome area – street lighting is very inadequate.	Figure 3 – Parking Configurations OBDS (proposed to be updated to Options') proposed formalised ca reserve of both sides of Robinson between Frederick and Anne Stree It is acknowledged that further w done to assess the need for car p improvements throughout the pr
				Section 4.4.4 (Movement) recom 16. Survey the current use of car Broome to establish the nature of including duration of stay(turnove parking, to inform preparation of management strategy which will within road reserves and during e
				It will be especially critical for the carefully examine parking provisi when considering any future dev redevelopment.
				 With respect to lighting in the Pro-Section 4.4.4 (Movement) states: 3. Ensure pathways are illuminate equipped with legible and appropriations of the signage.
	f		The environmental preservation of the foreshore area: all along the foreshore and especially along the pindan cliffs near Town Beach there are erosion problems. Before branching out and developing the area, the fundamental issues of environmental management and maintenance needs to be tackled to ensure these areas are not destroyed. With this Concept plan proposing increased usage of the foreshore and Town Beach areas,	Element 28 of the Concept Plan f Stability and Revetment' and ma to the eroded pindan cliffs at Tov 28 recommends erosion protecti measures to be implemented at along the length of the foreshore
			there is a vital need for serious work on cliff preservation.	revetment to help prevent furthe

a between Hopton and al Character Area' as it er Town Beach Club used for staff onsidered appropriate alcott and Robinson his block will have its eastern boundary nce the type of oining lots.	
e broadened to nd Walcott Streets,	
ns in Part 1 of the to 'Movement car parking in the road on and Weld Street, reets.	Note submission.
work needs to be parking precinct. Action 16 in nmends:	
ar parking in Old of parking use, ver) and demand for of a parking Il consider parking events.	
e Broome Hospital to sion and traffic flows velopment or	
recinct, Action 3 in s:	
ated, shaded and opriate wayfinding	
focuses on 'Coastline akes specific reference wn Beach. Element tion and control vulnerable locations re, including a her erosion of the	Support. No modifications required.

				pindan cliffs. The Shire has alread
			If nothing is done, there won't be a Town Beach foreshore to have any events at.	(Town Beach Revetment Strategy undertaken detailed design to cor
			This will impact directly on other plans such as the preservation of the Pioneer Cemetery (good), the boat ramp plans (will have an impact on environmental damage unless done properly), Rebuilding of the jetty (as above), and the moving of the Town Beach Café (plans to move it to an eroding cliff top sounds a little unsound).	revetment, and is currently source out the works. Other proposed developments alo will be subject to the findings of t Vulnerability Study (CVS) which is The CVS may also identify further which the Shire may wish to invest
g			 Overall, I congratulate the Shire for attempting to find a balance between so many competing interests and looking for a resident and visitor friendly solution to this developing area. However, while the Vision statement is bold and inclusive, the details within the plan do not always match that vision. Don't lose sight of the vision to preserve "Broome's history, culture and environment" in a "low-key scale, retaining the open vistas to Roebuck Bay". 	Noted.
12	R. McKenna PO Box 5103 BROOME, WA, 6725	23 Marul Rd	Object.	Noted.
13	A. Waters & F. Wotherspoon.	17 Walcott St		
a			In particular we object to opening up Walcott Street at the junction with Frederick Street to allow motor vehicle entry into Walcott Street at that point (part27€, page 30). We see no value or benefit to any party in doing so, now or into the future, and can see no evidence of need or benefit detailed in the Development Strategy. The length of Frederick Street between Hamersley Street and the Boulevard shopping centre is already, and has been for some years, a high-risk traffic area. The mitigation strategy of the Broome bypass road has helped but Frederick Street remains a main thoroughfare for local and tourist traffic, as well as light commercial use, to which the unnecessary addition of another intersection will be unhelpful. This is regardless of the fact that a roundabout is already in situ there. Likewise, the opening of Anne Street to Hamersley Street is proposed without evidence of genuine need or benefit. We believe that this too should not be progressed. Opening Walcott Street directly to Frederick Street has been proposed in the past in traffic control proposals for Frederick and Hamersley Streets but quite rightfully has not been progressed.	As per submission 3 above.
b			Our residential property on Walcott Street is situated in Area B declared as "mixed use commercial/civic and administration" in the plan. This appears a long step from the current use, which includes significant residential use at least in design/construction, if not in current use.	The land use designations in the S reflect current use. Rather they an provide guidance as to how the an time and the type of uses that wil the future. The OBDS does not rea will be up to individual landowner Council.
С			Section 4.4 of the Development Strategy regarding Movements appears to give mixed messages about movement modes. It notes: "Challenges often experienced by residents travelling to, from and/or within Old Broome will be addressed through the implementation of the movement network. The coordination and integration of the movement networks should be subject to detailed design." Is there objective evidence of these challenges? What evidence is there that the proposed opening of Anne and Walcott Streets in particular will alleviate these challenges. Detailed designed based on evidence is required, however	As stated above the OBDS states to transport study should be comple road works taking place, including safety and stormwater management transport study will provide evide base detailed design for transport Broome.

eady prepared a plan egy / Plan) and construct the urcing funding to carry	
s along the foreshore of the Shire's Coastal h is currently underway. her adaptive measures, westigate.	
	Note submission.
	Note submission.
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
ne Strategy Plan do not y are intended to e area can evolve over will be encouraged in t rezone any land and it yners to request this of	Reject.
es that a traffic and opleted prior to any ding an audit of road ement. The traffic and vidence upon which to port interventions in Old	Reject.

	despite the extract above, there is evidence that this is being progressed in effect by stealth. Kerbing and crossovers have been provided only down one side of Walcott Street – perhaps leaving the other side more easily readied for widening – for increased traffic volumes, and the already in place roundabout at the Frederick Street intersection being easily amenable for opening to Walcott Street.	The OBDS does not contain any reference to widening Walcott Street. It is noted however that the current 10 year capital works program indicates that Walcott Street from Anne Street to the Kimberley Club is to be widened, kerbed and sealed in 2019-2021. Notwithstanding this, the officer's response to submission 3 above recommends removing all	
d	On the other hand the strategy espouses promotion of pedestrian and bicycle usage through improvements to lighting and paths, but there is a relative lack of development in this area. Quite frankly, given the 15-20 year period of this strategy, we firmly believe that this needs to be recognised and revised. Local government has mandates in, inter alia, public health, environmental health and ecological preservation. Over the course of the 15-20 year period and in keeping with these mandates, emerging urban design, and accepting growing fossil fuel scarcity and human contribution to greenhouse gases and climate change, liberated vehicle access should not be prioritised ahead of pedestrian and other forms of self- propelled or public transport. Even in accepting the "mixed use Commercial/Civic" planning intent of the area, efforts to control motor vehicle traffic to local traffic only should be the principle.	 reference to the potential opening of Walcott Street. The first objective of Section 4.4.2 (Movement) is: 1. To integrate and balance a variety of movement options to create a network that meets the needs of all users. This objective makes it clear that the movement network should not focus solely on vehicles and should equally cater for cyclists and pedestrians. Strategies 1,5,7,9 and 10 in Section 4.4.3 (Movement) and Actions 1,2,3, 4,5,6, 17,18,19,20,21 and 22 in Section 4.4.4 (Movement) look to improve the network for pedestrians and cyclists and increase the usage of public transport. Notwithstanding this, it is not considered desirable to restrict motor vehicle traffic in the Precinct to local use only as the Precinct will continue to play an 	Reject.
e	Crystallised in section 8 Implementation is what we interpret as high levels of ambiguity and/or lack of transparency within the document. It acknowledges that the document does not have the detail of a master plan but then notes that the indicative costings inform the implementation of various actions.	 important role for the wider Broome community by fulfilling civic, recreational, and tourism functions which cater for a wide range of people, not only local residents. Section 6 – Implementation acknowledges that the OBDS does not provide the level of detail of 'a true master plan' and also references indicative costings that were prepared for Concept Plan elements. It is acknowledged that this section as currently written is confusing and does not provide a clear 'way forward.' It is recommended that alternative text be inserted to address this. 	Support. Recommend replacing the entirety of Section 6 – Implementation in Part 1 with the following: Once adopted, the Old Broome Development Strategy will serve as an 'Informing Strategy' under the Shire's Integrated Planning Framework. This means that it will be considered by Council when undertaking revisions to the Strategic Community Plan, the Corporate

The Old Broome Development Strategy has three distinct components:

- A statutory section which will be used as a town planning tool;
- A visionary section which will be used by the Shire to source funding and commence feasibility studies, project planning and detailed design for various project ideas; and
- An explanatory section (Part 2) which contains background information and analysis.

Statutory Section

The Old Broome Development Strategy will be adopted as a Local Planning Policy under the local planning scheme. When considering requests to initiate Scheme Amendments, subdivide land, or undertake new development, the Shire's planners and/or Council will refer to the Strategy Plan as well as the 'Objectives and Principles' 'Strategies and Policies' and 'Actions' outlined in Part 1 of the OBDS for each of the 'Key

Strategy Areas.' These sections form the statutory component of the OBDS. In some cases, planning decisions will be influenced by the outcomes of further studies, which are detailed in the statutory section. Old Broome Urban Design Guidelines will be progressed separately as a Local Planning Policy and will also provide a level of statutory control over the built form of the following types of development in the Old Broome Precinct: • All development within the 'Mixed Use' zone under Local Planning Scheme No. 6; • Medium density Development in the 'Residential' zone (lots with a density of R30 or greater); and • All development within the 'Old **Broome Special** Character Area' as identified in the OBDS Strategy Map. Visionary Section The OBDS Concept Plan and corresponding explanatory text will not have a statutory role. Rather, the purpose of these sections is to *identify potential project* ideas and orientate them

L	1	

spatially. The Concept Plan does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The realisation of elements on the Concept Plan will require additional work, such as feasibility studies and detailed design. In some cases, the OBDS has already identified additional investigations that will be required to realise particular elements, such as the Traffic and Transport Study and the Town Beach Parking Plan.

To assist the Shire in commencing theprocess of progressing elements on the Concept Plan, Cardno (WA) Pty Ltd has prepared a set of indicative costings for some project ideas that are depicted. These costings, which are included as Appendix B to Part 2 of the OBDS, are 'high level' and will require refinement as proposals become more detailed.

Most of the actions in the 'Visionary Section' sit outside the influence of the land use planning framework, and will require a whole of Shire approach to carry them forward. In many cases, collaboration between the Shire, the State, Native Title Holders, local business and the community will be necessary to achieve the

			desired outcomes.
			<u>Way Forward</u>
			Further to the adoption of the OBDS, the projects depicted on the Concept Plan will be assigned to the relevant Shire department/s. Departments will then need to put forward their own project briefs to source funding through Council's annual budgetary process to undertake the necessary studies and actions. As an Informing Strategy under the Shire's Integrated Planning Framework, the OBDS will also feeds into the annual revision of the long Term Financial Plan and Corporate Business Plan.
f	Clear articulation with adjoining other parts of Broome, in particular, P3, and its ongoing development are not well stated and we believe that it is beholden on the Shire of Broome to articulate this rather than to remain silent on it or leave it to discovery by members of the community.	Under the LPS, Precinct 3 is named 'Streeter, Forrest and Matsumoto' and described as 'the post-war residential subdivisions of Broome located west of Herbert Street and south of Frederick Street to the boundary of the Light Industrial Area, including the Broome Cemetery reserves on Port Drive.' The objective for Precinct 3 is: 'Where appropriate, allow urban renewal and infill subdivision.' To date, the Shire has not undertaken any planning to determine where urban renewal and infill subdivision may be appropriate. One of the Guidelines for Precinct 3 under the LPS is to: 'Develop and Urban Renewal Strategy in conjunction with the Department of Housing and Land Owners.' When this occurs, the interface with Precinct 2 will need to be carefully considered.	Support. No modifications required.
g	As was reiterated at the recent public forum (29/4/14), Broome is unique. Development needs to also be progress in retaining that uniqueness. The Shire of Broome in meeting the needs of ratepayers and residents (of Old Brome) must ensure that the strategies, concepts and implementation of these constitutes advances in amenity, social capital and the public good – as can be foreseen for the 15-20 year period of this plan.	Noted.	Note submission.
h	In closing, we note words from South Australia's 2013 Public Health Plan, developed as a requirement of the 2011 SA Public Health Act (and note that Western Australia's equivalent act the Health Act was first proclaimed in 1911): "Stronger healthier communities are	Noted.	Note submission.

		communities which are safe, connected and involved. Communities aren't manufactured by governments or by businesses. It's the people who live there that can make them a better place to live. Yes government and business planning and decisions are important and in many ways set the scene for how a community may function. But it's the people who live there who make a difference to how it actually works. "(South Australia: A Better Place to Live, p54.)	
i		Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback. We trust it will be considered in good faith.	Noted.
14	H. Wilkins Landcorp	We support and congratulate the Shire of Broome on the overall strategy and the encouragement of mixed uses through the precinct whilst preserving the heritage and history of the area. Our further comments for consideration include:	Noted.
a		The strategy doesn't provide any opportunity for absolute waterfront mixed use development. Acknowledging expectations of some sectors of the community regarding foreshore protection and the desire to keep the foreshore as undeveloped as possible, there could be very limited opportunity for development for example to the south of the existing Catalinas residential development, or fronting proposed car/trailer parking in the same area where view corridors would not be blocked.	As depicted on the Strategy Plan, lots in private ownership which c beach frontage which can accom development. For instance, lots 947, 12, 21 and Carnarvon Street are all zoned 'N Local Planning Scheme No.6 and Area D – Mixed Use Tourist/Resic are depicted with a 'Priority Activ their eastern boundary so that n redevelopment should address th Foreshore. Additionally, Lot 451 H be zoned Mixed Use under LPS6 a Section 4.1.4 (Land Use) describe this site should address all four si The land south of the Catalina's r development contains pindan clif substantially affected by erosion. appropriate to recommend perm in this vulnerable area. As stated currently undertaking a CVS which predicted storm surge inundation 1:50, 1:100, 1:200 and 1:500 year planning timeframe of up to 100 data has been made available, th better position to assess future in this will guide decisions as to the distances for absolute beach from the absence of this information, a approach has been taken. The Concept Plan does allow for th Maker's Shed. Should future develop in this location, it could address F sufficiently setback to avoid risk of
b		There could potentially be some confusion around the possibility of limited development eg. Kiosk, café etc. within the designated public open space at Town Beach. Community expectations are that open space remains as such with no development at all and this could	The Concept Plan identifies the p two buildings to be constructed a Beach Foreshore – the relocated

	Note submission.
	Note submission.
n, there are several contain absolute nmodate 'Mixed Use' d Part of Lot 1219 Mixed Use' under d are located within idential. These lots ive Frontage' along new development or the Roebuck Bay Hamersley Street will 5 and Action 7 in es how a building on sides.	Reject.
residential liffs which have been n. It is not considered manent development d above, the Shire is ich will provide on levels for 1:1, 1:10, ar events over a 0 years. Once the CVS he Shire will be in a inundation risk and e appropriate set back ont development. In a precautionary	
r Mixed Use infill he museum and Sail velopment take place Roebuck Bay but be of coastal processes. potential for up to along the Town	Note submission.
d Town Beach Cafe	

	lead to problems should development be proposed at a later date.	and a 'Catalina Plane Hangar and shown to the east of the museum size, and design of these building determined. If these buildings are constructed
		ownership of the Shire and may l operator as is the case currently Cafe. This will allow for a high lev and usage and will prevent privat foreshore.
С	No improvements have been proposed for Carnarvon Street between the Mangrove Hotel and Frederick Street. Whilst acknowledging the cultural heritage significance of this area, there could be an opportunity to improve the streetscape within the road reserve and improve the pedestrian connection between the Mangrove Hotel and Chinatown, for example by having a continuous foot path on one or both sides of the road.	Noted. The Officer's recommend above is to amend Figure 3 – Mo show a proposed footpath along eastern side of Carnarvon Street. the pedestrian network.
d	The designation of the PCYC and surrounds as 'recreation' may constrain redevelopment opportunities in the future as it creates a community expectation as to future use of the site.	Of the five lots shown as 'Recrea Plan, the two westernmost lots a 41185 which has a Management the PCYC for the purpose of 'You it is considered appropriate to de 'Recreation.' The other two lots in the owners contain the PCYC facilities, includ overflow caravan park. The PCYC role in providing recreational opp wider Broome community, and th 'Recreation' is considered approp function is preserved. Having add for recreation in the Precinct is p given the under-provision of Pub area according to the standards s <i>Liveable Neighbourhoods</i> .
		Additionally, it is noted that the I as a 'Public Purpose' Reserve for 'PCYC' under Local Planning Sche Amendment would be required t land.
		Notwithstanding the above, Elem Concept Plan notes the following
		26. PCYC Improvements / Relocat
		Investigations into the long term PCYC will be undertaken to deter relocation as opposed to retainin site and its existing facilities for c and overflow parking.

d Museum,' which is m. The exact location, gs is yet to be	
ed, they will be in the be leased to a private with the Town Beach evel of public access atisation of the	
dation in submission 8 ovement Options to g the full length of the t. This will improve	Support. As per submission 8 above.
ation' on the Strategy are known as Reserve t Order in favour of uth Centre.' Therefore lesignate these lots	Note submission.
ship of PCYC currently ding part of the C plays an important oportunities to the the designation of opriate to ensure this Iditional opportunities particularly important blic Open Space in the set in the WAPC's	
PCYC will be shown r the purpose of eme No. 6. A Scheme to alter the use of this	
ment 26 on the g:	
ation	
n operation of Broome rmine the viability of ng and enhancing the community purposes	

e f			Consideration should be given to locating the proposed skate park location in a more prominent position to avoid anti-social behaviour issues and promote youth activities. Research shows that locating such facilities in out of the way areas increases the likelihood of undesirable activity. Consideration should also be given to extending the special heritage zone southwards to include other notable properties in the area.	Should the future plans of the PCYC change over time subject to these investigations, the OBDS can be amended accordingly. The Officer's response to Submission 1(a) above discusses the removal of the Skate Park from the Concept Plan. The Officer's responses to 2 and 11(d) above discuss the extents of the Old Broome 'Special Character Area' and recommends that additional streets be	Support. As per recommendation 1(a) above. Support. As per recommendations 2 and 11(d) above.
15	D. Galwey PO Box 3642 BROOME, WA, 6725	60 Walcott St		included within the area.	
а			 Old Broome is unique in that one of the few towns in Australia to have a well defined precinct that has changed little since settlement. Its prime features of wide street vistas, large blocks, and a diverse mix of architectural styles and vegetation defines what is often stated as the "essence of Broome". Cable Beach, Roebuck, Sunset Park and Broome North have nothing to do with the allure and feeling of Broome. They are urban development that are common to any town or city in Australia and as such offer town planners every opportunity to provide a mix of alternative land use plans such as high density housing opportunities as required. 	Noted.	Note submission.
b			In terms of history and heritage the Old Broome precinct gives us the opportunity to preserve the unique architectural styles inherent throughout the area. Old Pearlers as they are described are no more important than the other various styles of abodes that have been built over the years.	The OBDS acknowledges the history and heritage of Old Broome. The vision statement specifically references that development must be 'respectful of the rich cultural heritage and natural environment' and Section 4.6 contains 'Objectives and Principles,' 'Strategies/Policy' and 'Actions' relating to the preservation of heritage. Additionally the OBDS designates an' Old Broome Special Character Area' which includes buildings of numerous architectural styles. 'Old Pearlers' are not given preference over other forms of development, however buildings listed on the Shire's Municipal Inventory or the State Register of Heritage Places are recognised as is customary in land use planning practice.	Note submission.
C			The question needs to be asked that given the recognised historic and heritage importance of Old Broome, its unarguable setting as the essence of Broome and its importance of sense of place, why should urban planning be directed at transitioning and changing the area into a mixed use high density urban landscape?	 Whilst the OBDS does encourage portions of the Old Broome precinct to transition to a 'Mixed Use' function, it does not seek to apply 'high density' across the precinct. The draft LPS (2013) identifies the entirety of Old Broome as 'Mixed Use' and at the same time makes clear that development should be in an 'open form' that 'recognises the historic character of the area.' The purpose of the OBDS is to further refine the 	Reject.

		recommendations of the LPS and s
		particular land uses in particular a
		It is noted that land to the east of
		already zoned 'Mixed Use' with a
		under the Shire's Town Planning S
		Under LPS6, the 'Mixed Use' zone west one street to Robinson Stree
		street, lots to the north of the Bro
		will maintain their R10 density coo
		zoned 'Mixed Use,' which will prev
		development from taking place.
		The OBDS includes the majority of
		Guy Street that does not already h
		R40 in the 'Old Broome Special Ch described as Area I). Action 4 of Se
		Use) of the OBDS states:
		4. Retain the R10 density coding in
		It is noted that officers are recom
		extent of Area I includes the 'Mixe
		properties between Weld and Rok
		their density coding will be mainta future. Area I will also extend sout
		Hopton Street between Herbert a
		All development within the 'Specia
		will be limited to a density of R10.
		The OBDS does contemplate an in
		lots that are shown in 'Area D – To
		and not located within the 'Old B Character Area.' With the exception
		Town Beach Club Resort which is a
		at a higher density, these areas a
		Frontages and it is envisaged that
		change as the 'Priority Active Fron
		It is important to note that a dens
		happen as-of-right as the OBDS do
		zoning or density coding of any lot the responsibility of individual lan
		Council to up-code or rezone their
		form of a Scheme Amendment. Sc
		are subject to a lengthy administra
		as such it is envisaged that increas incremental.
		Characteristics of the streetscape road reserves and generous veget
L	1	Liouu reserves and generous veget

d seek to concentrate areas.
of Weld Street is a density of 'R40' g Scheme No. 4 (TPS4). he will be extended eet. Within this one roome Primary School oding even when revent 'high density'
of the area north of / have a density of Character Area' (also Section 4.1.4 (Land
g in Areas G and I .
mmending that the xed Use R10' obinson Streets so ntained into the outh of Guy Street to and Walcott Streets. cial Character Area' 0.
increase in density on Tourist / Residential' Broome Special tion of the former s already developed adjoin Priority Active at their character will ontages' develop.
nsity increase will not does not change the lots. Rather, it will be andowners to apply to eir land through the Scheme Amendments trative process, and ases in density will be
e such as the wide etated verges will be

d Land Use: Strategies/Policy As per the Officer's response 11(d) above. Support in partial of the version of the satisfaction of	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy Reference Figure 2 Strategy Plan Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street As per the Officer's response 11(d) above. Support in partial	t. As per
dLand Use: Strategies/Policy Reference Figure 2 Strategy Plan I recommend the following changes: Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton StreetArea G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton StreetAvea G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton StreetSupport in part 11(d) above.	t. As per
dLand Use: Strategies/Policy Reference Figure 2 Strategy Plan I recommend the following changes: Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton StreetAs per the Officer's response 11(d) above.Support in par 11(d) above.	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street Area G – Residential 1 to B = Residential 1 to B = Residential 1 to B = Residential 1 = Residential 1 to B = Residential 1 = Residential = Residential = Residential 1 = Residential	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street Applan has been adopted for that area. The draft Old Broome Design Guidelines will also address the issue of verge parking and state that it will only be considered where a parking plan has been adopted and landscaping has been adopted both on site and on the remainder of the verge to the satisfaction of the Shire.	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy Reference Figure 2 Strategy Plan I recommend the following changes: Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street As per the Officer's response 11(d) above. Support in par 11(d) above.	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy Reference Figure 2 Strategy Plan I recommend the following changes: As per the Officer's response 11(d) above. Support in par 11(d) above.	t. As per
Image: Strategies/Policy Area G - Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street Considered where a parking plan has been adopted and landscaping has been provided both on site and on the remainder of the verge to the satisfaction of the Shire. Support in particular to be extended to Hopton Street	t. As per
Image: Strategies/Policy Area G - Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street And landscaping has been provided both on site and on the remainder of the verge to the satisfaction of the Shire.	t. As per
Image:	t. As per
Image:	t. As per
d Land Use: Strategies/Policy As per the Officer's response 11(d) above. Support in part 11(d) above. d I recommend the following changes: Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street As per the Officer's response 11(d) above. Support in part 11(d) above.	t. As per
Reference Figure 2 Strategy Plan 11(d) above. I recommend the following changes: Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street	t. As per
I recommend the following changes: Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street	
Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street	
Area G – Residential 1 to be extended to Hopton Street	1
Area I – Old Broome Special Character Area to be extended to Hopton Street.	
Area I – Old Broome Special Character Area to be extended to Hopton Street.	
The extension area incorporates block sizes and architectural styles exactly the same as the	
defined residential 1 area and the Broome Special Character Area.	
I see no reason why the area bounded by Herbert, Guy and Robinson Streets to Hopton	
Street should not be included.	
The boundaries of this area should incorporate the verges up to the boundary of each house	
as the streetscape appeal contributes greatly to the overall special characteristics of this	
area – this is carried all the way from Frederick Street to Hopton Street on Herbert, Walcott,	
and Robinson Streets.	
	+ Ac por
	•
response to 15(c) above. submissions 2	and II(d)
I object to changing the density ratings within the Old Broome precinct. above.	
It is correct that the Shire does not 'control State	
With the proposed extension of The Old Broome Special Character Area the R10 rating Housing Initiatives' as development undertaken by	
should be applied to this area as well. the Department of Housing is considered to be a	
'Public Work' under the Public Works Act 1902.	
The Shire has already allowed compromise to ratings and is seemingly unable to control Section 6 of the Planning and Development Act 2005	
State Housing initiatives. There are enough social issues within the area without further exempts state governments from requiring Planning	
exacerbating the problem.	
Planning and Development Act 2005, local	
Town Planning should be taken into account the impact of zoning changes and potential governments are required to be consulted when a	
power to stop it from taking place or to issue and	
This is why the Shire should carry out a full Social Impact Survey prior to any changes to density ratings and (or land use	
density ratings and/or land use.	
As discussed above, as the OBDS does not effect any	
changes to 'Residential' zoned land (either in density	
or in land use) and these will only be possible as a	
result of a Scheme Amendment. This will happen	

		incrementally and be driven by individual landowners. At this lot-by-lot scale, it would be considered onerous to require individual landowners to carry out Social Impact Assessments. However Shire officers will consider take potential social impacts into consideration when making recommendations to Council on Scheme Amendments.	
f	Open Space: There is a lack of open space throughout the precinct – this is well documented Further work is required to enhance and beautify the foreshore area south of the Women of Pearling Statue to Catalinas. This would provide extra POS and access to Roebuck Bay views.	Noted. Element 2 on the Concept Plan is described as 'Conti Foreshore to Town Beach' and states:Enhancement of the foreshore area between Moonlight Bay Apartments and Town Beach will be undertaken to establish a linear foreshore park, incorporating paths, furniture, shad structures, shade tree and other planting, public art and interpretation of the many and varied stories and historic sites associated with this historic area (eg: McDaniels' Camp).This element encompasses the foreshore south of the Women in Pearling Statue to Catalina's.	Note submission.
g	 Community Facilities: 4.3.4 Actions 1. It may well be that a boat launching ramp at its current location may prove to be inappropriate given the public use facilities that are planned for the immediate Town Beach area. Relocation to another area within the caravan park may offer a solution. 	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non- statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. it is noted, however, that the Shire has already prepared a plan (Town Beach Boat Ramp Plan) and is undertaking detailed design for the boat ramp upgrades, which have been budgeted for construction in 14/15. Relocation of an existing boat ramp to other coastal area requires a detailed assessment of such matters as accessibility, water depth, siltation rates and adequate provision of on land facilities. The Council continues to rigorously pursue with the State Government the issue of improved boat launching facilities.	Note submission.
h	2. The existing Water Park extensions should be done westwards towards Robinson Street The area to the East (Bay) has extensive shade trees and facilities and is extremely well patronised by the local community, particularly young mothers and their young children.	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non- statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. Consideration of the most appropriate	Note submission.

		location for the water park extension can be	
		undertaken during these investigations.	
i	3. I do not see the necessity for a safe swimming area at this time	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-	Support in part.
	5. The net see the necessity for a safe swinning area at this time	statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and	Recommend amending
		orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level	Element 22 in Section 5.2
		of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used	to include the following
		as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are	sentence:
		indicative and will be subject to future investigations	sentence.
		(including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed	The design of the safe
		design.	swimming area will need
			to carefully consider
		The concept for the safe swimming area originated	Broome's large tidal
		from the historic use of shark cages at Town Beach	range, potential
		when the DEMCO Meatworks was in operation. The	environmental impacts
		modern interpretation of this feature has not been	on the beach and
		determined and it is acknowledged that future design	mangroves, and the
		considerations will need to address:	interaction between
			beach users and users of
		• The large tidal range experienced at Town	the boat ramp.
		Beach;	
		• Potential environmental impacts, including:	
		• The possibility of long shore drift	
		which may lead to erosion or	
		sediment redistribution along the	
		main beach; and	
		 The preservation of the mangroves; 	
		 Environmental health considerations 	
		regarding maintenance of public aquatic	
		facilities and limits to the amount of time	
		standing water can be accommodated; and	
		• Interaction between beach users and users of	
		the boat ramp.	
		The integration of these design considerations	
		outside of the scope of this project as the OBDS is	
		principally intended to function as a land use policy.	
		However to acknowledge the above considerations it	
		is recommended that the description of Element 22	
		be amended.	
j	 I do agree to the construction of a new jetty provided it meets community requirements and needs and is not just a token effort. 	As per submission 15(h) above.	Note submission.
k	5. I do not agree to the relocation of the library to Male Oval The Oval is a core part of	The relocation of the Library to Chinatown was first	Note submission.
	the visual introduction to Broome and needs to be maintained without extra	identified in the Chinatown Development Strategy	
	buildings destroying the ambience of this valuable community asset.	which was adopted by Council in February 2013. A	
		specific site was not identified, although it was	
		mentioned that a site which addressed Short Street as	
		one of the principal entries into Chinatown may be	
		appropriate. Nothing in the Chinatown Development	
		Strategy or OBDS suggests it will be on Male Oval.	
	6. Perhaps the shire should undertake an austerity check to ensure that ratepayers are	Element 5 in Section 5.2 of the OBDS states that the	Note submission.
	getting value for money. Any increase in administration offices should be subject to	potential incorporation of the Library building into	
	getting value for money. Any increase in administration offices should be subject to		

	outropole oudit of our port portoonal poods and out durity the	the Administration office will be to follow all affice	
	a vigorous audit of current personnel needs and productivity.	the Administration office will be to 'allow all office	
		staff to be accommodated in the same building.' This	
		is because some technical staff are currently located	
		at the former Shire office on the corner of Weld and	
		Barker Streets. It does not provide any indication that	
		staff numbers will be increased.	
m	7. I oppose any plan to redevelop the Baker Street office site. This site should be held	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-	Note submission.
	for future expansion of civic services.	statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and	
		spatially locate them. It does not provide the level of	
		detail of a Master Plan and should not be used as	
		such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative	
		and will be subject to future investigations (including	
		an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design.	
		an assessment of reasisticy and actuated actignt	
		The Barker Street office is also known as Reserve	
		2909 with a Management Order in favour of the Shire	
		5	
		of Broome for the purpose of 'Municipal Office Site.'	
		Under LPS6 it will be classified as a 'Public Purpose'	
		Local Scheme Reserve for the purpose of 'Civic and	
		Cultural.' A Scheme Amendment and cancellation of	
		the Reserve will be required to utilise the site for	
		another purpose, and both of these processes will be	
		subject to a period of public advertising.	
		Notwithstanding the above, it is considered relevant	
		to leave the proposed redevelopment on the Concept	
		Plan. Should the Shire be able to successfully	
		accommodate all its administrative functions into the	
		primary office the Barker Street site could be	
		rationalised to fund other civic improvements or	
		Council priorities.	
n	8. I oppose any infill development of the Court House site. This site has significant	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-	Note submission.
	historic and heritage importance and must be preserved.	statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and	
		orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level	
		of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used	
		as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are	
		indicative and will be subject to future investigations	
		(including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed	
		design.	
		The Court House is listed on the State Register of	
		Heritage Places and any future development	
		proposals would require mandatory referral to the	
		State Heritage Office for assessment.	
0	9. Any expansion of the hospital should be by adding additional stories. The hospital		Support in part.
	already has a large footprint and any extensions should not be at the expense of	The hospital site is also known as Reserve 3596 with a	Recommend 1. Amending
	surrounding residential areas.	Management Order in favour of the Minister for	Figure 4 – Concept Plan
	-		to remove Element 7 –
	The Southern area of the hospital would offer opportunities for multi storey	Health for the purpose of 'Hospital and Allied	
	construction.	Purposes.' Future development or redevelopment of	Hospital Expansion from
	Given the growth and need for expansion, I would propose that a serious study	the hospital would be considered a 'Public Work'	the legend and the
	should be undertaken to review relocating the hospital to an area such as Cable	under the Public Works Act 1902 and hence be	number 7 from the map;

Planning and Hospital is re proposals to LPS6 will lin wall height of metres while this is the sa under TPS4. Since the St met with the that that the cur- demand und time, there off-site. Hos they have no they hav	rom obtaining Planning Approval under the and Development Act 2005. However, the is required to refer future development is to the Shire for comment. I limit non-residential building height to a ht of 10 metres and an overall height of 14 which is generally equivalent to three stories. I same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional is the hospital be proposed, during the brocess the Shire would advise that the ents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference spital expansion be removed from the	 2. Amending the corresponding text in Section 5.2 – Foreshore Concept Plan to remove Element 7 – Hospital Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community Facilities).
Hospital is n proposals to LPS6 will lin wall height metres which This is the sa under TPS4. stories at th referral pro- requirement Since the St met with tho that the cur demand unt time, there i off-site. Hos they have n would requi For this reas to the hospi	is required to refer future development is to the Shire for comment. I limit non-residential building height to a ht of 10 metres and an overall height of 14 which is generally equivalent to three stories. Is same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the the nospital be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	corresponding text in Section 5.2 – Foreshore Concept Plan to remove Element 7 – Hospital Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community
proposals to LPS6 will lin wall height metres whic This is the sa under TPS4. stories at th referral pro- requiremen Since the st met with th that the curr demand unt time, there i off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas at the hospi	s to the Shire for comment. I limit non-residential building height to a ht of 10 metres and an overall height of 14 which is generally equivalent to three stories. e same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the sents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	corresponding text in Section 5.2 – Foreshore Concept Plan to remove Element 7 – Hospital Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community
LPS6 will lin wall height metres whic This is the sa under TPS4. stories at th referral pro- requiremen Since the St met with th that the cur demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	I limit non-residential building height to a ht of 10 metres and an overall height of 14 which is generally equivalent to three stories. e same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the tents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Section 5.2 – Foreshore Concept Plan to remove Element 7 – Hospital Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community
wall height of metres which This is the sa- under TPS4. stories at the referral pro- requirement Since the Stri- met with the that the curr demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have mu would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	ht of 10 metres and an overall height of 14 which is generally equivalent to three stories. e same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the sents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Concept Plan to remove Element 7 – Hospital Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community
wall height of metres which This is the sa under TPS4. stories at the referral pro- requiremen Since the St met with tho that the curr demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have m would require for this reases to the hospi Strategy and	ht of 10 metres and an overall height of 14 which is generally equivalent to three stories. e same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the sents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Element 7 – Hospital Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community
Image: Second	which is generally equivalent to three stories. e same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the tents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Expansion; 3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community
This is the sa under TPS4. stories at th referral pro- requirement Since the Str met with th that the cur demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	e same requirement that is currently in place S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the ents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	<i>3. Remove Action 9 from Section 4.3.4 (Community</i>
under TPS4. stories at the referral pro- requirement Since the Str met with the that the cur demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	S4. Should an application for additional the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the tents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Section 4.3.4 (Community
stories at the referral pro- requirement Since the Sti met with the that the curri demand unt time, the unt time,	the hospital be proposed, during the process the Shire would advise that the pents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Section 4.3.4 (Community
Image: state of the state	process the Shire would advise that the lents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Section 4.3.4 (Community
Since the Stumet with the that the currequirement demand unt time, there is off-site. Hos they have no would require for this reast to the hospin Strategy and St	ents of LPS6 should be adhered to. Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
Since the Sti met with the that the cur demand unt time, there is off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	Strategy was advertised, Shire officers have the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	Facilities).
met with the that the curr demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
met with the that the curr demand unt time, there off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	the Broome Hospital and have been advised current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
Image: start star	current site has the capacity to cater for until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
demand unt time, there is off-site. Hos they have no would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	until 2021, and potentially 2025. After this re is potential to relocate some components Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
Image: Strategy and Strate	re is potential to relocate some components dospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
Image: state of the state	Hospital administrators have advised that e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
Image: state of the state	e no intention of expanding to the north, as it quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
would requi For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	quire the acquisition of privately owned land. eason it is recommended that all reference	
For this reas to the hospi Strategy and	eason it is recommended that all reference	
to the hospi		
Strategy and		
	and Concept Plan.	
p 10. I support the plan for a new Town Beach Café/Restaurant location. Noted. The	he Concept Plan shows the expansion of the	Note submission.
	rk into the current cafe site however	
	ve configurations can be explored as part of	
	osed Reserve Management Plan.	
	egy Plan locates the PCYC and surrounds in	Support. No modifications
	F - Recreation'. Preferred uses within	required.
	F will include 'Community Purposes,'	
	on Indoor,' 'Recreation Outdoor,' 'Club	
	,' 'Health Club' and 'Private Recreation' all of	
	cilitate the development of recreational	
community meeting venues to support the Old Broome precinct. activities for	-	
	currently occupied by the Department of	Support in part.
	d Wildlife / Department of Environment	Recommend amending
	on (formerly DEC) offices is owned in freehold	Element 25 in Section 5.2
	epartment of Conservation, and is also	to state:
	Reserve 1644 with a Management Order in	
	the Lands and Forests Commission for the	25. Redevelop and
purpose of '	of 'Offices, Nursery, Education and	Expand Caravan Park
	ry Camping Ground.' Any proposed plans for	
	n would need to be developed in	It is proposed to liaise
	tion with the landowners. It is	with the Department of
	ended that the description of Element 25 in	Parks and Wildlife /
	ept Plan be amended to reflect this.	Department of
		Environment Regulation
It is also cor	considered that the name of the Element as	(formerly Department of
	op Caravan Park and Incorporate	Environment and

		Government Offices' is confusing as it could be interpreted as incorporating new government offices within the existing caravan park, which is not the intention. It is further recommended that the name be changed to 'Redevelop and Expand Caravan Park' to better reflect the intention of the Element.	Conservation, or DEC) to investigate the feasibility and possibility of relocating the current offices and yard to create additional vacant land to cater for the expansion of the caravan park. Opportunities to enhance the caravan park facilities through strategic redevelopment will also be investigated.
S	 13. I oppose the building of a skate park in proximity to the water park. The water park is predominantly used by young families. In addition there are a number of new mother's groups that meet regularly under the shade trees next to the play area. Given the age profile of most skateboard users I do not believe it is a good idea to have a skate park in close proximity to the water park which is used by much younger children and young families. 	As per submission 1(a) above it is recommended that the Skate Park be removed from the Concept Plan.	Support. As per submission 1(a) above.
t	 4.4 Movement 4.4.2/4.4.3 These are all feel good statements that have no real meaning or actions and are more aspirational than realistic. Before we get carried away about facilities for cyclists a survey should be undertaken to establish cycle use in the Old Broome area. Footpaths at the moment appear to be haphazard throughout the area. It should be a priority to provide footpaths on every street. 	 The recommendations of the OBDS with respect to the provision of bicycle facilities are consistent with the WAPC's <i>Development Control Policy 1.5 – Bicycle Planning</i>. The Officer's response to submission 8 above recommends that footpaths be shown on every street. 	Support in part. As per submission 8 above.
U	 4.4.4 9a. I am opposed to the extension of Hamersley Street towards Town Beach as far as an extension of Hopton Street. This section of Hamersley Street is bounded by high density developments and increased traffic flow is neither warranted nor welcome. This is unnecessary and may well be impossible due to the fact the area in question is a designated historic site. 	As per submission 11(c) above.	Note submission.
V	9b. I object to the proposal to create a formal road link at the Seaview shopping centre. This would create enormous pressure on traffic use as well as parking for the customers and visitors to the shops, restaurant and museum.	Creating a formal road link at the Seaview Shopping Centre is one of a series of potential road works designed to increase connectivity in the Precinct. However, it is noted that before any of the proposed road works can be implemented a traffic and transport study is required to holistically consider the existing movement network. As outlined in Action 8 in Section 4.4.4: 8. Undertake a traffic and transport study including an audit of road safety and stormwater management to provide information upon which to base detailed design for transport interventions in Old Broome.	Support in part. Recommend: 1. Removing all 'proposed new road connections,' 'existing and proposed pedestrian pathways,' 'proposed foreshore walks' and possible tram route' from the Strategy Plan. 2. Amend Figure 3 –

		It is considered to be premature to designate road connections on the Strategy Plan prior to the traffic and transport study being completed as the Strategy Plan will fulfil a statutory function. Therefore it is recommended that the 'proposed new road connections,' including the formal road link at the Seaview Shopping Centre, be removed from the Strategy Plan. A more appropriate place to depict these proposals would be on a 'Movement Options' figure, which could also include existing and proposed pedestrian connections, public transport links such as the tram, and proposed parking areas as many of these elements will also be subject to further investigation. It is also considered appropriate to retain the proposed road connections on the Concept Plan as it is non-statutory and will be subject to further investigations and detailed design. It is noted that the provision of adequate parking for the existing shopping centre and museum will be a key consideration during further investigations for this proposal.	Parking Configurations to be titled 'Movement Options' and incorporate the elements listed in Point 1 above.
w	9c. Traffic flows seamlessly through the transition from Guy to Hamersley streets. The creation of a T-intersection will result in traffic bottlenecks and build-ups possibly compromising the safety of the Guy/Robinson street roundabout	As per submission 15(v) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15(v) above.
x	9f. whilst this idea has some merit, I oppose reduced speed limits for this section as it will confuse drivers and is not needed. Speed can be regulated as and when required during times of special activity.	As per submission 15(v) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15(v) above.
У	9g. I strongly oppose the opening of Walcott Street at Frederick Street. This will result in heavy traffic use on this road that will compromise the amenity of the area. How do you reconcile the "special characteristic area" and turning one of its main streetscape into a major thoroughfare?	As per submission 3 above.	Support in part. As per submission 16(v) above.
Z	9h. I oppose the creation of a roundabout at the Haas and Hamersley streets intersection. It is not necessary as traffic use does not warrant the disruption to the smooth flow of traffic in and out of the town centre.	As per submission 15(v) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15(v) above.
99	19. How do you identify the end of trip locations? Bicycle racks in secure locations is possible but provision of shower facilities for staff is a decision best left to business based on actual demand and capacity to provide these benefits, not by regulation based on a whim and feel good policy.	The WAPC has adopted a <i>Development Control Policy</i> 1.5 – <i>Bicycle Planning</i> (DCP1.5) The first policy objective of DCP1.5 is: 'to make cycling safer and more convenient through the provision of end-of-trip facilities and by the provision of better cycle route networks.' Section 3.4 of the DCP1.5 states: <i>The provision of appropriate bicycle facilities through</i> <i>the imposition of development conditions dealing with</i> <i>such matters as the type, number and location of</i> <i>bicycle parking</i> <i>facilities, and the installation of showers and change</i>	Support. Recommend replacing the word 'Require' in Action 19 in Section 4.4.4(Movement) with the word 'Encourage.'

			rooms is supported for locations s shopping centres factories offices educational establishme sport, leisure and enterto health centres and hospi libraries and other public rail and bus stations major places of employn parks beaches and recreation v
			• tourist attractions. It is acknowledged that the DCP showers and changing rooms for development, but rather for development, but rather for development generate a high level of employn as community facilities. To reflect recommended that the action be
bb		21. The roads in Old Broome are not wide enough to have designated cycle lanes. Cycle routes should be by designated paths along the verges shared with pedestrian pathways.	Action 20 of Section 4.4.4 (Mover Prepare a comprehensive Bicycle promote recommendations for a cycleway network. It is anticipated that the designat street cycle routes will be informe Strategy.
cc		 22. A full feasibility plan should be undertaken for this project. The amount of capital required to build the system would be substantial and the annual costs to maintain the system could be a financial nightmare. The tourist season may not be long enough to cover the annual running costs. Unless it is run by private enterprise, I would be against ratepayers or taxpayers fund this project. It could be beneficial to run a motorised tram service to ascertain the viability of this service prior to committing ratepayer funding to this project. 	As per submission 11(b) above.
dd		23. A full survey of usage of both ramps (Catalinas and Town Beach) should be undertaken prior to any relocation and/or provision of trailer parking. I have been a resident here for many years and at best I have only ever seen a maximum of 10 boat trailers at either ramp at any one time.	As per submission 15(g) above.
ee		 4.6 Heritage 4.6.2 Objectives and Principles If any new development in Old Broome is to build on the areas unique mix of Aboriginal, European and Asian Heritage and retain and preserve the heritage significance of Old Broome then there is no place for infill developments and higher density housing codes. There is also a need to restrict building heights and introduce building design and material guidelines to preserve the heritage significance of the area. 	As per submission 15(c) above. L building heights and contains dev for building design and materials in greater detail through the draf Guidelines.

such as:	
ents tainment centres pitals ic buildings	
ment	
venues	
P does not require or all non-residential velopments that ment and use, as well ect this it is oe re-worded.	
ement) states:	Note submission.
e Strategy which will a Broome-wide	
ation of suitable on- ned by the Bicycle	
	Note submission.
	Note submission.
LPS6 will restrict evelopment controls s which are described aft Old Broome Design	Support in part. No modifications required.

ff	4.6.4 Actions	Notod	Noto submission
Π		Noted.	Note submission.
	1. The heritage train should be tied in with work being undertaken for the Chinatown		
	heritage trail.	The Concernt Diag shows the group and Verymon	Nata subsciesion
gg	2. I would only support this If it is to be fully funded by the Yawuru.	The Concept Plan shows the proposed Yawuru	Note submission.
		Cultural Centre to be located on Lot 1219 Carnarvon	
		Street which is in the ownership of Yawuru. It will not	
		be the responsibility of the Shire to construct the	
		Cultural Centre.	
hh	3. Agree with the conversation and restoration of the former Bourne and Ingliss Store if at	Noted.	Note submission.
	all possible. Failing this it should be removed.		
i	4. If the land use of this historic area is approved for this project, how will it be funded?	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-	Note submission.
		statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and	
		orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level	
		of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used	
		as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are	
		indicative and will be subject to future investigations	
		(including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed	
		design.	
		Depending on the cast of the element grant funding	
		Depending on the cost of the element, grant funding	
:		may be sought.	Nete coloriación
j	5. Would a low fence deter anti-social behaviour?	A low fence is desirable in order to be historically	Note submission.
		appropriate and to not visually detract from the	
		cemetery. There are no guarantees that anti-social	
		behaviour will be deterred, however the fence will	
		clearly demarcate the area of the cemetery from the	
		remainder of the reserve.	
kk	4.7 Urban Form	As per submission 15(c) above.	Reject.
	4.7.1 Introduction		
	This introduction clearly illustrates the need to place substance over form. Had this been		
	written in plain, logical, understandable English it could have been explained in one or two		
	sentences.		
	The questions need to be asked, however, that given the recognised historic and heritage		
	importance of Old Broome, its unarguable setting as the essence of Broome and its		
	importance of sense of place, why should urban planning be directed at transitioning and		
	changing the area into a mixed use high density urban landscape.		
11	4.7.2 Objectives and Principles	The proposed draft Old Broome Design Guidelines	Support. No modification
	1. Broome style architecture: This needs to be defined and then enforced.	define 'Broome- style' as:	required.
			required.
		An urban design and housing typology based upon	
		adaptation to climate and practicality of construction,	
		which over time has come to represent our	
		understanding of traditional Broome character.	
		Clause 5.12 of LPS6 deals with Broome-style	
		architecture through the following:	
		5.12.1 The provision of this clause only apply to	

			development within the Town Cer Mixed Use, Tourist, Service Comm Residential zones.
			5.12.2 The building style of all bu Scheme area are to be low scale have regard for local climatic con- traditional architecture features, roof, single and multiple hipped ro colourbond roof, and predominan colourbond or timber.
			5.12.3 Verandahs, shutters, and s should be included in developmen penetration and increase access t
			5.12.4 Materials of concrete, brick rendered walls must be painted a reduce the impact of thermal hea
mm		 2. Fifty years ago we designed houses that were climate responsive – houses were elevated and had wide verandas to shield the interior of the home from the direct sunlight on windows and doors. Large blocks captured the natural air flow (breezes_ and were vegetated with trees and garden to provide elements of shade and cooling. That sums up the style of housing development in Old Broome. 	The draft Old Broome Design Guid sections on solar design, ventilation and landscaping which taken toge produce climate- responsive dever the principles in these sections ar development patterns.
		Compare that with Roebuck and Broome North – blocks so small that it is impossible to have buildings that offer any form of protection from the elements such as wide eaves etc. What we have is buildings that rely on high energy use to provide cooling and no garden area to provide for shade trees. To look at these developments all one sees is a sea of rooves.	
nn		3. Perhaps someone could explain what this really means?	'Active building frontages facing t means when a building connects like a street or an area of Public C be designed in such a way that it interaction with, and surveillance place. This can be achieved by the windows and door openings, clea entries, verandahs and balconies, blank walls and high fences.
00		 4.7.3 Strategies/Policy 1. Agree with this but would like to have an explanation as to how this reconciles with medium density housing codes of R40 plus given what one sees around Broome with the encroachment of infill housing. Two examples are in Guy Street where Council strategies and Policy have been totally 	A component of landscaping for multiple dwellings is required three Policy 3.1 - Residential Design Coo However, as discussed above whe public works (including the constr housing) the State Government is
		ignored.	The draft Old Broome Design Guid section on landscaping which will the Precinct and will help achieve OBDS.

entre, Local Centre, mercial and	
ouildings within the e of building bulk and nditions and r, including a pitched roof, gables, ant wall materials of	
similar features ent to reduce solar to prevailing breezes. ick, zincalume or and /or treated to patload.	
uidelines contain tion, outdoor living gether are intended to velopment. Many of are based on historical	Note submission.
the public realm' s with a public place, Open Space, it should it encourages e of, that public he inclusion of early defined building s, etc. rather than	Note submission.
r grouped and prough <i>State Planning</i> odes (R-Codes). hen undertaking struction of public is not required to uncil policy.	Note submission.
ill apply throughout ve the strategies in the	

рр			3. A consistent approach to the treatment of streets and verges and car parking is extremely important to both the protection and enhancement of Old Broome particularly in relation to	Noted.
			the desired character and function of the local streetscape within the Old Broome area. Verges need to be maintained (rubbish free) and kept mown. Parking of vehicles and use of verges as vehicular shortcuts contribute to dust problems and must be addressed.	
			Given the wide verges and the reluctance of Council to insist residents maintain their verges, Council must accept responsibility to keep verges mown and rubbish free to enhance the streetscape character.	
qq			5. There should be a restriction of building heights to two storeys throughout Old Broome precinct. A debate needs to be had about single storey height restrictions along the Roebuck Bay foreshore to preserve the open vistas and airflows.	Building height restrictions are dis submission 16(o) above. The Stra limit building height along the Roo to two stories, which is considere
			The only exception to this would be expansion of the hospital which should be multi storey construction rather than expansion into residential areas.	The draft Old Broome Design Guid will contain development controls of Roebuck Bay. The Guidelines al on building height which will ensu development maintains a 'human
16	D & T Hutchinson PO Box 9 BROOME, WA, 6725	84 Robinson St	Our objection is to the removal of any of the existing grassed and garden area between the water park and the Hopton Street drain for the construction of a vehicle and trailer parking area. We, along with many other local residents, have used this recreation area with our families for many years, and still do. The existing facilities now in place have worked extremely well in the past, and should be allowed to continue to do so in the future.	As per submission 10 above.
17	B. Bunning PO Box 44, BROOME, WA, 6725	4 & 8 Walcott Street		
а			Dear Sir. I, Robert George Bunning of 17 View St, Peppermint Grove WA6011 make the following submission regarding the Draft Old Broome Development Strategy (DOBDS) on behalf of, as Chairman, of family companies Aileendonan Investments Pty Ltd and Aileendonan Broome Holdings Pty Ltd which are the owners of Broome properties, 8 Walcott St and 4 Walcott St respectively.	These concerns are addressed in t below.
			Sir we are most concerned with the policies and recommendations of the DOBDS as they apply to our area of immediate interest in Area G, B and D within the Old Broome Special Character Area I and Walcott St which bisects Area I. Our fundamental concern is that the whole thrust of the DOBDS is to pave the way for invasion of the old residential areas with Mixed Use commercial offices, shops, consulting rooms and other forms of non residential uses and the further expansion into Area I by the Hospital and other medical services.	
			We contend that it is the people who live here, the residents and their residences and gardens who give the area its special character and charm. Unfortunately we expect that, if properties convert to Mixed Use, the population of residents will lower, the ambiance of the area will reduce and the desirability of the area as a place to live will be diminished. Once this trend starts a general exodus will be inevitable and the Old Broome Character will be lost. The recommendation to change the zoning of these areas to Mixed Use rather than maintaining them to be primarily Residential is quite counter productive to the Shires stated actions to maintain the abare the area of Old Broome I for the Shires stated actions the action of the second states areas and shares areas to the second states areas to the shires stated actions the action of the second states areas and shares areas to the Shires stated actions the action of the second states areas and shares are states areas to the shires stated actions the action of the second states areas are states areas to the shires stated actions the action of the second states areas are states areas to the shires stated actions the action of the second states areas are states areas to the shires stated actions the action of the second states areas are states areas to the shires stated actions the action of the second states areas	
			objective to maintain the character and charm of Old Broome. If the Shire is genuine in this objective it should develop policies which encourage more living accommodation for people	

	Note submission.
discussed in rategy Plan seeks to oebuck Bay Foreshore red appropriate. uidelines ('Guidelines') ols to maintain views also contain a section sure that an scale.'	Reject.
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
n the responses	Note submission.

	in this area, not less. It is, in our view, an issue of Populate Or Perish for Old Broome.	
	Specifically, we are totally opposed to four recommendations of the DOBDS. These are:	
	1. The recommendation to investigate the opening of Walcott St to Frederick St via a	
	roundabout at that point. DOBDS Part 1 – 4.4.4. (g page 15	
	2. The recommendation to zone the East side of Walcott St in Area B as Mixed Use	
	Commercial Civic.	
	3. To plan for the Northwards expansion of the hospital into Area B. DOBDS Pat 1.	
	4.3.4—9	
	4. To retain the density zoning for Area G as R10.	
	The reasons for our objections are as follows:	
b	Objection 1.	As per submission 3 above.
2	Walcott St is the central thoroughfare which bisects the primarily residential areas of the	
	Old Broome Special Character Area I as well as further residential areas south of Guy St and	
	Area D. Walcott St, despite the regrettable intrusion of the hospital and some other	
	development in Area D. epitomizes the special Residential Character of Old Broome as is	
	glowingly described in section 1.2.2 of Part 2 of the DOBDS. It talks of "a feeling of	
	spaciousness", "a sense of openness", "lush vegetation", "an impression of wildness and	
	secrecy", bungalows of low height and large open verandas", "a landscape dominated by	
	vegetation and not buildings" and concludes by saving that "when walking the streets of the	
	Old Broome residential areas you feel far away from the urban environment".	
	The Draft clearly acknowledges the special character and sharm of this area. It seems	
	The Draft clearly acknowledges the special character and charm of this area. It seems incredible that in the same document it recommends the investigation of the opening of this	
	sensitive key central thoroughfare to vastly increased traffic flow by opening the north end	
	to Frederick St (the busiest road in Broome). It proposes a roundabout at this junction which	
	will act as a funnel to catch more disruptive traffic. The quiet ambience of the street which	
	makes the location so attractive to residents and visitors will be no more.	
	While the DOBDS recommendation is for an investigation, it gives no data or rational or	
	reason of justification for such a recommendation. Our grave fear is that somewhere in the	
	Shire planning bureaucracy the decision has already been made (especially as the	
	roundabout has already been constructed) and that residents will be confronted by a fait	
	accomplished.	
	We reiterate that we are Totally opposed to this recommendation. It will seriously adversely	
	impact on the desirability of Walcott St as a place to live and become a driver in the	
	depopulation of Old Broome	
с	Objection 2.	The draft LPS (2013) identifies the
	The second serious driver towards the depopulation of Old Broome is the recommendation	Broome as 'Mixed Use' and at the
	to rezone the East side of Walcott in Area B to Mixed Use Commercial and Civic. Presumably	clear that development should be
	it is envisaged that properties in this zone will progressively become offices and rooms and	that 'recognises the historic chara
	minor workshops for a wide range of businesses, professionals, consultants and service	The purpose of the OBDS is to fur
	providers both private and government. A sort of Mini West Perth! Some of them may be	recommendations of the LPS and
	allowed to have accommodation attached provided it is upstairs at the rear and out of sight!	particular land uses in particular a
	This is the bizarre and totally unreasonable prospect facing residents who live on the other	Over time, Old Broome has alread
	side of the street. For those people who have chosen to live there because of the charm and	'Mixed Use' character as there are
	character and sense of community of Old Broome, the desirability of remaining there will no	residential uses located within it.
	longer apply. Not only will population be lost to offices but it will also be lost as old residents	contains a number of civic buildin
L		

	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
s the entirety of Old t the same time makes ld be in an 'open form' character of the area.' o further refine the and seek to concentrate ular areas. Iready developed a re are numerous non- in it. The Precinct uildings including the	Reject.

	vote with their feet. Properties will most likely become rental with resultant neglect of once	hospital, court house, prison, police station and Shire	
	attractive gardens and property values will be depressed.	administration offices, a primary school, churches,	
		resorts and other tourist development, a local	
	We believe this recommendation will significantly adversely affect the Old Broome Special	shopping centre, and a number of services (such as	
	Character Area I. as the positive features of the area as described in the DOBDS Part 2.	doctor and dental surgeries, government offices and	
	Section 1.2.2 will be lost. This appears to be in contradiction to the Shires stated policy of	non-profit organisations) that complement the civic	
	maintaining the Character of Old Broome. This is a situation which we find very difficult to	buildings. The OBDS envisages that this diversification	
	understand particularly in that an examination of the projections in the DOBDS for future	of uses will continue over time. However, it is	
	demand for Mixed Use accommodation show that this can readily be satisfied into the	considered that this can be done in a way that	
	foreseeable future from other more appropriate areas of Broome.	maintains the area's existing character, as outlined	
		below.	
	We totally oppose this unreasonable and damaging recommendation.		
		Land that is shown in the 'Old Broome Special	
		Character Area' ('OBSCA') will maintain a R10 density	
		coding, regardless of whether it is zoned 'Mixed Use'	
		or 'Residential.' This will limit the density of	
		residential accommodation that can be constructed	
		on site, and will prevent 'high density' forms of living	
		such as grouped and multiple dwellings from being	
		constructed.	
		As discussed in previous submissions, the Shire is in	
		the process of preparing 'Old Broome Design	
		Guidelines' which will be adopted as a Local Planning	
		Policy. Amongst other objectives, the Old Broome	
		Design Guidelines seek to control the built form of	
		'Mixed Use' development within the Precinct, both	
		generally and within the OBSCA. Within the OBSCA,	
		development controls will be applied to matters such	
		as setbacks, building height, building bulk, car	
		parking, landscaping and building design. These	
		controls have been designed after taking into account	
		the current form of development and will seek to	
		ensure that the appearance of new 'Mixed Use'	
		development will closely resemble existing residential	
		development.	
		Furthermore, is important to note that transition to	
		'Mixed Use' within the precinct will not happen as-of-	
		right as the OBDS does not change the zoning of any	
		lots. Rather, it will be the responsibility of individual	
		landowners to apply to Council to rezone their land	
		through the form of a Scheme Amendment. The	
		OBDS provides guidance to Shire officers and Council	
		on how future requests for Scheme Amendments are	
		to be considered. Scheme Amendments are subject to	
		a lengthy administrative process, and as such it is	
		envisaged that changes in use and /or increases in	
		density will be incremental. It is also noted that the	
		LPS requires rezoning requests within the 'Mixed Use'	
		area to include a commercial needs analysis to justify	
			the rezoning, so that redevelopme place in advance of genuine dema
---	--	--	---
			Ultimately, it is considered that a
			'Mixed Use' development within p Broome can occur without compr
			character of the area.
d		Objection 3.	As per submission 15(o) above.
u		Obviously the hospital is an absolutely essential facility, not only for Broome, but also the greater Kimberley region. Regrettably it is located right in the middle of Old Broome and now, as it has expanded, abuts a significant section of Walcott St. The architects and Shire have made no effort to ameliorate the adverse impacts of the development on the streetscape and residences on the opposite side of the street. Quite unreasonably they have concentrated an ugly mass of service functions and airs conditioners along the street boundary which situation is now being aggravated by staff street parking. Such a situation gives no confidence that any future growth will be handled any better. The recommendation is to "explore opportunities for the expansion of the hospital to the north". That is it invade further into Area B and the Old Broome Special Character Area I. If this recommendation were to be accepted then the whole concept of the Old Broome Special Character Area will become a very sick joke.	As per submission 15(0) above.
		We are totally opposed to this recommendation as it is in complete conflict with the concept of retaining the character of Old Broome as can be clearly demonstrated to any one who cares to drive down Walcott Street. Further expansion of the hospital should be planned for in non sensitive locations.	
е		Objection 4.	The existing character of Old Broc
		DOBDS Part 1. Under the heading of Land Use item 4.1.3 – section 4 states the policy is to	because of the low density coding
		"Retain the R10 density coding (1000m2 per dwelling) in Areas G and I. We contend that this	correlates with large blocks, cons
		recommendation is ill considered and unreasonable, counter productive to the Shires policy to preserve the character of Old Broome, perpetuates a waste of scarce land resource,	rear setbacks, and a larger percer per lot. This is why it is considered
		closes a readily available opportunity to alleviate a shortage of suitable dwellings in the close vicinity, and is clearly against the interests of the ratepayers of the area. The DOBDS	maintain this coding in the OBSCA
		has made recommendations to open Walcott St to vastly more traffic, convert zoning of half	As discussed above, the impleme
		of area I to a commercial precinct, and also to provide for the extension of the worst	Guidelines will lead to Mixed Use
		eyesore in the street, the hospital, further into Area I If these recommendations were to be accepted by the Shire in whole or in part, the charm and character of Walcott Street and the	has a similar appearance to reside at a density of R10.
		Old Broome areas which it bisects will be destroyed. The inevitable result will be an exodus	
		of current residents who have a pride in the area and the likely deterioration of the properties which give the area its charm.	Increases in residential density to supported in other parts of Old Bu
		And now, without any serious consideration of the adverse results of its proposals nor any	within the OBSCA as discussed in above.
		serious consideration of real need of the area to arrest the likely reduction of people living	
		there they have bluntly announced that the remaining Area G will remain in limbo with an	
		archaic R10 density zoning, without any review in the foreseeable future. This is presumably	
		is a sop to those who still believe in the fallacy that larger properties equates to more	
		attractive suburban environment. This may be so when the land owners have the pride,	
		interest and financial resources to properly maintain the buildings and grounds but there are many examples all around Broome and Old Broome where this is not the case.	
		In our case, that is at 8 and 4 Walcott Streets, we are very conversant with the costs of	

ment does not take nand.	
a gradual transition to portions of Old promising the historic	
	Support in part. As per submission 15(o) above.
pome is largely ng, as it directly asiderable front and entage of open space ed important to CA. entation of the e development that dential development to R40 will be Broome that are not n submission 16(c)	Reject.

	Sir, we are very concerned that the four DOBDS recommendations, if acted on by the Shire, will spell the end of the charm and character of Old Broome. The desirability of the area as a place to live, for those not displaced by Mixed Use occupation and the hospital, will be lost for many ratepayers who will probably sell up and leave. To avoid such a debacle we contend that the Shire should: 1. Leave Walcott Street closed to Frederick Street	
	will spell the end of the charm and character of Old Broome. The desirability of the area as a place to live, for those not displaced by Mixed Use occupation and the hospital, will be lost for many ratepayers who will probably sell up and leave. To avoid such a debacle we	
	will spell the end of the charm and character of Old Broome. The desirability of the area as a	
	Conclusions.	Noted.
	Old Broome ratepayers.	
	Residents, The community, Employers and Employees especially in the near vicinity, and the	
	population or permanent residents. This objective can readily be achieved by Increasing the	
	residential status of the area it should adopt a proactive role in encouraging a greater	
	Residential zones in Old Broome including the whole of Area I. Having confirmed the	
	With the foregoing in mind we believe the Shires policy should be to preserve the existing	
	on either subdivided title or strata title.	
	Dwellings might be single houses, villas or cottages or possibly single or multiple apartments	
	that the area could be revitalised with additional dwellings to suit a variety of needs.	
	character of Old Broome and this will ultimately be addressed through the preparation of	
	grouped and multiple dwelling in a way that is sympathetic to the traditional neighbourhood	
	The final paragraph of Part 2 of the DOBDS, section 1.2.2 states " It is possible to design	
	accommodation in the locality, and maintaining the charm and Character of Old Broome.	
	benefits of ensuring the continuing viability of the Residential Area, meeting the needs for	
	design criteria and plot ratios are appropriate to the location the Shire can achieve the	
	accommodation in the vicinity, by adopting policies which will be supportive to those	
	In Old Broome the Shire has the opportunity to help satisfy an obvious need for	
	foreseeable future), the Shire should adopt policies to maintain these areas as long term	
	Use commercial precincts, (which the DOBDS statistics demonstrate are not required in the	
	We believe that, rather than eroding the residential areas of Old Broome with more Mixed	
	character of Old Broome will fail.	
	neglect due to cost pressure or lack of care and all of the proud objectives to preserve the	
	this area is changed, many of the Old Broome properties are likely to deteriorate through	
	have had the resources to ensure an attractive living environment. However, for most	
	maintenance of large Broome properties. In our case, to date, we have been fortunate to	
		 have had the resources to ensure an attractive living environment. However, for most people, with ever increasing charges for rates, taxes, power, water, tradesmen and gardeners, the cost of holding large properties in good condition is not sustainable. If the property is rental, tenants simply can not, nor have the interest or time (expect in rare cases) to maintain gardeners or buildings. In time, unless Shire policy with regard to density in this area is changed, many of the Old Broome properties are likely to deteriorate through neglect due to cost pressure or lack of care and all of the proud objectives to preserve the character of Old Broome will fail. We believe that, rather than eroding the residential areas of Old Broome with more Mixed Use commercial precincts, (which the DOBDS statistics demonstrate are not required in the foreseable future), the Shire should adopt policies to maintain these areas as long term viable Residential Areas as more dwellings are now urgently required because a major contributing factor to the high costs of labour and services in Broome is the very high cost to buy or to rent suitable accommodation. In Old Broome the Shire has the opportunity to help satisfy an obvious need for accommodation in the vicinity, by adopting policies which will be supportive to those landowners in the precinct who seek to utilize their holdings more efficiently by adding more residential density and ensuring design criteria and plot ratios are appropriate to the location the Shire can achieve the benefits of ensuring the continuing valibility of the Residential Area, meeting the needs for accommodation in the locality, and maintaining the chara maint and ensuring design criteria and plot ratios are appropriate to the tordupt menetor of Old Broome. The final paragraph of Part 2 of the DOBDS, section 1.2.2 states " It is possible to adeign grouped and multiple dwelling in a way that is sympathetic to the traditional neighbourhood character of Old Broome and this

Note submission.

_				-	
				 currently zoned Residential within the Old Broome Special Character Area I which is the area bounded by Herbert St to the west, Guy St to the south, Robinson St to the east, and Stewart St to the north. 3. Ensure no further expansion of the hospital into the adjoining Old Broome Residential Areas B, D, G and I and have the hospital take measures to screen and landscape its Western service areas facing Walcott St to meet Old Broome Character Design Criteria. 4. Adopt a proactive policy towards encouraging a greater population of permanent residents by increasing the dwelling density zoning in the Residential Areas of Old Broome from R10 to R20 or R30 while at the same time providing Design Guidelines to preserve the Old Broome Character. Sir, we trust that this submission and our own objections and recommendations are given serious consideration by the Shire. We have given this matter much thought. There is much at stake for Old Broome and so we request that our submission be circulated to all decision makers including the Shire President and all councillors. An acknowledgment of our submission will be appreciated. 	
	18	K. Garstone PO Box 192 BROOME, WA, 6725	55 Walcott St	 Under the Old Broome development strategy Plan – RE: 55 Walcott Street is shown as Mixed Use Tourist/Residential. I am seeking your support to change this to Mixed Use Commercial/Residential. When I spoke to the assistance Shire CEO end of last year, he advised me the property is zoned Residential/Special Use Service Station. I bought the property in 1975, it was then Zoned Commercial, it was used as a mechanical workshop and selling fuel. Some years after purchasing this property it was changed to a Service Station zoning. The property had its zoning changed to Special Use Service Station in the early 2000's. This current Special Use Zoning makes it unviable to conduct a business from the property as the fuel tanks and bowsers which were owned by BP were removed in 1999 and which under that zoning we were only allowed to do minor repairs to vehicles. I would like to point that at this stage, at no time I was ever informed about any Zoning changes to my property by the Shire of Broome. 55 Walcott Street is the only property to ever have a Service Station Zoning in Broome. I have always been charged Commercial Rates. As the property has good "Commercial premises" on it I would like to be able to use them to carry a Commercial business that would be able to generate an income. 	The designation of the 'Areas' in the based on the existing mixed uses established. For instance, the curre B – Civic / Commercial' includes the buildings in the Precinct, such as the police station, prison, hospital, and Area D - Tourist/Residential' contaresort developments, lots with from Bay and surrounds. As stated above, the OBDS will not rezone land, and it is will be up to landowners to lodge a request with on the basis of the recommendate officers will support rezoning required use' zone in Areas A-D, at a densit R40 depending whether the subjet OBSCA. 55 Walcott Street is within hence future rezoning to 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the 'Mixed Use' zone. The OBDS in Actionary, and not permitted the subject of the state are preferred, not presinappropriate within the various Actionary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internationary uses with through D. (Refer No 1 in Internati

the OBDS was largely s which are already irrent extent of 'Area the major civic s the court house, and Shire offices. ' ntains the existing frontage to Roebuck	Support in part. No modifications required.
not automatically to individual with Council to do so. ations in the OBDS, quests to the 'Mixed sity of either R10 or ject land is within the hin the OBSCA and I Use R10' would likely	
out permitted, d uses within the Action 1 of Section er guidance as to referred, and s Areas. The table e full range of h respect to Areas A nal Submission for the e amended Action rcial uses may be t strictly 'tourist' in	

19	K. Harris Mens Outreach Service PO Box 346 BROOME, WA, 6725		The new developmental plan for Town Beach is disconcerting, because it is primarily focused on those who are fortunate enough to own a boat. Whereby the current proxemics give the entire community access to enjoy the relaxing and pleasant recreational surroundings. Aesthetically I believe it will not aspire to the natural splendour of the area. There are other locations which could be developed, which would have less impact. It is another developmental project driven by economic gain to benefit prospective developers and key business associates. If this plan is approved I can assure you that it will open the way to similar projects going ahead in other locations in and around Broome.	nature, but that complement tourist uses. This would provide the landowner with additional development opportunities. However, it is noted that 'Motor Vehicle Repair' is considered to be a 'Light Industrial' use which is prohibited in the 'Mixed Use' zone regardless of whether the subject land is located within Area B or Area D. The Concept Plan contains two elements which are specifically geared to boat users – the upgrade of the Town Beach boat ramp (which as discussed in submission 15(g) above is already budgeted for in the 2014/15 financial year) and the installation of boat trailer parking south of Catalina's. The latter element seeks to formalise what is already occurring as there is limited formal parking in proximity to the Catalina's boat ramp. The remainder of the elements are intended to provide a range of water and land based recreational opportunities to suit a diversity of ages and interests. The vision statement of the OBDS is to encourage 'development that is respectful of the rich cultural heritage and natural environment' and Section 4.5 outlines 'Objectives and Principles,' Strategies/Policy' and 'Actions' associated with Natural Resource and Environmental Management	Note submission.
20	M. Ozies PO Box 5523 BROOME, WA, 6725		 This is a formal objection to the proposed planned development of a large car park area as stated in Draft Attachment No2 – Old Broome Development Strategies and Concept Plan for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Part 1. I am a Traditional Owner whose Djugun families have lived in Broome since dreamtime; our existence shaped by living along the coastline and managing food sources in the areas between Crab Creek and Willie Creek. My ancestors used natural fish traps which utilised rocks and the surrounding mangroves. They saw many changes throughout history, the inset of pearling from which many male and females members died diving for pearls, the bombing of Broome by the Japanese; they welcomed the return family members who were lost at sea during the cyclones of 1940s from this very beach, witnessed the opening and closing of the pastoral industry abattoir and countless other changes. No Djugun person has ever ceded their sovereign right to make decisions on their land. No Djugun person has ever signed away their rights to claimed Native Title Lands. It is the <u>Right</u> enjoyed by all Yawuru traditional owners on Djugun country. I therefore strongly object the proposed development plan on behalf of <u>all Djugun families</u> whom have never been heard in the past and continue not to be heard by the Shire or the Yawuru Native Title land holding body. 	Environmental Management. Car parking at Town Beach is addressed in the Officer's response to submission 10 above. The Shire recognises Yawuru as Native Title holders in the Broome townsite as formalised through the signing of the Yawuru Native Title Global Agreement in the form of two Indigenous Land Use Agreements in 2010. Concerns about the legality of this process fall outside the scope of the OBDS.	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
21	D. Robinson	31 Stewart St	Strongly object to intersection on corner of Frederick and Walcott Street being opened.	As per submission 3 above.	Support in part. As per

	PO Box 604 BROOME, WA, 6725		Completely residential area down Walcott – there are no benefits to be gained by opening this roundabout.		submission 3 above.
22	J. Lowe Broome CIRCLE PO Box 1034 BROOME, WA, 6725	27 Frederick St Broome Community House	Following participation in the workshop conducted on 15/05/2014 I write to indicate Broome CIRCLE's in principle support of the Old Broome Strategy.I would, however like to request that the Shire give some consideration to the possibility of locating a purpose- built multi-functional community facility. This facility could include a licensed Child Care Centre which provided occasional care (which is not currently catered for in Broome). It could also include space for a range of difference sized meeting rooms, teaching classrooms, disability accessible 'sensory classrooms' and private consulting rooms. In addition it could include a range of outdoor rooms and outbuildings suitable for use as small social enterprise start up spaces eg. A community garden, a community café, a community gallery and an Electric Car Hire/Fleet Car Cleaning service. Such a facility could house a number of NFP organisations who could then share the lease and reception, office management and maintenance costs.As we have outgrown our current facility and our lease at Broome Community House (which is owned by the Department for Child Protection and Family Support) expires in August 2016 Broome CIRCLE are seeking to develop such a premises in partnership with other not-for-profit organisations.We have done a needs analysis and have an initial Concept Plan in development also.The location of this facility in this area is crucial to its viability, as many of the people who will use the facility and had wondered about the suitability of the current Prison site.As the Bull Pen is heritage listed we also thought that it might be possible to incorporate the Museum into this space, thus freeing up the waterfront land it currently occupies and giving it a legitimate place in a community hub.We would therefore be grateful if you could consider this submission as	The site of the Broome Prison is owned in freehold by the Department of Corrections and is also known as Reserve 2551 with a Management Order in favour of the Department of Corrections for the purpose of 'Gaol.' The Shire does not have any influence on the prison's operations, the Department of Corrective Services as a landowner was contacted however no advice has been recieved about any alternative use of the site, as such the Concept Plan shows it continuing to operate from the present location. The OBDS will provide opportunities for land in the Precinct to transition to a 'Mixed Use' zone. Many of the types of land uses described in the submission, such as 'child care centre,' 'office,' 'consulting rooms' 'educational establishment' 'restaurant' and 'community purposes' are able to be supported within the 'Mixed Use' zone. The development of a multi-functional community facility can only be realised with the support of a landowner. Expressions of interest in a suitable location for such a venture have been forwarded onto the Shire's Property Department for consideration.	Note submission.
23	Department of Water		Thank you for the referral, received in our office on 10 April 2014, of the above development strategy. DoW has reviewed the document and has the following comments and advice.		
a			Water UseThe strategy mentions plans to expand and enhance public open space (POS) within Old Broome Development area, including irrigation of areas, and expanding such facilities as the water park at Town Beach. There is no explicit mention of water supply options. It is understood the shire of Broome (SoB) currently irrigates POS across the town from a range of sources including groundwater (shandied with scheme water), waste water from the wastewater treatment plant and some scheme water. Some of these sources have presented issues (such as potential nutrient enrichment of Roebuck Bay via wastewater application, and increasing salinisation of the localised groundwater resource).	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non- statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. Water use associated with the various elements proposed can be considered during these future investigations, however to acknowledge the	Support. Recommend an additional Action be added to Section 4.2.3 (Open Space) to state: Ensure that a fit-for- purpose water supply is provided to public open space.

	I			
			Recent population projections published in the draft Kimberley Regional Planning and Infrastructure Framework and Kimberley Regional Profile indicate future pressure on the existing scheme water supply so careful consideration must be given to appropriate water use in Broome. The DoW recommends that the SoB carefully consider fit-for-purpose water supply options for POS at a strategic level across the whole of Broome, and more specifically within the precincts being development.	Department's suggestions it is reconnew strategy/policy be included in (Open Space) to reference the new for-purpose water supply for public improvements.
b			Water licensing	Noted.
			The subject land is located in the Townsite subarea of the Broome groundwater area, which is proclaimed under the <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i> . A 5C licence may be required for the use of groundwater and a 26D licence may be required for the construction of wells. Groundwater quality and availability in the area varies and may not be suitable or available for some uses. If additional groundwater is required by the SoB for any purpose they should contact he DoW's Kununurra office discuss water quality and licensing requirements.	
с			Foreshore development	Noted.
			Foreshore enhancement is identified as a key aspiration through this strategy, as well as stabilisation of eroded banks and better formalised public access. The strategy strongly recognises the existing values of the Ramsar listed Roebuck Bay and a desire to maintain these values. All new infrastructure of enhancement work should be undertaken with best practice foreshore management principles (refer to <i>Operational Policy 4.3: Identifying and establishing waterways foreshore areas).</i>	
d			Stormwater	Noted. Section 4.5 – Natural Reso
			 Any new stormwater management infrastructure or upgrades should be designed in accordance with guidelines contained in: The Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia (Department of Water, 2004 – 2007) and the Decision Process for Stormwater Management (Department of Environment, 2005), which are available on the DoW's website at www.water.wa.gov.au > Managing Water > Urban Water > Stormwater 	Environmental Management cont strategy: 2. Ensure that new drainage infra- consistent with Better Urban Wate principles and the Shire's Stormwo Policy.
			 The following DoW publications (Water Quality Protection Notes etc) provide guidance on best management practices: Irrigation with nutrient rich waste water [WQPN 22] Vegetation buffers to sensitive water resources [WQPN 6] Environmental guidelines for the establishment and maintenance of turfed of grassed areas [WQPG] Identifying and establishing waterways foreshore areas [Operational Policy 4.3] Water quality protection notes are available on the DoW's website at: ww.water.wa.gov.au >select Publications > Find a Publication > Series Browse > Water Quality Protection Notes or Water Quality Protection Guidelines, or use the general search function. 	 Section 4.5 also contains the follow relate to stormwater management 1. Investigate retrofitting existing Broome to reduce discharge of nur Roebuck Bay. 2. Investigate opportunities for the stormwater in existing landscaped Open Space.
24	F. Jordan 10 Jarrad St COTTESLOE, WA, 6011	2 properties in Walcott Street	I, Fiona Jordan of 10 Jarrad Street, Cottesloe WA 6011, make the following submission regarding the Draft Old Broome Development Strategy. I am a frequent visitor to Broome and a part owner of 2 properties in Walcott St.	
а			Walcott St has always been a fairly quiet street, often there are mothers and children walking up the middle of the road. This could all change when the road opens up and the	As per submission 3 above.

recommended that a ed in Section 4.2.3 need to consider fit- public open space	
	Note submission.
	Note submission.
esource and ontains the following	Note submission.
frastructure is Vater Management nwater Management	
ollowing actions which nent:	
ing drains in Old ^f nutrient loads into	
r the retention of ped areas of Public	
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.

			families are skittled, and then the hospital may have to be extended even further.	
			Tarmies are skittled, and then the hospital may have to be extended even further.	
			I am totally opposed to the opening of Walcott St to Frederick St via a roundabout. Living in	
			this areas will become very busy with traffic and screaming ambulances racing through, it	
			will take away the relaxed atmosphere of Broome. It will be stressful for residents and	
b			 holiday makers and tourists coming to Broome (don't forget it is much cheaper to go to Bali) I am totally opposed to the east side of Walcott St being zoned for Mixed Use Commercial 	As per submission 17(c) above.
, S			and Civic.	
			It seems ridiculous when you have s gem like old Broome to smash it! Old Broome is where	
			the history of Broome is. The unique styles of the houses with lovely old gardens, it is where	
1			the soul of Broome is. We need to keep this for future generations so they can get the feel of the history of the old pearling days, the hardship and challenges of what the earlier	
1			generation faced in those days. I cannot understand why anyone would want to make one	
			side of the street commercial. It destroys it!	
			Part of making Broome interesting for tourists is to preserve the atmosphere of Old Broome	
			cause that is part of Broome's unique charm.	
25	J. Mills	4 & 8 Walcott Street	I am writing as a family member and Director of Aileendonan Investments Pty Ltd the owner	As per submission 3 above.
	7 Dalry Rd		of 8 and 4 Walcott Street Broome. I have been visiting Broome with my friends and large family for many years and as an artist and historian I have a great affinity and love for	
	DARLINGTON, WA, 6070		Broome and most of all old Broome in all its uniqueness.	
	0070			
			I am appalled that a large number of recommendations on the Draft Old Broome Strategy	
			will have an enormous destructive impact on both the Old Broome Character Vicinity and	
			indeed the whole of Broome. If these recommendations are acted upon they will undo much of the hard work that has been accomplished in the last 30 years in preserving the unique	
			Broome nature and it will make meaningless much of the marvellous tourist promotion and	
			love the lord MacAlpine in his great wisdom did to ensure that this wonderful small tropical	
			town became a world wide tourist attraction.	
			As a painter I am absolutely shocked that the quiet leafy Walcott Street lined with shadey	
			trees and giving off an atmosphere of peace, warmth and serenity could become a	
			commercial road. It will completely destroy the very heritage that makes Broome so special.	
26	B. Lefroy		I strongly object to the planned development of town beach. There needs to be less parking bays and more greenery or leave it as is. People will not walk all that way with their boats in	As per submission 10 above.
			the water and it will clog up the boat launch.	
27	J. Mills	8 Walcott St		
	116 Golster Street	4 Walcott St		
	SUBIACO, WA,			
<u> </u>	6008		I am writing as a family member and director of Aileendonan Investments Pty Ltd the owner	Noted.
а			of 8 and 4 Walcott Street Broome. Myself and my family have been long time visitors to	Noted.
			Broome and have great affinity to the unique Broome location and lifestyle.	
			I am greatly disturbed that a large number of recommendations on the Draft Old Broome	
			Strategy ("Draft Strategy") will have enormous adverse impact on both the Old Broome	
			Special Character vicinity and indeed greater Broome. If these far reaching	
1			recommendations are acted on this would undo much of the hard work that has been	
			accomplished in the last 30 years in preserving the unique Broome nature and would have Lord MacAlpine turning in his grave to see the unique area that he fought to have preserved	
			Lord MacAlphie turning in his grave to see the unique area that he lought to have preserved	

Reject.
Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
Note submission.

	changed into what I see as a mixed use melee.	
	The Draft Strategy acknowledges the importance of the existing heritage and buildings but then turns a blind eye to this in making extremely adverse recommendation in the relation to the unique Old Broome special area.	
b	Concerns over Recommendations	As per submission 17(c) above.
	 Old Broome alongside the iconic Cable Beach and the China Town district is what makes Broome unique and has tremendous impact on the attractiveness of Broome as a tourist destination and place to live. I believe the change in zoning would permanently destroy this. I believe it would be a great mistake to adopt the Draft Strategy's recommendations, in particular: The rezoning of large portions of existing residential zoning to mixed use in the Old Broome Special Character Area will create a confused mix of older style classic Broome properties on large sweeping streetscapes mingled with a "dog's breakfast" of newer development. Once an area is designated commercial, notwithstanding any council planning policies and design guidelines seeking to require new buildings be sympathetic to the area and Broome heritage, the reality is that new commercial mixed use policies can never match that which is bulldozed in their path. The pressures arising from the cost of building in a remote location such as Broome and inevitable profit motivation of the commercial sector will see corners cut, and costs and functionality will dictate over any aesthetic values. The "half and half" approach to the north end of Walcott Street will absolutely destroy the streetscape by mixing residential and mixed use commercial civic. If adopted, the Draft Strategy for this north end of Walcott Street area would see owners on the east side of the street in quick succession sell out to property developers who would then divide existing R10 zones into significantly higher density commercial blocks. Property owners, such as ourselves, on the West side will face the double impact through the destruction in value of their property due to the greatly downgraded streetscape and environment and the loss of the unique Broome attributes whilst then unfairly having the R10 zone for their properties maintained and denying them the same opportunity as their neighbours on the other side of the street. T	Both sides of Walcott Street are OBSCA where a density of R10 is maintained regardless of whethe zoned 'Residential' or 'Mixed Use
d	• The opening up of the quiet residential street, Walcott Street, to the busy Turner Street will see traffic directed and greatly impact amenity.	As per submission 3 above.
e	 Additional Concerns on Overall Strategy Principal concerns in the Draft Strategy include: The 2013 stakeholder consultation as set out in the Appendix A to the Draft Strategy is of very limited value in that it included only a very small sample of just 11 residents who were facilitated in the workshop by employees of Cardno, the firm that is responsible for developing the misguided Draft Strategy. Notwithstanding this, there is nothing in the summary outcomes from this workshop that went beyond the Conti Foreshore and Town Beach area and there was certainly no desire as far as I can see from the information provided that suggests in any way that Old Broome should be turned into the mixed use melee proposed by the Draft Strategy. Based on evidence available I cannot see any community and stakeholder support for any changes that go beyond the vicinity of the foreshore. 	As stated above, the draft Local R 2013 identifies the entire Old Brd 'Mixed Use.' This document was substantial public advertising per adopted by Council. The aim of t more detailed guidance on how t implemented. Whilst the 2013 stakeholder wor changes to the Town Beach and design session in particular also e entirety of the precinct Figures show the ideas which were gene workshop tables during the design

	Reject.
contained within the s required to be er the property is se.' No additional esult.	Reject.
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
Planning Strategy roome Precinct as s subject to a eriod and has been the OBDS is to provide the LPS can be	Reject.
rkshop did consider Conti Foreshores, the examined the 5 4-7 in Appendix A erated by the ign session, which	

t			encompass the whole of Old Brod
I		Given the availability of property for commercial zoning in areas other than Old Broome, with uncertainty over future perputation growth and commercial	The OBDS proposes to continue a diversification of uses in Old Broc
		Broome, with uncertainty over future population growth and commercial requirements and the current heavy reliance on the tourism industry I do not see	occurred since the 1980s. As stat
		why there is a desire to fundamentally change 130 years of unique Broome heritage	does not change the zoning of ar
		through rezoning prime Old Broome into commercial properties for which there is	to longer term strategy with a lif
		no near to medium term actual requirement	Over this timeframe, it will be th
			individual landowners to apply to
			their land through the form of a
			The OBDS provides guidance to S
			Council on how future requests f
			Amendments are to be considered
			Amendments are subject to a ler
			process, and as such it is envisag
			changes will be incremental.
a		e In the outrome growth comprise discussed in Section 4 of the Droft	Sconarios 2 and 4 in Dart 2 care
g		• In the extreme growth scenarios discussed in Section 4 of the Draft	Scenarios 3 and 4 in Part 2 considered to the permanent resident and tour
		Strategy(Scenarios 3 & 4) the type of retail and commercial space likely to be required will be for mass residential population and not tourists. This assumed (and	the permanent resident and tour Scenario 4 accounting for a doub
		unproven) potential requirement is likely to include white collar office space,	growth of leisure tourism as well
		supermarket and bulky goods outlets. These types of premises will simply not fit	business tourism.
		inside the Old Broome district and the only possible culturally compatible businesses	
		to the Old Broome area will be limited to the far lower growth tourism market.	It is acknowledged that some for
		Large scale changes for I believe unlikely future demand is simply not required.	large-scale supermarkets and bu
			not compatible with the characte
			The LPS envisages that large form
			goods will be concentrated in the
			Commercial' area to the north of
			However, the development of 'w
			space,' particularly for governme
			considered to be inconsistent wi
			Old Broome as there is already a
			the Precinct. The LPS clearly state
			Use' designation will accommoda
			office, residential, and tourist acc
			The LPS requires rezoning reques
			Use' area to include a commercia
			justify the rezoning, so that rede
			take place in advance of genuine
h		Key Focus Areas	The OBDS and particularly the Co
			focus on the revitalisation of the
		The Broome Council should focus on the main issue – that is getting more use from the	Town Beach with a variety of pro
		seascape along Conti Foreshore to Old Town Beach and having this denuded area with large	these public spaces.
		amounts of wasteland revitalised. This is an area that is in need of constructive	
		redevelopment to remove lots like the old supermarket and connect the town with the sea.	As stated above, it is envisaged t
			in the remainder of Old Broome
		The council should leave the rest of the area to the West of Robinson Street as it is now and	incrementally and the built form
		not seek to make value destructive decisions now that will see a rush to exit before there is	development will be carefully con
		an y actual requirement for increased commercial properties in Broome, and in any event	Old Broome Design Guidelines.

oome.	
a pattern of oome which has need above the OBDS ony lots. It is a medium fespan of 10-15 years. The responsibility of to Council to rezone Scheme Amendment. Shire officers and for Scheme red. Scheme ngthy administrative ged that zoning	Reject.
ider increases to both irist populations, with bling of the expected II as an increase in	Reject.
rms of retail such as ulky goods stores are ter of Old Broome. mat retail and bulky ne 'Service of Frederick Street. white collar office ent offices, is not ith the character of a strong civic focus in tes that the 'Mixed date ccommodation.	
ests within the 'Mixed ial needs analysis to evelopment does not e demand.	
concept Plan does e Conti Foreshore and oject ideas to improve	Reject.
that rezoning changes will occur n of future ontrolled through the	

29 L.D'Alton After reading the "Old Broome Development Strategy" I saw in it things that I liked, things that I disking a vaste of mean particular y and y mean parteneas y mean parteneas y mean particular y me				which can be better located in areas that would not result in the permanent destruction of	
a believe the Draft Strategy is fundamentally flawed, seeks to force a drastic adverse change in OLB Stroome for Which there is no actual requirement. The count inforce in Gobinson Rad. The Concept Plan is intended to inforce adverse change in OLB Stroome Who's grandfatter adverse to the Parting Morgan Family of Stroome Who's grandfatter adverse to the Parting Morgan Family of Stroome Who's grandfatter adverse to the Parting Morgan Family of Stroome Who's grandfatter adverse to be honoured and remembered and to have an uniterrupted view of the Bay, My, Wy (725) The Concept Plan is intended to structure to be honoured and remembered and to have an uniterrupted view of the Bay, My, Wy (725) The Concept Plan is intended to structure to be honoured and remembered and to have an uniterrupted view of the Bay, My, Wy (725) The Concept Plan is intended to structure to be honoured and remembered and to have an uniterrupted view of the Bay, My, Wy (725) The Concept Plan is intended to the Bay, My, Wy (725) 28 C.Morgan ND (80, KW, KW, KW, KW, KW, KW, KW, KW, KW, KW	:				As not submission 27(b) above
Image: series of the secies	i 28	PO Box 3456 BROOME, WA,	5B Saville St	Concluding CommentsI believe the Draft Strategy is fundamentally flawed, seeks to force a drastic adverse change in Old Broome for which there is no actual requirement. The council should focus its efforts on the real issue and the immediate requirement to improve the Conti Foreshore and Town Beach Area and restrict any development or change in use to East of Robinson Road.I Georgina Marea Morgan from the Pearling Morgan Family of Broome who's grandfather Alfred Morgan was one of Broome's original Pearling Masters and my Grandmother are buried at the pioneer cemetery at Town Beach. The pioneers of this town where buried there to be honoured and remembered and to have an uninterrupted view of the Bay. My 	The Concept Plan is intended to s statutory tool to identify potentia orientate them spatially. It does n of detail of a true Master Plan an as such. The elements on the Cor indicative and will be subject to f (including an assessment of feasi design. With respect to the reloca Beach Cafe, future design conside address: • The interface between the Pioneer Cemetery to ens development does not vi area;
29 L. D'Alton After reading the "Old Broome Development Strategy" I saw in it things that I liked, things that I liked it I dislike and things I don't have an opinion either way. What particularly caught my eye was the proposal to relocate the trailer parking at town Beach, I feel this is not well thought out and potentially a waste of money. If you went down there on any given day during the week or any time during the wet season you would find it virtually empty, when the tide is well out there are no cars either. The council on occasion has shut this area for special events which I find acceptable, I believe if anything that trailer parking should be expanded, with cars without trailers required to park at the new area. I also do not support this as a safety issue, on occasion with a swell on the boat ramp I have had to get inexperienced crew members to hold the boat while I get the trailer and reverse down the ramp, the longer travel time to the proposed car park leaves inexperienced people to deal with the boat while I make the trek to the proposed car park.					 the need to allow for conviews for all people, not opatrons of the cafe; and Sensitive integration of thareas (bin storage, loadindesign so not to detract for the area. The integration of these design coordside of the scope of this projement.
 that I dislike and things I don't have an opinion either way. What particularly caught my eye was the proposal to relocate the trailer parking at town Beach, I feel this is not well thought out and potentially a waste of money. If you went down there on any given day during the week or any time during the wet season you would find it virtually empty, when the tide is well out there are no cars either. The council on occasion has shut this area for special events which I find acceptable, I believe if anything that trailer parking should be expanded, with cars without trailers required to park at the new area. I also do not support this as a safety issue, on occasion with a swell on the boat ramp I have had to get inexperienced crew members to hold the boat while I get the trailer and reverse down the ramp, the longer travel time to the proposed car park. 	29	L. D'Alton			
h lalso do not think that floating nontoons will be successful either, during extreme tides and the Concent Plan is intended to s	а			 that I dislike and things I don't have an opinion either way. What particularly caught my eye was the proposal to relocate the trailer parking at town Beach, I feel this is not well thought out and potentially a waste of money. If you went down there on any given day during the week or any time during the wet season you would find it virtually empty, when the tide is well out there are no cars either. The council on occasion has shut this area for special events which I find acceptable, I believe if anything that trailer parking should be expanded, with cars without trailers required to park at the new area. I also do not support this as a safety issue, on occasion with a swell on the boat ramp I have had to get inexperienced crew members to hold the boat while I get the trailer and reverse down the ramp, the longer travel time to the proposed car park leaves inexperienced people 	As per submission 10 above.
	b			I also do not think that floating pontoons will be successful either, during extreme tides and	The Concept Plan is intended to s

	Reject.
serve as a non- cial project ideas and c not provide the level nd should not be used oncept Plan are future investigations sibility) and detailed cation of the Town derations will need to	Support in part. No modifications required.
visually dominate the ws of Roebuck Bay and ontinual access to t only those who are the back-of-house ing areas) into the from the amenity of	
considerations is ect as the OBDS is as a land use policy.	
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
serve as a non-	Note submission.

		rough weather (storms, cyclones) these structures will be at the mercy of the elements,	statutory tool to identify not anti-
		another waste of money. They tried a similar set-up on the wharf and was eventually pulled out because of tide/weather issues.	statutory tool to identify potenti orientate them spatially. It does of detail of a true Master Plan ar as such. The elements on the Con indicative and will be subject to f (including an assessment of feasi design.
			The Shire has engaged consultan business case for the Jetty to Jet includes potential designs for the endorsed by Council in August 2
C		While I dislike the car parking idea at town beach I support the walkway and tram line, I do however have issues with the amount of proposed commercial space, in particular cars and car parking in a residential area. It seemed no support was given to Dr Schwemmer opposite the court house for this reason (car parking issues), in the end a good Dr left town. I also	The OBDS recognises that car pa Precinct is an important issue. Ac 4.4.4 (Movement) states:
		have concerns about those less fortunate than ourselves and what's in it for them, particularly itinerant persons from outlying areas. I hope this will also be addressed not just glossed over. I fear this is all about visitors and the well healed.	16. Survey the current use of car Broome to establish the nature of including duration of stay(turnow parking, to inform preparation of
		In conclusion I do not support the relocation of trailers away from the existing boat launch facilities and have concerns with car parking issues in residential areas.	Management Strategy which will within road reserves and during
			At present, the Shire's Local Plan not allow off-site parking in the any parking within a road reserv within other zones requires a res unless a parking plan has been a The Design Guidelines reiterate
			coordinated approach to off-site creation of parking plans. It is co outcomes of the Parking Manage lead to the development of park balance the need for car parking preserve the amenity of the area
			Whilst Figure 3 in the OBDS sho configurations for some streets experience a high level of parkin important to note that this will r and a formalised parking plan wi
30 E. Rohr PO Box 1550 BROOME, WA, 6725	3 Hopton Street		
a		I Emily Rohr of 3 Hopton Street Broome, would like to lodge a formal submission regarding the Draft Old Broome Development Strategy (DOBDS) on behalf of myself, as the owner of property at 3 Hopton St, and also in the Oaks, and as the director of Short St Gallery a long term business in Broome.	As per submission 10 above.
		I am deeply concerned about the proposed changes to Town Beach. This is an area that is	

tial project ideas and s not provide the level nd should not be used oncept Plan are future investigations sibility) and detailed	
nts to prepare a tty Walk which ne jetty. It is was 2014.	
arking within the Action 16 in Section	Note submission.
er parking in Old of parking use, ver) and demand for of a Parking ill consider parking events.	
nning Policy 8.16 does 'Residential' zone and ve (including verges) solution of Council adopted for that area. the need for a e parking through the onsidered that the gement Strategy will king plans that can g and the need to a.	
ows indicative parking which already ng demand, it is not happen as-of-right vill still be required.	
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.

	used daily, by most of the community. The idea of replacing the grass area with a car park, that does not allow access to the boat ramp, and denies people the right to drive in and park to check the tide, seems ludicrous. A large amount of money was spent on fixing the water park and Town Beach gardens. To then turn around and rip it all up for a car park and skate park that denies access for contemplation and tide checks on the beachfront, fails to acknowledge how Town Beach is utilised on a daily basis.	
	I think every Yawuru man and woman drive down to the current park to check the tides, this is also a habit of many long-term residents of Broome. It is an integral part of Broome daily life and is necessary for fishing and swimming and other leisure activities. I think it is also a complete waste of money to move an excellent and functioning car park and would suggest that perhaps someone is just randomly seeking ways to spend money, as it doesn't seem to make any sense to turn Town Beach into a Camper Van parking lot.	
	The narrow access road to the beachfront could potentially become an accident zone and lead to litigation. Old people, and people with disabilities often swim at Town Beach because of the car beach front access, and many people like to relax under the palm trees will no longer come – too far to walk, and too many teenagers at the skate park. Clearly the designer has no concept of the importance of tide watching in Broome life, and obviously does not fish or use Town Beach in any way. The jetty is like a clayton's jetty, not a real one, a kind of token, that is insulting and unusable, due to the tides. Or else it will need to be as long as the wharf. The more I look at this I am shocked by the lack of understanding of the Park and town and its meaning to the community.	
	I think also it would be deeply offensive to long-term residents in the area. Most residents in Old Broome, are the old Aboriginal families of the town, and many generations of old Broome families, and this car park would see campervans, (after all they have caravan park next door) and teenagers take over Town Beach. The car park will be on the curb front, which is ugly for the local residents, not something any of us want. It may lead to potential damage to property values and a potential class action against the shire, should this plan go ahead.	
	The whole plan seems to be of a benefit to the caravan park and potentially given the management of the park, may be perceived as a conflict of interest. It is curious that the shire would so unashamedly support temporary visitors needs ahead of the long term rate paying residents. Perhaps you can build the car park next to the caravan park, rather than directly in front of long-term rate paying residents.	
b	To remove mangroves is the most environmentally damaging and appalling suggestion ever and deserves to be questioned. This should not even be considered. Should this be attempted that you cannot net against irukandji, so ripping up mangroves to put a swimming pool in makes me think your town planner 101 graduate must be from Sydney and has clearly never been stung or has any comprehension of the local environment, tidal movement is too extreme for this madness.	The Officer's response to submissi deals with the safe swimming area the OBDS does not propose the re- mangroves .The only reference to mangroves is in Appendix A – 'Stak Outcomes May 2013' and reflects workshop attendees brought up th 'Opportunities and Challenges' bra
C	Next I would like to address the idea of moving the skate park to Town Beach, again, obviously no one bothered to see how Town Beach is used. It is a place of contemplation, family bbq's and young children playing, and fishing and swimming. A skate park generally attracts large numbers of teenagers that would be menacing to young children. Loud for the campers at the camp site, and is not in a central location to service all the broome kids. The current skate park location is perfect it is attached to recreation centre will not disturb	As per submission 1(a) above.

submission 15(i) above ing area. It is noted that se the removal of any ence to removing A – 'Stakeholder Workshop reflects that some ght up the idea in the nges' brainstorming session.	Support in part. As per submission 15(i) above.
DVe.	Support. As per submission 1(a) above.

	I			1
			campers and residents, and the families with young children. Who are you building this for, not the current users of Town Beach, maybe the planner like to make Bondi at Town Beach, but this is everywhere else and lose the Broome magic, please don't inflict town planning 101 on us.	
d			 Don't sanitize our environment it is clearly done by an out of town person, who doesn't understand that the soul of the place is connected to its evolution. The current car park with the shade, and mixed groups interacts with the foreshore in a real and immediate way. The German back packers playing guitar while the grey nomads are cooking bbq, families celebrating a birthdays, Fitzroy mob catching up, The current car park is the conduit that is the blood supply to Town Beach, what you want to turn us into is a park on the Swan River designed according to some graduate, leave our beach alone. Keep it Broome style and real. Town Beach is very much utilised by Aboriginal people, the car park going down to beach is integral to that, you want to inflict a white fella gentrified design attractive to city planners, 	As per submission 10 above.
			but denying the reality and importance of this place to the locals. I cannot help but wonder if you are not trying to take this institution away from the black community, and whiteifying it. I will certainly be pointing this out to the Yawuru.	
			I can only hope you take these issues on board and re-consider how soul destroying your designs are, is Town beach turning into an extension of the Caravan Park, at the cost of the local community, this design would imply that the shire is funding its expansion. One cannot help but question the real motivation behind this proposal.	
31	M. Hutchinson	3 Hopton St		As per submission 10 above.
а			I would like to register my strong objection to the town beach plan. Firstly on the shifting of the carpark, I think making a large square carpark the entrance to Town beach makes an ugly first impression, not to mention the detrimental effects it would have on nearby ratepayers. It would probably deter people from using the area as you would be forced to run the gauntlet of a large bitumised area through which camper vans, cars towing boats buses ect are supposed to manoeuvre. At the moment you drive down Robinson St past a park and slowly the beach is revealed with quite few shady welcoming areas to stop, relax and do what ever, to spend a lot of money to wreck this lovely ambience seems absurd and to lobb all the cars together in a boring rectangle to me seems lazy and passé, you need to break it up to reduce their impact.	
			The carpark, as it is, has shape, is organic and reacts to the topography of the site. It has always been utilitarian, a place that is a response to the activities of the people rather than the grand vision of a planner from afar. You can go there and see John and Betty talking on channel 40 in their RV, European tourists enjoying a bit of sun, people from Balgo of One Arm Point sitting in the shade enjoying the view, parents playing with their children, Dugong Hunters setting off or checking the tide, disabled people lunching next to their bus people from one mile fishing, the sometimes comedic boat ramp activities its fantastic, interesting. I have been watching it for 40 years and wonder why you would institute a plan that will turn this unique place into something that will be identical to any other foreshore area anywhere in Australia	
b			As for the rest of it, the skate park why?	As per submission 1(a) above.
С			Moving the Café would be expensive for not much gain and would impact the pioneer cemetery,	As per submission 28 above.
d			a new old jetty wouldn't work very well with the tide and would have safety issues,	As per submission 29(b) above.
r			a Croc free swimming area could be an attraction maybe with a few fake Crocs on it but	As per submission 15(i) above.

	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
	Support. As per submission 1(a) above.
	Support in part. As per submission 28 above.
e.	Note submission.
2.	Support in part. As per

			would obviously be no good against Irrakangi box jellyfish.	
f			Launching your Boat is already difficult this will only make it more so, there would be more than a little chaos under the new plan.	As per submission 15(g) above.
g			I am not even going to mention the ridiculous idea of removing any Mangroves, in fact I would not be surprise if the Yawuru people took this plan as a personal insult and more than a little racist, it seems almost a response to the way local people like to use the area.	It is noted that the OBDS does no removal of any mangroves.
32	J & L Pritchard 3 Elm Pl HELENA VALLEY, WA, 6056 G. Gregson 24 Brooke Rd DARLINGTON, WA,		 As annual visitors to Broome, we have been made aware of a proposal to rezone "Old Broome" into a "mixed use" area. Part 1 of the Draft asserts that the aim is to introduce "offices, retail, community services and hotels" while maintaining the "historic character" of the area. These objectives are flatly contradictory in the cases where entire side sides of streets are given over to commercial development. You cannot create rows of commercial buildings without creating wide tracts of concreted sidewalks and parking facilities, concomitant street furniture and obtrusive signage, to say nothing of greatly increased traffic. No doubt you will claim to guard against all this, but you cannot succeed. Businesses will create their own imperatives. Broome is lovely, unique town, and what makes it different is not merely China Town and Cable Beach. A very great part of its charm is the ambience of "Old Broome". It is not only a few scattered old buildings and wide streets which create "historic character", but the totality of the street scapes. It is surely up to the shire Council to identify the unique charm of Old Broome, and to preserve this ambience. As tourists, we deeply appreciate the privilege of enjoying it all. 	As per submission 17(c) above.
33	J. Costigan Kimberley Aboriginal Medical Services Council Inc	14 Napier Terrace	 I am re-emailing in response to the Broome Development Plan. I am a long term resident of the Kimberley and Broome raising children and grandchildren in the Broome area and I am opposed to the Town Beach reserve Park being used as a car park for cars-boast-trailers. It will become a danger zone for children who frequent that area to use the water park and play equipment. It has always remained an inviting place to picnic, hold children's parties, meet up with friends and family or enjoy a community concert or market. Let's look after the Broome community made up of many young families with children and keep this area "car park free". 	As per submission 10 above.
34	P. Treleaven			
a			I am delighted to see that the Shire of Broome is developing a strategy for Old Broome. However, I am concerned that this strategy has come to my notice and other key players i.e. absentee landowners in the effected areas purely by chance and word of mouth. I would have thought that notice could have been given by other means and a more considered and relevant response could have been given by such persons (your rate payers). I principally purchased my property at 41 WALCOTT St in Old Broome because it epitomised the history and character of Broome. So much of the history of Western Australia has given away to knee jerk development decisions and I urge you to go slow and broaden the consultation process. Do not make the heart of Old Broome a commercial precinct.	 The draft OBDS will be adopted b Planning Policy. Under TPS4, the r public consultation for new local 21 days. The OBDS was publicly a period of 42 days – double the mi Actions undertaken during the pupperiod included: Public notices in the Brood on the Shire website Static displays in the Shire

	submission 15(i) above.
	Note submission.
ot propose the	Note submission.
	Reject.
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
by Council as a Local e required period of al planning policies is advertised for a minimum required. public advertising	Reject.
oome Advertiser and	
ire Administration	

				 Office and Library Mail out to all landowners i Workshops with key staken general public Displays at the Court House Broome Boulevard Preparation of an online co These actions are in line with the Co Engagement Plan for the project wh by Council at the March 2014 Ordin Council. Attachment 3 to the Council report Engagement Report which outlines engagement process and outcomes that this level of engagement is app exceeds statutory requirements. Concerns about the character of Ole mixed use designation are discussed response to submission 17(c) above
b			Further, it begs the question as to why/where the recommendation for the changes to Walcott St have been generated. It should never be a major thoroughfare.	As per submission 3 above.
C			 There are many a good recommendation in the Strategy document with respect to the perimeters of the residential area that would not impinge the ambience and the attractiveness of this very special area of Broome, dotted with traditional homes and the Pearling Master Cottages. My family has spent a lot of money in development of Tourist and other accommodation in Broome, so strategies such as the one your are developing I am in full agreement, however I must reiterate that this area of special character must be left alone. 	Noted.
35	I & L Davie PO Box 7400 BROOME, WA, 6725	90 Robinson St		
a			General Comments:Is the Shire so flush with funds that it wishes to change a proven successful community friendly precinct into an area that will undoubtedly cause additional conflict between current users?Major conflict already exists at this location between pedestrians and motorised traffic including but not limited to, vehicles towing boats. The Shire has received letters from me previously regarding traffic matters and I have been able to meet with the Shire Engineer and Rangers on occasions regarding this topic.The number of times we have witnessed children, taking no heed of traffic, running across the road from the Lions Park area over to the Water playground side (formerly Apex Park).	As per submission 10 above.

owners in the Precinct by stakeholders and the	
rt House Markets and the	
online community survey	
th the Community roject which was endorsed 14 Ordinary Meeting of	
cil report is a Community outlines the community utcomes. It is considered ent is appropriate and well nents.	
ter of Old Broome and the discussed in the officer's '(c) above.	
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
	Note submission.
2.	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.

	exists.	
	Strategies to limit vehicular traffic have been implemented for some of the major functions that have taken place on the Reserve and in this area and when in force, this has been excellent. However, on other public occasions when vehicular traffic has not been restricted, these are the occasions when most danger arises to other vehicles but more importantly to pedestrians. A number of near misses have been witnessed and it becomes only a matter of time before a disaster occurs and the Coroner then demands an explanation from the authorities who should have been in control of the situation.	
	The Town Beach Reserve and beach area ideally should e a pedestrian precinct. It is not that difficult to implement as ca be witnessed by the many other locations both in and around Australia and internationally who manage to keep vehicular traffic and pedestrians safely separated. Pedestrians are not impeded and numbers certainly are not reduced as can be verified with many coastal locations, not only in Australia but particularly in Europe and England.	
	The Shire, over time, could benefit considerable by providing parking away from the foreshore and introduce metered parking. The proposed tram or an additional "shuttle tram" could extend to Town Beach using retired/volunteer drivers from community groups and thereby provide a novel and popular means of transportation for those who chose to use that facility.	
	The Shire has recently created a walled area and planted trees and low vegetation on the Lions Park side of Robinson Street – is this just going to be demolished and pulled out? Again – a waste of our rates!!	
b	Objection and Points Against:	As per submission 10 above.
	 The Town Beach Reserve is an area used almost every weekend by various and other groups to celebrate birthdays or other significant events with picnics, bouncy castle, games and other activities. 	
	2. Areas most used are between the existing Water Park and the current beach access road and also the area referred to as Broome Lion's Park where shelters with BBQs are provided. This is in direct conflict with some of the area proposed to be developed for parking as shown in maps within the Strategy Plan.	
	3. I have never in the life of the existing infrastructure at Town Beach seen the car park at capacity expect during major events. At all other times both midweek and at weekends car parking space remains available. The fact the car park area has never been at capacity raises the issue of why build additional parking when existing parking already meets the needs of the community?	
	 Additional parking, when required, is already available on otherwise vacant land behind and adjacent to Water Authority installations directly opposite the end of Hopton Street. This is easily upgraded to meet current and future needs which only occur during major events. 	
	5. To lose beautiful parkland in favour of grey bitumen would be a disgrace.	
	6. Bituminised surfaces create additional issues in regard to water drainage in the wet	

Support in part. As per submission 10 above.

	season. It would be necessary to utilise existing land fall and contours to channel and direct the extra water flow towards the Town Beach. This in itself would carry pollutants (oils etc from vehicles) into Roebuck Bay.	
	7. The Strategy Plan states that the area provides an historic link to significant events in Broome and Roebuck Bay during World War II. To remove or reduce a usable and often frequented parkland that provides a peaceful family oriented tranquillity flies in the face of this ethos.	
	8. A significant link to the above is also provided by Town Beach Reserve to the many larger groups during Shinju Opening and dragon boat races, NAIDOC, Australia Day celebrations, Staircase to the Moon and the night markets (eight full tourist months of the year), other significant concert days and meaningful events to the many groups and families of Broome. Any reduction in size or removal of this Reserve would render the area no longer viable, available or of usable size to these groups.	
	9. The Town Beach Reserve and environs is also one of the few remaining areas affording disabled access.	
C	10. Is the boat ramp as it exists in the best location? The boat ramp is currently and frequently unusable due to degradation and undermining by the wave action and tidal movement. The ramp is incorrectly located and should be moved to a site where more weather and wave protection can be afforded during the launching and retrieval of vessels. That being the case then there would no longer be a need for additional vehicle and boat trailer parking at Town Beach and as a result the problem is resolved.	As per submission 15(g) above.
d	11. The original swimming enclosure was located where the current boat ramp is now. To develop a "safer swimming area" at Town Beach is commendable and supported. If the boat ramps was to stay, it could bring dangers from boats to those in the water and any development, i.e safety net installed in that area. If the swimming enclosure was to become a reality it should be located in its original position where the current boat ramp now is. Such a move would then be historically correct and permit shoreline fishing further along the beach as currently exists.	As per submission 15(i) above. It is envisaged that this will also be preparation of a Reserve Manage discussed in submission 10 above.
e	12. In the event that the "old jetty" was redeveloped, boats using the ramp could cause issues with those fishing from the jetty thereby creating further conflict.	It is envisaged that this will also be preparation of a Reserve Manage discussed in submission 10 above
f	13. The Strategy Plan states to make the area family & community friendly. The introduction of additional parking space in lieu of parkland contradicts this. It does increased the risk of incompatibility between traffic and pedestrians. From our vantage point we regularly see smaller children dart across the access road in front of moving vehicles. With the Strategy Plan focussing on attracting more people including families and children to the area it will in fact create further conflict with traffic between young users of proposed development of this area. Witnessing children darting across the access road with no heed or regard for traffic is a major concern. Additional development of this area creates further potential for an accident to occur.	The configuration of the car parkin submission 10 above. The Concept Plan seeks to retain t nature of Town Beach. Expansion playground, construction of a safe construction of a jetty and enhance space around the foreshore area w achieving this objective.
	The Town Beach area has always been a favourite cultural and significant part of Broome and holds a strong <i>feeling of place</i> for the local community. This is recognised as being a special place valued by locals and probably one of the remaining real parts "of old Broome". This should be kept as a family friendly precinct.	
g	Relocation of Town Beach Boat Ramp	As per submission 15(g) above. The Concept Plan does not propos

	Note submission.
o be considered in the agement Plan as ove.	Support in part. As per submission 15(i) above.
o be considered in the agement Plan as ove.	Note submission.
arking is discussed in	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
in the 'family friendly' ion the water safe swimming area, ancement of the green ea will assist in	
pose an upgrade to the	Note submission.

	This matter was strongly discussed in the affirmative by our syndicate table during the public meeting held at the Shire offices on 29 th April 2014. There was a strong feeling that the proposed site near the Catalinas would be most beneficial as it is a protected launching area with existing ramp access. A double width access way already exists permitting easy vehicle and boat trailer access to and from a launching area. The issue of parking was discussed and the Shire as already identified a suitable location in the Strategy Plan for vehicle and boat trailer parking diagonally opposite the old "Seaview" shopping area on vacant land fronting the foreshore. It is also closer to an existing launching area, than the proposed parking area along Robinson Street in relation to the Town Beach boat ramp and as such would be more attractive to users. Discussion during the public forum indicated that this vacant site was also favoured for an area where the public could access as a viewing point out over the bay. This would link in beautifully with the car/trailer parking while providing a sealed bitumised surface. Water drainage could be easily managed given the contours of the land whereby all rain water would naturally funnel into and towards existing drainage at the shopping area and the new	Catalina's boat ramp at this time.	
h	road proposal for that area. Summary and Recommendations Although there were 33 items listed in the Strategic Plan, we are concerned with the Town Beach area generally. Our concerns are: From a traffic perspective Changing a proven successful community friendly precinct Removal and relocation of the boat ramp We offer the following suggestions/recommendations: All existing parkland, garden, BBQ areas and current parking to remain as is. 	It is not likely that all car parking will be retained in its current location, as the existing situation is not optimal. Moving some of the existing car parking back from the foreshore will allow for more parkland and green space closer to the water.Element 2 in Section 5.2 describes proposed enhancements to the Roebuck Bay foreshore, including Town Beach:2. Enhancement of the foreshore area between Moonlight Bay Apartments and Town Beach will be undertaken to establish a linear foreshore park, incorporating paths, furniture, shade structures, shade tree and other plantings, public art and interpretation of the many and varied stories and historic sites associated with this historic area (i.e. McDaniels' Camp).These improvements will lead to additional recreational facilities being developed in the Precinct.	Note submission.
i	2. No addition to structural development of any kind in this area.	As per submission 15(g) above.	Note submission
j	3. Remove the existing boat ramp and upgrade the proposed locations identified as 12 Catalina vehicle and boat trailer parking	As per submission 15(g) above.	Note submission.
k	4. Upgrade the existing boat ramp at the Catalinas	This is not proposed under the Concept Plan.	Note submission.
	5. Develop a "safe swimming are" at the site of the original swimming enclosure keeping in line with local history.	As per submission 15(i) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15(i) above.
m	6. Upgrade the Town Beach Café in its current location. Leave the relocation site proposed (19 on the Strategy Plan Legend) to allow easier access to the proposed extension of the "old jetty". There already exists an "eye sore" at the back of the Town Beach Café in relation to rubbish dump bins etc, but as its current location, this is screened by the Caravan Park	As per submission 28 above.	Support in part. As per submission 28 above.

		fence and other existing structures. To move the Café to the proposed new site would create an even bigger "eye sore" and detract from the beautiful views that already exist. A similarly scenario already exists at Zanders (Cable Beach).	
n		7. Place speed humps to control traffic speed within the Town Beach area. One on Robinson Street between the Hopton Street corner and the Access road to the Town Beach car park. The second hump on the Access Road from the Town Beach car park before the junction with Robinson Street. This would not only cause traffic to slow down but hopefully, make traffic users think about other users. Currently, pedestrians are jeopardised and traffic out of the Access Road onto Robinson Street (to the Café, Caravan Park and our residence – again , an accident waiting to happen).	As discussed above, the OBDs rec transport and transport study incl audit be conducted prior to indivi improvements taking place withir
0		8. Preservation of the Pioneer Cemetery.	Noted.
р		9. Rebuild Town Beach jetty ("old jetty").	As per submission 29(b) above.
36 37	E. Rabbitt Broome Historical Society & Museum State Heritage Office	 The executive committee and members of the Broome Historical Society (BHS) & Museum, the custodians of Broome's history for the Shire of Broome would like the town planners to note: BHS & museum members request that as a major stake holder for the proposed historic precinct that BHS is consulted and our views be heard, listened to and taken into account. BHS does not agree with the proposed road changes/parking between the museum entrance and shops BHS does not agree with the proposed extension of Hamersley St along the eastern side of the museum. This area is of great historic significance and was the commercial centre of the town. We understand a gazetted road was previously in the vicinity but it was a narrow track. 21st Century roads are much wider. This historic area should not become a public thorough fare. Thank you for your correspondence received on 9 April 2014 regarding the proposed draft Local Planning Policy – Old Broome Development Strategy. The following comments are 	As per submission 11(c) and 15(v) Representatives from the Broome attended the community worksho were invited by letter to attend bo and community organisations wor public workshop that were held in
а		made on behalf of the State Heritage Office:1. It is encouraging to see a strong consideration of heritage within the draft Old Broome Development Strategy, such as inclusion of cultural heritage in the 'vision' for Old Broome, and the dedicated objectives, principles, strategies, policies and actions for heritage. This will assist in ensuring that future development does not adversely affect the significance of heritage places and areas.	Noted.
b		 2. The subject area, 'Old Broome,' contains 17 places of State Heritage Significance which are identified in Part 2 of the draft Strategy. In general, the draft Strategy does address and emphasise the importance of the character and heritage within Old Broome. However it should be noted that future development affecting these sites will need to demonstrate that the heritage significance of the places are retained. The comments made in this letter are not statutory advice and are provided only to assist in determining authority in its decision. 	The draft Old Broome Design Guid provisions relating to heritage. An provisions, the Guidelines will req of a Heritage Impact Statement for alterations and/or additions to pla value. The Guidelines will also red development adjacent to building Heritage List conserve the existing exhibit built form that is compatib heritage buildings.
			As the Guidelines will be adopted Policy, they will be publicly advert the State Heritage Office for com

ecommends that a icluding a road safety vidual transport in the Precinct.	Note submission.
	Note submission.
	Note submission.
v) above. ne Historical Society hop in May 2013 and both the government orkshop and the in April 2014.	Support in part. As per submissions 11(c) and 15(v) above.
	Note submission.
uidelines will contain Among other equire the preparation for applications for places of heritage require that ngs on the Shire's ng streetscape and tible with adjacent	Support. No modifications required.
ed as a Local Planning ertised and referred to nment.	

38	J, P & J Tilbrook 42 Landsdale Road	Lot 203 (No. 6) Louis Street	Rowe Group on behalf of the owners of Lot 203 (No. 6) Louis Street and Lot 451 (No. 33) Hamersley Street, Broome. Our Clients have reviewed the Draft Old Broome Development	Noted.
	LANDSDALE, WA,	Jueer	Strategy ('Draft Strategy') and proposed Local Planning Policy ('Draft LPP') and instructed	
	6065	Lot 451 (No. 33)	our Office to provide the following submission on their behalf. A completed 'Local Planning	
	0003	Hamersley Street	Policy Submission Form' is enclosed.	
		Humersley Street		
			A number of comment and provisions included in the draft Strategy are of concern to our	
			Clients. These comments and provisions are set out below.	
а			Land Use – Action No. 7 (Clause 4.1.4)	The OBDS is written to accord with
			Action No. 7 at Clause 4.1.4 of the draft Strategy reads as follows:	LPS6, which is considered to be a sentertained planning proposal.
			"Development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley Street shall allow for a high level of general	
			public access on the ground floor and ensure vistas to Roebuck Bay are retained. Any	Clause 5.13 of LPS6 deals with 'Ina
			buildings on this site should be sensitively designed, have a 'light' construction and address	Incongruous Development,' and s
			all four side. The development should not be 'privatised' nor dominate the location visually."	Whore in the opinion of the local
			Our Clients object to this provision and request that it be deleted from the draft Strategy.	Where, in the opinion of the local proposed development, would not
			Our clients object to this provision and request that it be deleted from the draft strategy.	existing buildings or the landscape
			It must be remembered that Lot 451 is a private landholding that is held in green title	which the proposed development
			(freehold) ownership. It is not public land and is not zoned for public purposes.	virtue of the use, design or appear
				development, the colour or type o
			Lot 451 is zoned 'Mixed Use' under Town Planning Scheme No. 4 (TPS4) and is proposed to	used on exposed surfaces, the hei
			be zoned 'Mixed Use' under draft Local Planning Scheme No 6 (LPS6). Any development on	of any building, the local governm
			the property is to accord with the provisions of TPS4. These provisions do not include a	-, -, -,,
			requirement for a "high level of public access on the ground floor". In this respect, the draft	(a) refuse its approval for the deve
			Strategy is inconsistent with TPS4 and draft LPS6 and is therefore in conflict with clause	notwithstanding that it otherwise
			2.3.2 of TPS4 which requires that any "Local Planning Policy <u>must</u> be consistent with the	provisions of the Scheme; or
			Scheme (underline is our emphasis).	
				(b) impose conditions on any plan
			The requirement under this Action that any development on Lot 451 is to be "sensitively	granted for the proposed develop
			designed" and have a "light construction" is ambiguous and unhelpful. The provisions of	it will be in harmony with existing
			TPS4 contain requirements in relation to an adherence to 'Broomestyle Architecture'. The	landscape quality of the locality in
			addition of what could be interpreted as conflicting provisions I confusing and unnecessary.	development is to be located.
			Having regard to the above, it is requested that Action No. 7 at clause 4.1.4 of the draft	This provision gives the Shire the
			Strategy is deleted.	refuse or specify conditions for a
				ensure that it is in harmony with a
				development or the natural lands
				601 Hamersley Street are located
				the Conti Foreshore reserve, acro
				Memorial Park, with uninterrupte
				Bay. Given the highly visible posit
				their location adjacent one the m
				of Public Open Space in the Shire,
				it appropriate to establish standar
				and construction of development
				beyond what would be expected
				development in the 'Mixed Use' z
				occupy such a prominent location
				with Clause 5.13 of LPS6. No mod
				recommended.
L	1	1		

	Note submission.
ith the provisions of a seriously	Reject.
nappropriate or states:	
al government, any ot be in harmony with pe of the locality in at is to be located by arance of the of materials to be eight, bulk and mass ment may:	
velopment se complies with the	
nning approval pment to ensure that og buildings and the in which the	
e ability to either a development to a adjacent dscape. Lots 451 and d along a stretch of ross from Bedford ted views of Roebuck ition of the lots and most significant areas e, the Shire considers ards for the design at on these lots d for other zone that does not on. This is consistent odifications are	

b	Movement - Action No. 22 (Clause 4.4.4)	As per submission 11(b) above.	Note submission.
	Action No. 22 at Clause 4.4.4 of the draft Strategy reads as follows:		
	"Reinstate a tram line with transit point at key locations along the eastern side of Hamersley		
	Street"		
	We understand the reinstatement of a tram line along Hamersley Street has previously been		
	considered by the Shire but we deemed to be unachievable as a result of public liability		
	issues. If this is the case, we suggest the Action be removed from the draft Strategy. Foreshore Concept Plan Element No. 9 – Strategic Mixed Use Development Sites	As you submission 20(s) shous	Deinet
C	Our comments in relation to Action No. 7 under clause 4.1.4 above are repeated. We	As per submission 38(a) above.	Reject.
	therefore request that the provisions at Element No. 9 of the Foreshore Concept Plan are		
	similarly deleted.		
d	<u>Strategy Plan</u>	Noted. It will be recommended that the Strategy Plan	Support. Recommend
ŭ	It is unclear from the Strategy Plan (Figure 2) which Policy Area Lot 451 (No. 33) Hamersley	be updated to reflect that Lots 451 and 601	amending the Strategy
	Street is located within. We have since been advised that Lot 451 is located within Policy	Hamersley Street are intended to be part of 'Area D –	Plan to show Lots 451
	Area D. We suggest the thickness of the line work used in the Strategy Plan is adjusted to	Tourist / Residential.'	and 601 Hamersley Street
	ensure the plan is legible.		as part of 'Area D –
			Tourist / Residential.'
e	Dual Policy Area Designation	The 'Old Broome Special Character Area' (OBSCA) is	Support in part.
	Lot 203 (No. 6) Louis Street is located within the proposed "Mixed Use Tourism/Residential	an overlay which has been applied to the Strategy	Recommend:
	Land Use Area" (Area D) and also forms part of the area known as the "Old Broome Special	Plan in addition to the land use designations. It does	
	Character Area" (Area I). It is unclear from the draft Strategy which land use area provisions	not specify what type of land uses are to be	1. Amending the Strategy
	apply if a site falls within two Policy Areas.	supported; rather it is a mechanism to control density	Plan by removing the
		and built form to ensure that the historic character of	words 'Area I' from the
	The draft Strategy is confusing and unhelpful in this regard and does not provide any	the area is maintained. The draft Old Broome Design	legend so it reads 'Old
	meaningful guidance as to what type of development can occur within the Old Broome	Guidelines will contain development controls for the	Broome Special Character
	Policy Area. We request the draft Strategy be amended to include clarification on this issue,	OBSCA.	Area' only.
	and the amendments re-advertised for public comment. Alternatively, if we have		
	misinterpreted this provision, please advise.	It is acknowledged that this may appear confusing. As	2. Amending Strategy 1 in
		a result, it is recommended that the legend of the	Section 4.1.3 (Land Use)
		Strategy Plan be amended to remove the 'Area I'	to remove ' i. – Area I –
		designation from the OBSCA. This will also lead to the	Old Broome Special
		updating of Section 4.1 (Land Use).	Character Area.'
			3. Amending Action 4 in
			Section 4.1.4 (Land Use)
			to state 'Retain the R10
			density coding in the Old
			Broome Special Character
f	Old Broome Special Character Area	The continuance of the existing character of parts of	Area.' Reject.
	The draft Strategy lacks guidance as to how the Old Broome Special Character Area may be	Old Broome is largely because of the low density	
	redeveloped. The lack of information suggests redevelopment is not encouraged. This will	(R10) coding, as it directly correlates with large	
	lead to tired building stock and the re-direction of investment away from the area.	blocks, considerable front and rear setbacks, and a	
	The sum of the sum and stock and the re-uncetion of investment away norm the alea.	larger percentage of open space per lot. This is why it	
	Land Use – Action No. 4 (Clause 4.1.4)	is considered important to maintain this coding in the	
	Action No. 4 at Clause 4.1.4 of the draft Strategy reads as follows:	OBSCA.	
	"Retain the R10 density coding in areas G and I".	The OBDS does not seek to prevent redevelopment,	
		however it acknowledges that the OBSCA has a	

	The retention of the R10 density coding across Policy Areas G and I is not supported. The draft Strategy provides no explanation as to why an R10 density coding is necessary. Limiting the density to R10 will discourage redevelopment and re-direct investment away from these areas.unique character worthy of preservation and as such redevelopment needs to be in keeping with the low density form of current buildings. To ensure that this occurs, residential development will be limited to a density of R10, and as discussed above, the implementation of the Design Guidelines will lead to Mixed Use development that has a similar appearance to residential development at a density of R10. It will be the decision of individual landowners whether they wish to redevelop within these parameters.
g	"This does not apply to land within the "Old Broome Special Character Area". As discussed in the officer's response to submission Support in part. Refer to Within the Mixed Use Tourism/Residential Land Use Area, "priority land uses include "Tourist Development" and "Restaurants" at Ground Level and "Tourist Development" and "Residential Uses" at the Upper Levels. The list of "scondary" land uses are largely the same. As discussed in the officer's response to submission Support in part. Refer to Internal submission 1. The limited number of priority land uses is restrictive and will generate an oversupply of such uses in this area. We therefore request that the list of priority and secondary land uses be expanded and re-advertised for comment. as to which uses are preferred, and inappropriate within the various Areas. With respect to this action, it is noted that the Internal Submission seeks to vary what was originally set out in the draft OBDS as officers considered the table did not adequately capture the full range of discretionary uses under the zoning table with respect to Areas A through D. (Refer No. 1 in Internal submission for the applicable uses for Area D. The amended Action shows that a number of commercial uses may be supported in Area D that are not strictly 'tourist' in nature, but that complement tourist' uses. This would provide landowners within this Area with additional development opportunities. As stated above, the OBDS does not re-zone any land and it will be the responsibility of individual landowners to apply for Scheme Amendments. This means that changes of use within the precint will happen incrementally. Section 3.2.1.1 of the LPS states that requests for Scheme Amendments will be accompanied by a commercial meeds analysis.
h	Preparation of Design GuidelinesIt is anticipated that the Design Guidelines will be brought to Council in draft format in December 2014. Under TPS4, Local Planning Policies are required to be framework as to how the 'Old Broome' area may be developed, it is requested that these Design Guidelines be prepared as a matter of priority.It is anticipated that the Design Guidelines will be brought to Council in draft format in December 2014. Under TPS4, Local Planning Policies are required to be publicly advertised for a minimum period of 21 days, and a longer period can be requested by Council at its discretion.Support. No modifications required.
i	Draft Local Planning PolicyAs stated above the OBDS has been written to reflectReject.We note the Shire's intention to incorporate the old Broome Development Strategy into a Local Planning Policy (LPP). The draft LPP states as follows:As stated above the OBDS has been written to reflect the provisions of LPS6 rather than TPS4. It is noted that LPS6 contains a similar provision in Clause 2.3.2."All land use and development proposals within Precinct 2 – Old Broome, under the Local Planning Strategy, shall comply with the Old Broome Development Strategy adopted byThe wording in the Local Planning Policy is not

			Council X."	considered to be redundant as th
			Clause 2.4 of TPS4 states that a LPP is not part of the Scheme and shall not bind the Council in respect of any application for planning approval but the Council shall have due regard to the provisions of any such Policy and the objectives which the Policy is designed to achieve before making its decision. Use of the words "shall comply with" are therefore redundant in the sense that Council cannot be compelled to make a decisions in accordance with a LPP.	is that all development within Old comply with the OBDS. This does from resolving to vary the policy, the OBDS, if it sees fit as is its abil 2.3.2. No modifications are there
			We request that this provision be removed from the draft LPP.	
39	Broome Uniting Church PO Box 40 BROOME, WA, 6725	11 & 13 Anne Street 40 Robinson Street	 While in agreement with the general intent of the Old Broome Development Strategy, there is a need for fine tuning of the area use zoning boundaries. In the current strategy proposal there is one type of zoning on one side of a street of and different zoning on the other side of street where the area boundaries meet. Conventionally, zoning boundaries would follow the property boundaries rather than the street line. This convention has been used where Area A meets Areas B and C in the development strategy. Under the proposed development strategy the Broome Uniting Church and it associated buildings at 11 & 13 Anne St and 40 Robinson St will be zoned Mixed Use Tourist/Residential while the other side of Anne St will be zoned Commercial/Civic. In the view of the Broome Uniting Church, the Commercial/Civic zoning is a more appropriate rating for the southern side of Anne St adjacent to the hospital, as it will accurately reflect the current use of the Church and associated buildings. It will also address potential future usage as the Church responds to the mission and ministry needs within the Broome community. = 	It is acknowledged that the current use of the subject land is more condesignation of 'Area B – Civic Com D – Tourist / Residential,' and tha within Old Broome have been incomposed The subject land is also adjacent the hospital so extending the bounda the street is not out of keeping with the area. However it is noted that Lot 17 (Incurrently has approval for a Bed a such it is more appropriate for th 'Area D – Tourist Residential.'
			The adoption of the Commercial/Civic zoning for the Uniting Church area of Anne St will also ensure the Uniting Church and the other Churches within the Old Broome area will be contained within the same zoning and guidelines which will allow for equitable planning.	
40	M. Robinson		 I object to the whole plan due to the "Consultants" who the Broome shire dealt with in relation to the "Indigenous Land Use Agreement". The "ILUA"; Indigenous Land Use Agreement Was rushed through by these so called Aboriginal consultants who did not inform the rest of the community about this business of acquiring tribal land off clans and tribal owners of the land in and around Broome. The State Government and the Broome Shire went along with these dictators or consultants who took it upon themselves to rearrange history and do very important business without the permission of the people of Broome. These consultants do not have the backing of the majority. They were never voted in to their very powerful positions and for many years, they have help so much power and persuasion with the Shire and Government, and it seems cannot be voted out. So therefore, this is the platform of "Dictatorship"!!! I am speaking of my real experience, when these "consultants" dictated the removal of the Yawuru corporation entitlement to Wattle Downs at Crab Creek only 4 years ago!! There was no mention to us, the tribal owners of that area of any plans associated with the Indigenous Land Use Agreement???? As these "consultants" parade around this town like they own it and have the audacity to say they are our "leaders"!! 	The consultant used to prepare th (WA) Pty Ltd. This company was r negotiations for the Indigenous La Agreements. Concerns about how previous Na been resolved are not relevant to OBDS.

the intent of the Policy Id Broome shall s not prohibit Council y, and by extension bility under Clause efore recommended.	
ent use and proposed consistent with the ommercial' than 'Area hat the other churches holuded within Area B. t to the Broome lary of Area B across with the character of (No. 197) Anne Street and Breakfast and as his lot to remain in	Support. Recommend amending the Strategy Plan to change Lot 198 (No. 13) Anne St, Lot 199 (No. 11) Anne Street and Lot 651 (No. 40) Robinson Street from 'Area D – Tourist / Residential' to 'Area B – Civic / Commercial.'
the OBDS was Cardno not involved in Land Use	Reject.
ative Title claims have to consideration of the	

		death of a thousand cuts" (eg. Verge car parks) of an important part of our built heritage. See 4.4.4 points 10-13 what protection is there afforded to the streetscapes of Old Broome in this plan?	11. Develop a Local Planning Policy circumstances in which cash in lieu
d		3. Question: Regarding the intent that the majority of Old Broome be zoned Mixed Use, one of the attractive aspects of Old Broome is the wide and spacious streetscapes, usually enhanced with mature shady trees. Planning and development decisions should value these streetscapes and not allow cumulative erosion, via "a	Points 10 to 13 in Section 4.4.4 (M 10. Car Parking shall be in accorda relevant Design Guidelines.
С		 Objection. Extension of Hamersley St south to Hopton St. This reduces the amount of passive or recreational space in the whole area, and makes the expansion of the usable Town Beach POS towards to museum unattractive and unlikely. 	As per submission 11(c) above.
b		Please see my comments below, both positive and negative, and queries1. Objection: 5.2.17 The large car park area proposed for the west side of the Town Beach grassed area – (S end of Robinson St) will damage the amenity of the area for the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets which are a significant tourism asset to the town. In this old built up area it is impossible to provide sufficient car parking for the busy times (staircase markets, dragon boats regattas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, encouraging people to walk or ride, etc before we sacrifice yet more POS to a bitumen desert that will be empty most of the time. Also, the current water playground has already taken a lot of the passive recreational area that was formerly available; it is expanded, as recommended in the Plan, the proposed car park would be part of a piecemeal reduction of one of the key attractions of the reserve, its user-friendly open space.	As per submission 10 above.
		 at a holistic planning approach to this area, in the early 2000s, (first Town Beach Concept Plan?) I commend the Shire for again taking a pro-active approach to the preservation and (appropriate) development of the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore areas. Given that Broome has so many attractions, particularly a world-class beach on the ocean side of the Broome peninsula, we as a community have been too complacent about making the most of some of our other less obvious assets, for both our residents and our visitors. I have only two objections to the plan as it stands, and generally I am in support of the bulk of the concept. 	
41 a	P. Mitchell PO Box 346 BROME, WA, 6725	As a former Councillor for this Shire, and a proponent and supporter of a previous attempt	Noted.
		"true people's of Broome" and will therefore fall short of any amicable agreement A classic example being""The Gas Hub""!!! I am aware of the "divide and conquer" methodology!! I am noting that these consultants who devised the Indigenous Land Use Agreement with the powers to be are mere puppets in the bigger scheme of things I believe that corruption can not exist for too long in this wonderful town The cracks are starting to show now!!!!	
		I strongly disapprove of any Shire plans that has any association with the ILUA. Out of the principal that the whole planning system from these dictator consultants was begat by a very "undemocratic" and injurious corrupt misleading method. Therefore, what ever evolves fro their scams and schemes will not be representative of the	

	Note submission.
	Cupport in part Ac por
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
	Submission 10 above.
	Support in part. As per
	submission 11(c) above.
Movement) state:	Support Perommand:
wovement) state.	Support. Recommend:
dance with the	1. Deleting Action 12 in
	Section 4.4.4
	(Movement).
licy outlining the	
ieu of car parking will	2. Deleting Action 13 in

	be permitted in Old Broome.
	12. Any car parking removed in or proposal in the Concept Plan is to elsewhere within the precinct.
	13. New or redeveloped car parkin indicated in Figure 3 shall be in acc configurations proposed within the
	With respect to Point 10, the draft Guidelines state that verge parkin supported if it in accordance with plan. Additionally, the proposal m by a site plan that demonstrates of layout and a landscaping plan that appropriate landscaping in the rer and within the development site. provisions will only apply to non-r development as parking for reside is required to be provided on site. preserve the verges and ensure th dominated by car parking.
	With respect to Point 11, it is cons policy will not be developed until Management Strategy described i Section 4.4.4 is completed.
	With respect to Point 12, it is cons Action is premature as the parking been undertaken. The survey, whi preparation of the Parking Manag help determine whether there is o supply or shortfall of parking. The worded seems to prioritise car par uses and as such it is recommended
	With respect to Point 13, the park in Figure 3 are preliminary and wil through the Parking Management it will be recommended that this a and a footnote be included in Figu Options to show that the parking o indicative.

order to achieve a o be replaced

king along the streets accordance with the the figure.

aft Old Broome Design ing will only be th an adopted parking must be accompanied s optimal building hat demonstrates emainder of the verge e. The verge parking i-residential dential developments e. This will help they are not

nsidered that such a il the Parking d in Action 16 of

nsidered that this ing survey has not yet which will inform the agement Strategy, will s currently an over he Action as currently parking over other ided it be deleted.

rking configurations will be further refined nt Strategy. Therefore s action be deleted igure 3 – Movement g configurations are

Section 4.4.4 (Movement).

3. Amending Figure 3 – Movement Options by including a footnote stating:

Parking configurations are indicative and verge parking for new development along the streets where configurations are shown will require referral to Council until a detailed parking plan is prepared as per Local Planning Policy 8.16.

e f	 Comment/Query: That the Shire takes steps to ensure that we do not experience a repeat of the situation at the Seaview site i.e. where a development application is approved, without resource to enforcing either a commencement of the project, or if development takes over a certain period of time to commence, requiring remedial action by the developer to preserve the amenity of the local streetscape cf. the Esplanade site in Albany. It has been a disgrace that this key site (Seaview) was left in that terrible state for so long, while plenty of money was made by the liquor store that was part of the same approval (I feel personally aggrieved by this, as I was part of Council that approved the original development). What protections de we have against a similar situation at the proposed development on the Conti foreshore freehold lots? Comment: I support the inclusion of the Tramway plan, and the Jetty to Jetty walk/boardwalk idea; with appropriate cultural and heritage approvals from 	Clause 10.5 of LPS6 limits the terr approval to 2 years, after which it have lapsed if development on th substantially commenced. The <i>Pla</i> <i>Development Act 2005</i> does not c provisions to compel a developer approval. LPS6 under Clause 11.1.1 does all government to: (a) enter into an agreement w occupier or other person a land affected by the prove in respect of any matter p Scheme; This option could potentially be u agreement with a landowner rega timeframes. As stated above, the Concept Plan
	walk/boardwalk idea; with appropriate cultural and heritage approvals from Yawuru. Any structures that impact on the mangroves need to minimise disturbance to the roosting bats. Any new structures and activities on the stretch of beach need to be low impact, in order to preserve its current cultural atmosphere e.g. local indigenous families often go fishing there.	serve as a non-statutory tool to ic project ideas and orientate them provide the level of detail of a tru should not be used as such. The e Concept Plan are indicative and w future investigations (including ar feasibility) and detailed design. W investigations will also include ob heritage clearances.
g	6. Comment: I support the recommendations regarding a Bicycle Plan for Broome; we have a problem with traffic and parking (also environmental/carbon impact) yet we do not do enough to encourage alternative modes of transport. I believe we should be addressing the rapid increase of scooters and other non-car modes of transport; as the options (gophers, trikes, motorised bicycles) seem to be proliferating, and will only keep increasing, along with fuel prices.	Noted.
h	7. Comment: Development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley Street. Unfortunately Council and the community has so far missed the opportunity to acquire those strategic lots and convert them into public purposes. If they are to be developed by the current owner I support the recommendations in the report regarding any developments being accessible to the public, not visually dominant etc. If no development proceeds and the lots are put on the market again, Shire should make every effort to take control of these lots.	Council's position on the appropri development on Lot 451 Hamersli clear when the lot was zoned 'Mix Planning Scheme No. 4. The 'Mixe been maintained in the draft Loca No. 6. Notwithstanding the above, Actio (Land Use) recognises the promin lots and recommends that any de ground floor allow for a high level which maintains vistas to Roebuck states: Any building on these sites should

rm of planning it is considered to the site is not <i>Planning and</i> contain any er to act on a planning	Note submission.
llow for the local	
t with any owner, n having an interest in ovisions of the Scheme r pertaining to the	
used to enter into an garding development	
an is intended to identify potential n spatially. It does not rue Master Plan and elements on the will be subject to an assessment of Where relevant these obtaining relevant	Note submission.
	Note submission.
priateness of sley Street was made Aixed Use' under Town xed Use' zoning has cal Planning Scheme	Note submission.
ion 7 in Section 4.1.4 inent location of the development on the rel of public access uck Bay. Action 7 also	
ld be sensitively	

			designed, have a light construction and address all four sides. The development should not be privatised nor dominate the location visually. Should future development on the site be designed in accordance with the recommendations above, it is considered that amenity impacts could be minimised. It is noted that a development approval was issued by Council in April 2014 for a 'Tourist Development' at Lot 451 Hamersley Street subject to a number of	
			conditions. The OBDS was not considered to be seriously entertained at this point as the public advertising period was ongoing. Therefore the decision was made on the basis of the development standards of TPS4. The Development Approval has yet to be implemented.	
i		pedestrians, bicycles and other non-road-using vehicles proliferate, we need to improve the existing and future bike path network. The paths needs to be wider, and (being a regular bike user of these paths) I suggest that a median line be painted at strategic parts of the paths to help guide traffic ("keep left") and decrease risk of accidents between path users.	Minimum standards for footpath construction in WA are outlined in the Institute of Public Works Engineering Australia (IPWEA) <i>Local Government</i> <i>Guidelines for Subdivisional Development</i> (Edition 2.1 -2011). The Shire has adopted an Addendum to these Guideline which states that all paths should be a minimum 2.0m wide in situ concrete. This allows for shared use. It is unlikely that the Shire will retrofit existing paths to be in excess of this standard.	Note submission.
j		this park, there are enough structures already, and the current entrance statement does not enhance anything, in my opinion.	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non- statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design.	Note submission.
42	R. Wells PO Box 1792 BROOME, WA, 6725			
a		 A bicycle pathway, and increased footpaths and shade trees. Historical precinct near the Museum Yawuru Cultural Centre Stabilisation of cliffs and protection of the mangroves and environment of the Roebuck Bay. Historical information at Demco Park 	Noted. The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design.	Note submission.

	The following are comments and suggestions about aspects of the Strategy that concern me:		
b	Seating at Demco Park/connection to the beach I support the provision of seating at Demco Park, but suggest this happens not just in the existing park, but in the areas near the carpark, on the cliff top directly overlooking to Bay. The existing park currently has the appearance of not being open to the public, as if it is part of the Demco housing estate. I would suggest creating a stronger connection between the park and the clifftops to encourage more public access from the beach to the park.	It is noted that in addition to the proposed enhancements to Demco Park, the Concept Plan also shows the creation of a Demco Beach Foreshore Path which will include formalised beach access from the park.	Note submission.
c	Car parking near Women of Pearling Statue I would not like to see car parking encouraged on this part of the foreshore. It would be far better to encourage pedestrians and bike users in these areas. It would be great to use this area as a sitting/picnic area, possibly with bower shelters to provide shade and seating.	Element 2 on the Concept Plan details a range of improvements in the area extending from the Conti Foreshore to Town Beach. These include paths, furniture, shade structures, shade trees and other plantings, public art and historical interpretation. The small area of car parking proposed in Element 33 is designed to formalise what currently occurs as vehicles often pull off Hamersley Street to take in the views. However, given the visual sensitivity of the area, Element 33 states that proposed car parking will not be sealed.	Note submission.
d	 Car parking at southern end of Robinson Street. Item 17 I do not support the removal of a large area of parkland to be used for carparking and boat trailers. Again, the Shire could be encouraging more bikes, and more public transport, rather than trying to find room for more cars. An alternative would be to relocate the current car park and boat ramp to the strip of land adjacent to the caravan park boundary, an area which is currently marked on the concept plan as a skate park and water park. This would put all the parking in one area and allow for the parkland and recreation areas not to be interrupted by cars or boat trailers. It would give greater safety for families and create continuous access across the park to the relocated café. It may be possible to allow for more parking in the area near the Museum and create walkways to Town Beach. Perhaps a section of the caravan park could be absorbed to use for some carparking. <i>It is a priority to have a large, safe recreational area, uninterrupted by vehicles, in this area.</i> 	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
e	Re-zoning of large areas of old Broome to mixed use. While I appreciate that this area could be revitalised into a more dynamic area, I do not believe there are currently enough safety measures in place to ensure that development will be in keeping with Broome's character until Design Guidelines are in place. It seems that there are already applications in process, ready for approval or under discussion. I suggest that design guidelines be established as soon as possible, with public consultation, prior to mixed use applications being approved.	 The draft Old Broome Design Guidelines are in preparation it is anticipated these will be presented for Council's consideration as a draft Local Planning Policy in December 2014. Under TPS4, Local Planning Policies are required to be publicly advertised for a minimum period of 21 days, and a longer period can be requested by Council at its discretion. The OBDS does not rezone any land and Scheme Amendments generally take between nine months and a year to complete. Therefore it is highly likely that the Design Guidelines will have been adopted after public advertising prior to any land being rezoned to 'Mixed Use.' 	Support. No modifications required.
f	Development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley Street I would prefer that no development take place on the foreshore. Roebuck Bay is one of the delights of Broome, and steps should be taken to ensure that the foreshore remains undeveloped.	As per submission 41(h) above.	Note submission.

			It may be that the effects of climate change on the coastal environment will impact on	
			dwellings built on the foreshore.	
			The Bay should be preserved and enhanced, not built up.	
g			 Re-wording for Caravan Park item The Concept Plan (Figure 4) Item # 25 states: 'Redevelop Caravan Park and Incorporate Government Offices.' This labelling suggests that the caravan will be redeveloped and that it may include the incorporation of office buildings. Although the situation becomes clearer when reading the text, I suggest this item be re- worded in any future maps, so as to avoid any confusion. Section 5.2 – Concept Plan Elements also states: "Opportunities to enhance the caravan park facilities through strategic redevelopment will also be investigated." I would hope that any 'redevelopment' of the caravan park continues to support affordable accommodation and camping facilities. 	As per submission 15(r) above.
43	L. Weatherhead	15 Walcott Street		
a			I object to this proposal because it strikes at the heart of what Broome is about and threatens to remove the character of Broome and make it like any other small coastal town on the eastern seaboard. Broome is about the vast expanse of Cable Beach, and the tranquil environs of Old Broome, where Chinatown is the hub and the wide tree lined streets home to the old pearling houses and gardens of mangoes and custard apples. Merging commercial and residential in the streets about the market take away this character and impact on the environment and families that live in Broome. Are we going to attract tourists with insurance offices along Walcott and Robinson St? Will anyone want to continue to live in an area that is no longer family friendly?	As per submission 17(c) above.
b			 In particular I object to opening up Walcott Street at the junction with Frederick Street. There is no case made for why this should happen and it just makes an alternative thoroughfare to the main road that is not needed and impacts on those living in the area. The length of Frederick Street between Hamersley Street and the Boulevard shopping centre is already a dangerous traffic area and the addition of another intersection will add to the problem. As well , the opening of Anne Street to Hamersley Street is proposed without any demonstration of need or an impact assessment. I live on Walcott Street in Area B, now declared as "mixed use commercial/civic and administration" in the plan. This appears a long step from the current use, which is mainly residential. I am not "movement challenged". At the moment I am able to walk, ride or drive to work without any problems. The opening up of Walcott and Anne St will create through traffic that will be dangerous to those living in the area, and create more noise and prollution. 	As per submission 3 above.
c			pollution.The strategy mentions the promotion of pedestrian and bicycle usage through improvement to lighting and paths and it is part of the strategy that needs to be developed and improved. Encouraging alternatives to motor vehicle use, particularly for areas close to town, provide benefits to both residents and to councils and government in terms of reduced expenditure.	Noted.
d			I also object to the plans for the Town Beach reserve. This reserve is a well utilised family reserve and the knock it down and develop attitude that seems to be driving the plan seems to be counter to the role of a council in providing public amenities and an appropriate environment for its residents.	The Concept Plan is intended to s statutory tool to identify potentia orientate them spatially. It does r of detail of a true Master Plan and as such. The elements on the Con indicative and will be subject to fu (including an assessment of feasil design.

	Support. As per submission 15(r) above.
	Reject.
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above
	Support. No modifications required.
serve as a non- cial project ideas and s not provide the level nd should not be used oncept Plan are future investigations sibility) and detailed	Note submission.

a As a resident of Broome since 1991 believe Broome has developed well over these years and near exercises and and recreation of the parsage series and records with the parsage series and records with the parsage series and records and the could series and records and the parsage series and records and parsage and records and parsage series and records and parsage and records and parsage series and				It is noted that the vision stateme Town Beach and the Conti Foresh
A Foreshore to Town Beach' and re Enhancement of the foreshore on Moonlight Boy Aportments and Tundettiken to establish a line of incorporating paths, furniture, is the read of the foreshore on Moonlight Boy Aportments and Tundettiken to establish a line of incorporating paths, furniture, is the read of the foreshore on associated with this historic area comp. A4 C. Phillips PO Box 5060 BROOME, WA, G725 As a resident of Broome since 1994 I believe Broome has developed well over these years and we have a town to be proud of. We have a good balance of comunity minded people, strong indigenous leaders, progressive busines managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, was are a another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome stance sprogressive business managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, was are a another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome stance sprogressive busines managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, was are a another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome with Its majestic views of the bay makes Broome unque. We must not lose Broome with Its majestic views of the bay makes Broome unque. We must not lose Broome with Its majestic views of the bay makes Broome unque. We must not lose Broome with Its majestic views of the bay makes Broome unque. We must not lose Broome with Its majestic views of the bay makes Broome unque. We must not lose Broome with Its majestic views of the bay makes Broome undue. We must not lose Broome with Its majestic views of the bay those shouse the area, and reduce lost attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets, dragon boats regatas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, and reduce lost attractivenes and fine torools to a bitumen desert that will be empt				brings people of all ages, cultures together; that uses the land and s Broome's history, culture, and en provides an array of recreational low-key scale, retaining the open
44 C. Phillips PO Box 5060 BROOME, WA, 6725 As a resident of Broome since 1994 I believe Broome has developed well over these years and we have a town to be proud of. We have a good balance of community minded people, strong Indigenous leaders, progressive business managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which cultural society crossrescient of the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and freed on the any families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and freed on the any families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and freed on the tow socinfice yeterome PO Sto a sperideutidation and the passi				-
44 C. Phillips PO Box 5060 BROOME, WA, 6725 As a resident of Broome since 1994 I believe Broome has developed well over these years and we have a town to be proud of. We have a good balance of comunity minded people, strong indigenous leaders, progressive business managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome change for the worse with parts of old Broome's open spaces being deleted to the history books. The feeling of space and freedom in old Broome with its majestic views of the Bay makes Broome unique. We must not lose Broome's unique environmental values in our quest for commercial development. As per submission 10 above. b Objection: 5.2.17 The large car park area proposed for the west side of the Town Beach grassed area – (S end of Robinos 51) will damage the amenity of the area for the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets which are a significant tourism asset to the town. In this old built up area it is impossible to provide sufficient car parking for the busy times (staircase markets, dragon boats regattas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, encouraging people to walk or ride, etc before we sacrifice yet more POS to a bitumen desert that will be empty most of the time. Also, the current water playground has already taken a lot of the passive recreational area that was formerly available; it is expanded, as recommended in the Plan, the proposed car park would be part of a piecemeal reduction of one of the key attractions of the reserve, its user-friendly open space.				Moonlight Bay Apartments and T undertaken to establish a linear f incorporating paths, furniture, sh tree and other planting, public ar of the many and varied stories ar associated with this historic area
PO Box 5060 BROOME, WA, 6725 As a resident of Broome since 1994 believe Broome has developed well over these years and we have a town to be proud of. We have a good balance of community minded people, strong Indigenous leaders, progressive business managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome change for the worse with parts of old Broome's open spaces being deleted to the history books. The feeling of space and freedom in old Broome with its majestic views of the Bay makes Broome unique. We must not lose Broome's unique environmental values in our quest for commercial development. As per submission 10 above. b Objection: 5.2.17 The large car park area proposed for the west side of the Town Beach grassed area – (S end of Robinson St) will damage the amenity of the area for the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets which are a significant tourism asset to the town. In this old built up area it is impossible to provide sufficient car parking for the busy times (staircase markets, dragon boats regattas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, encouraging people to walk or ride, etc before we sacrifice yet more POS to a bitume desert that will be empty most of the time. Also, the current water playground has already taken a lot of the passive recreational area that was formerly available; it is expanded, as recommended in the Plan, the proposed car park would be part of a piecemeal reduction of one of the key attractions of the reserve, its user-friendly open space.				detail the installation of infrastru
and we have a town to be proud of. We have a good balance of community minded people, strong Indigenous leaders, progressive business managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome change for the worse with parts of old Broome's open spaces being deleted to the history books. The feeling of space and freedom in old Broome with its majestic views of the Bay makes Broome unique. We must not lose Broome's unique environmental values in our quest for commercial development. As per submission 10 above. b Objection: 5.2.17 The large car park area proposed for the west side of the Town Beach grassed area – (S end of Robinson St) will damage the amenity of the area for the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets which are a significant tourism asset to the town. In this old built up area it is impossible to provide sufficient car parking for the busy times (staircase markets, dragon boats regattas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, encouraging people to walk or ride, etc before we sacrifice yet more POS to a bitumen desert that will be empty most of the time. Also, the current water playground has already taken a lot of the passive recreational area that was formerly available; it is expanded, as recommended in the Plan, the proposed car park would be part of a piecemeal reduction of one of the key attractions of the reserve, its user-friendly open space.	44	PO Box 5060 BROOME, WA,		
b Objection: 5.2.17 The large car park area proposed for the west side of the Town Beach grassed area – (S end of Robinson St) will damage the amenity of the area for the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets which are a significant tourism asset to the town. In this old built up area it is impossible to provide sufficient car parking for the busy times (staircase markets, dragon boats regattas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, encouraging people to walk or ride, etc before we sacrifice yet more POS to a bitumen desert that will be empty most of the time. Also, the current water playground has already taken a lot of the passive recreational area that was formerly available; it is expanded, as recommended in the Plan, the proposed car park would be part of a piecemeal reduction of one of the key attractions of the reserve, its user-friendly open space. As per submission 10 above.	a		and we have a town to be proud of. We have a good balance of community minded people, strong Indigenous leaders, progressive business managers and a rich arts and multi cultural society. However, we are at another important crossroad which could see the nature of Broome change for the worse with parts of old Broome's open spaces being deleted to the history books. The feeling of space and freedom in old Broome with its majestic views of the Bay makes Broome unique. We must not lose Broome's unique environmental values in our	Noted.
c Will the Shire takes steps to ensure that we do not experience a repeat of the situation at As per submission 41(e) above.	b		Objection: 5.2.17 The large car park area proposed for the west side of the Town Beach grassed area – (S end of Robinson St) will damage the amenity of the area for the many families who use the area, and reduce its attractiveness and functionality for the regular Staircase markets which are a significant tourism asset to the town. In this old built up area it is impossible to provide sufficient car parking for the busy times (staircase markets, dragon boats regattas, other events). We have to look at innovative solutions such as special bus services, encouraging people to walk or ride, etc before we sacrifice yet more POS to a bitumen desert that will be empty most of the time. Also, the current water playground has already taken a lot of the passive recreational area that was formerly available; it is expanded, as recommended in the Plan, the proposed car park would be part of a piecemeal	As per submission 10 above.
	С		Will the Shire takes steps to ensure that we do not experience a repeat of the situation at	As per submission 41(e) above.

nent in the OBDS for shore is:	
an inclusive place that es and abilities I sea so as to preserve nvironment; and that I opportunities at a n vistas to Roebuck	
onsiders 'Conti ecommends:	
rrea between Town Beach will be foreshore park, had structures, shade and interpretation and historic sites a (eg: McDaniels'	
e Concept Plan also ucture that is onal use.	
	Note submission.
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
	Note submission.

-				
d			 the Seaview site i.e. where a development application is approved, without resource to enforcing either a commencement of the project, or if development takes over a certain period of time to commence, requiring remedial action by the developer to preserve the amenity of the local streetscape. It has been a disgrace that this key site (Seaview) was left in that terrible state for so long, while plenty of money was made by the liquor store that was part of the same approval. What protections de we have against a similar situation at the proposed development on the Conti foreshore freehold lots? Development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley Street. Unfortunately Council and the 	As per submission 41(h) above.
			community has so far missed the opportunity to acquire those strategic lots and convert them to public purposes. If they are to be developed by the current owner I support the recommendations in the report regarding any developments being made accessible to the public, not visually dominant etc. If no development proceeds and the lots are put on the market again, Shire should make every effort to take control of these lots.	
e			The extension of Hamersley Street south to Hopton St . will reduce the amount of passive or recreational space in the whole area, and makes the expansion of the usable Town Beach POS unattractive and unlikely.	As per submission 11(c) above.
f			I support the inclusion of the Tramway plan, the Jetty to Jetty boardwalk idea and the	Noted.
45	J. Jones 11 Mounts Bay Road PERTH, WA, 6000		 recommendations regarding a Bicycle Plan for Broome. Although I don't currently live in Broome (I did for 10 years), I do have family in Broome, and I spend at least 2 holidays a year there. On my recent trip in April, I didn't even go to Cable Beach, but in 6 days went swimming 3 times at Town Beach. As a tourist I would hate to see Town Beach disappear, or reduced in size. I am led to believe that the plan is to turn the current area into a car park from the water park to the Hopton St Drain and most of the way across to the Pioneer Cemetery. This will mean the loss of all the grassed area. The Town Beach reserve is an integral part of the community, the local culture and the history of Broome. It is also a very pretty site. Why does Broome have to look like every other commercialised town in Australia? Please leave this area alone. 	As per submission 10 above. It is reiterated that the Concept PI relocating the existing car parking away from the foreshore and turn parking into green space, with the narrow access road and turn aroun accessing the Town Beach boat ran was to increase the amount of gree rather than reduce it.
46	L. Morris PO Box 432 BROOME, WA, 6725	19 Barker Street		
а			 I am currently the owner of 19 Barker Street, Old Broome in proposed Area I in the above Strategy. 	Noted.
b			 I was unable to participate in the consultation workshop held on Tuesday 28 May 2013 as when I rang to book I was advised that the workshop was full and there were no available spots left. As an owner of property directly affected by this Strategy, there should not have been a limitation placed on attendance. As I could not get into the workshop, I requested that I be provided with any information handed out at the workshop. No information was sent to me which is disappointing in light of the direct impact this Strategy has on our property and the reason why we purchased in Old Broome. 	The Shire adopted a Community E November 2012. The policy states community engagement, emphasi ensuring the appropriate groups o engaged at the appropriate level s resources and service are inline wi needs, aspirations and strengths. The Shire does not condone the p
c			 We purchased our property privately as the previous owners wanted to ensure the property was purchased by someone who was not going to demolish or subdivide to 	interested residents from attendir activities, and apologises. Resident informed about the OBDS and pro events through a direct mail out to The public workshop and displays interested parties. As per submissions 2 and 11(d) ab

2.	Note submission.
	Support in part. As per submission 11(c) above.
	Note submission.
ot Plan proposed king to an area further curning the existing the exception of a round area for vehicles t ramp. The intention green space provided,	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
	Note submission.
ty Engagement Policy in ates that in conducting hasis will be on ps of stakeholders are vel so that Shire e with community hs.	Note submission.
e prohibition of nding engagement dents were also proposed engagement ut to all landowners. ays were open to all	
) above.	Support in part. As per submissions 2 and 11(d)

	ensure the character of Old Broome was maintained. That is why we purchased it – to enhance, not destroy. I realise there are a number of properties on Old Broome that have been purchased and subdivided but the Shire has the opportunity to stop this from happening and protect what is left of Old Broome, not only in Area I, but in the whole of Old Broome. There are large residential properties in each of thse areas and it would be devastating for those living on one of these large properties in each of these areas to have a large multi-unit resort or complex built next to them. R10 zoning needs to be the zoning for these areas to retain the character that makes Old Broome "Old Broome".		above.
d	4. In 4.1.3 on page 8 there appears to be an error. Area E and Area F have been listed the wrong way around. Area E should be listed as Tourism and Area F should be listed as Recreation.	Noted. This will be corrected in the text to match the maps.	Support. Recommend: 1. Strategy 1 in Section 4.1.3 (Land Use) be amended to change 'e – Area E' to –'Tourism' and 'f- Area F' to 'Recreation' 2. Amend the land use tables in Action 1 in Section 4.1.4 (Land Use) to switch the designations of Area E and Area F.
e	5. On page 10 and 11, Residential Land Uses should be listed under Priority Land Uses in all Areas, not only in some. The Shire should not be trying to discourage residential in these areas.	Noted. As discussed above, the Internal Submission seeks to vary what was originally set out in the draft OBDS as officers considered the table did not adequately capture the full range of discretionary uses under the zoning table with respect to Areas A through D. (Refer No. 1 in Internal submission for the applicable uses). 'Single Dwelling' will now be a preferred use in Areas B, C, and D, which in addition to areas G and H encompass the majority of the Precinct. It will be not be a preferred use in 'Area A – Mixed Use Retail / Commercial' as this area fronts an arterial road (Frederick Street), faces land that will be zoned 'Town Centre' under LPS6, and is intended to be the one area in the Precinct where generalised retail would be supported. This does not mean that applications for a single house would be refused in Area A as ultimately it is a discretionary decision under LPS6, however in pre-lodgement discussions with the Shire applicants will be encouraged to consider a design which incorporates one or more preferred uses. Additionally, a single house will not be a preferred use in 'Area E – Tourist' as this designation applies only to the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park , or in 'Area F- Recreation' as this designation applies to the PCYC and surrounds where the use of the land for housing	Support in part. No modifications required.

		is not supported.
f	6. Item 4.1.4.3. There should be no increase to R40 of properties in Old Broome. Area D is a prime example. This is unfair to neighbouring property owners as any increase in density will destroy even more of the open space character of Old Broome. As previously stated, this is the opportunity to stop the destruction of Old Broome and retain a nurture what is left.	As per submission 15(c) above.
g	7. Item 4.1.4.4. It should not only be Areas G and I that retain the R10 density coding. All areas/properties that currently have this zoning should retain it.	As per submission 15(c) above.
h	8. With respect to 4.3 – Community Facilities, the fact that the consultants that prepared the Strategy have stated that "the use of existing facilities by tourists was not accounted for in the benchmarks as they only consider permanent residents" is a flawed way of thinking when it comes to Broome. For many months of the year our population swells overwhelmingly as tourists come to town. Unlike many tourist towns where the tourists are passing through or only here for a few days, a large number of our tourists are retirees who come up here for the dry so they should be accounted for in any benchmarks. One of the examples they used was the museum. To say that the museum has been provided slightly in advance of demand is ridiculous. There are many towns much smaller than Broome that have museums.	The purpose of referencing the LF to demonstrate that 'the supply of facilities was commensurate with Stating that is museum is not requ permanent population reaches 20 one cannot be provided before th demonstrates, using standard ber well serviced with the current mu The OBDS recognises that tourists facilities. It is important to note facilities incur maintenance costs only in the tourist season, so it is there is some sort of 'full cost rec to prioritise the development of r facilities based on permanent res
1	9. With respect to the suggestion of low scale infill development on the Courthouse street block, I strongly disagree. The courthouse is a landmark building synonymous with Broome. The open space surrounding it adds to its character. To add more buildings to the site would do nothing to enhance it and would detract from the Courthouse building itself.	As per submission 15(n) above.
j	10. Item 4.4 on page 14 reads "As Old Broome transitions into an area predominantly suitable for Mixed Use development" is a very negative statement. Old Broome does not have to transition into an area predominantly suitable for Mixed Use development. As mentioned by many people, when they first came to Broome and when they have visitors, they often drive around Old Broome because it is "Old Broome" and is full of history, character homes, and an unstructured, uncluttered, rustic area.	As stated above, the draft LPS (20 entirety of Old Broome as 'Mixed been adopted by Council and the Planning Commission, therefore f perspective the area is already co for Mixed Use development.' At the same time the LPS makes of development should be in an 'ope 'recognises the historic character purpose of the OBDS is to further recommendations of the LPS and particular land uses in particular a OBDS, the Old Broome Design Gu the built form of future developm the open and historic character of preserved.
		Old Broome already contains num residential uses including the hos prison, police station and Shire ac a primary school, churches, resor

	Reject.
	Reject.
LPS benchmarks was of community th current demand.' quired until the 20,000 does mean that time, rather, it enchmarks Broome is nuseum.	Reject.
ets use community e that new community is all year round, not s desirable, unless ecovery fees' imposed f new Shire- owned esident needs.	
	Note submission.
2013) identifies the d Use.' The LPS has e Western Australian from a planning considered 'suitable clear that pen form' that er of the area.' The er refine the d seek to concentrate r areas. Along with the suidelines will control	Support in part. Recommend replacing 'As Old Broome transitions into an area predominantly suitable for Mixed Use development' in paragraph 2 of Section 4.4.1 (Movement) with 'As a mixture of uses continue to develop in Old Broome over time,'
ment to ensure that of Old Broome can be imerous non- ospital, court house, administration offices,	
orts and other tourist	

k	11. Figure 3 Parking Configurations indicates new parking areas. If it is these are bitumen and concrete parking areas, I believe this will detract from the feeling of Old Broome and make it more like a concrete/bitumen jungle. The current wide rustic road verges are part of what makes Old Broome, not a lot of concrete/bitumen car parks.	 development, a local shopping centre, and a number of services (such as doctor and dental surgeries, government offices and non-profit organisations) that complement the civic buildings. It is recommended that the phase in question be reworded slightly to better reflect the existing situation. As per submission 41(d) above. 	Support in part. As per submission 41(d) above.
	 12. I believe Old Broome needs to be treated differently to new subdivisions. The size of blocks needs to be retained, wide rustic road verges need to be retained. Don't let development take over Old Broome. It is possible to have a large block with a character building used as a commercial premises without detracting from the character of Old Broome. It can be protected and maintained. It just needs to Shire to accept that. 	As discussed above, the R10 density coding will be retained within the 'Old Broome Special Character Area' (OBSCA) which is recommended to expand as per submissions 2 and 11(d) above. The Old Broome Design Guidelines will ensure that the built form of non-residential development in the OBSCA is complementary to residential development at a density of R10. The wide road verges will be maintained and verge parking will be carefully controlled through the Design Guidelines.	Support. No modifications required.
m	13. If design guidelines are prepared for the 'Old Broome Special Character Area' as a Local Planning Policy, do we get to comment?	The Design Guidelines will brought to Council for consideration as a draft Local Planning Policy, anticipated in December 2014. Under TPS4, Local Planning Policies are required to be publicly advertised for a minimum period of 21 days, and a longer period can be requested by Council at its discretion.	Support. No modifications required.
n	14. It appears that under 4.7 Urban Form, the consultants are applying ideas that would work in a new urban suburb. Old Broome. Old Broome deserves to be considered differently. It is an old suburb that needs to be preserved, not urbanised. Their reference to "optimal massing of buildings" is a frightening statement in itself.	 Strategy 2 of Section 4.7.3 (Urban Form) states: 2. Ensure that setbacks allow for optimal massing of buildings to achieve climate sensitive design outcomes, whilst remaining compatible with the spacious character of Old Broome. 'Optimal massing of buildings' in this context means that buildings should be set back from boundaries to allow, amongst other things, for breezes to flow through the area. The strategy also recognises that building design should be compatible with Old Broome's character which includes generous boundary setbacks. It is considered that the wording of Strategy 2 can be amended to reflect its true intent. 	Support. Recommend amending Strategy 2 of Section 4.7.3 (Urban Form) to state: 2. Ensure that setbacks are used to achieve climate sensitive design outcomes and maintain the spacious character of Old Broome.
0	15. Page 30 – Provision of Space for Events. This just sounds like a plan for another bitumen and concrete jungle. There are some people that may dislike the informal parking and inconvenience of finding parking during a few peak times, but I believe part of the adventure of attending these events is the fact that the structure isn't regimental like in the towns or cities they come from. They get to experience the	The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non- statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are	Support. Recommend deleting the last sentence of Element 30 in Section 5.2 and replacing with:

	rustic nature of our town.	 indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. Provision of more bitumen and concrete is not the intention of Element 30. Rather, it is intended to encourage events to expand into areas of Town Beach which are not currently utilised due to a lack of facilities and amenity. The mention of 'hardstand' is to acknowledge that in developing the foreshore park (as per Element 2), consideration should be given to a suitable area for pop-up traders, with necessary power, lighting and waste connections. If such an area is created, there would likely be a need for some dedicated parking in proximity, however the Element acknowledges that this will be different in nature than parking for one-off events. It is considered that the last sentence of the description can be amended to more accurately reflect the intent. 	'Future investigations should consider the provision of dedicated parking in proximity to areas designed to accommodate pop-up traders. It is acknowledged that one- off events will require more car parking that can be permanently supplied, so investigations should also consider the optimal location and design of informal overflow parking for events.
p	16. Item 2.1.6 in Part 2 of the Strategy contains a statement that should be a strong enough message in itself to let the Shire know what people want. It reads "community sentiment was overwhelmingly in favour of retaining the low density R10 coding". The use of the word "underdeveloped" further down in that same paragraph is also only the consultants interpretation. Old Broome doesn't look "underdeveloped" now so if the density R10 coding doesn't change and is the same as it is now, then why should it look underdeveloped in the future.	The R10 coding is being maintained in the OBSCA which is recommended to be expanded. The word 'underdeveloped' was included in quotation marks in Item 2.1.6 of Part 2 for a reason. The intent of the paragraph was to state that the building form of R10 development will appear underdeveloped only when it is considered alongside grouped or multiple dwelling developments which have already been constructed in Old Broome at densities of up to R50. It is considered that the sentence in question can be amended to more accurately reflect the intent.	Note submission. Recommend deleting the third sentence of paragraph 4 in Section 2.1.6 Land Use (Part 2) and replacing with: As there have already been several grouped and multiple dwelling developments constructed at higher densities in Old Broome over the years, new development at a R10 density may appear 'underdeveloped' in comparison.
q	 17. Figure 3 with respect to Heritage. Our property at 19 Barker Street is noted as a Shire of Broome Municipal Inventory Heritage Place. Several years ago letters were sent out by the Shire to owners of properties listed on the Shire's Heritage Inventory. The paperwork with a picture of our property was sent to Mr Tom Johnson, our neighbour who owns 17 Barker Street. He handed the paperwork to us as it was definitely for our property but had the incorrect address of 17 Barker Street listed, hence why it was sent to him. I contacted the Shire both by phone and in writing advising that the property marked as a place of heritage significance indicated in Figure 3 is 17 Barker not 19 Barker Street. This needs to be corrected and raises concerns that if this is wrong, how many others are wrong or missing. I believe that Figure 3 is not a true indication of Places of Heritage Significance and 	The Municipal Inventory 2014 was endorsed by Council in August 2014. On the basis of this submission the address for Place 06 – Residence (former) was updated to Lot 240 (No.19) Barker Street. Consistent with this it recommended that Figure 3 – Places of Heritage Significance in Part 2 of the OBDS be updated accordingly.	Support. Recommend amending Figure 3 – Places of Heritage Significance in Part 2 of the OBDS to remove the 'Shire of Broome Municipal Inventory Heritage Place' overlay on Lot 241 (No. 17) Barker Street and include it on Lot 240 (No. 19) Barker Street.

			needs to have more research done in identifying all of the properties prior to accepting the Strategy.		
r			I strongly request you consider the above comments and make sure Old Broome remains the great areas it is. It is possible to have commercial properties amongst residential without destroying the amenity of the area. We have done so much with our property so know it can be done.	Noted.	Note submission.
47	B. Bowles PO Box 2616 BROOME, WA, 6725	28 Walcott St	I specially object to the exploration expansion of the hospital which would impact on my family residential home located at 28 Walcott Street, as set out on Page 22 of Town Beach & Conti Foreshore Precinct Concept Plan, and identified as Legend 7, drawing No P13005-001 Issue E dated 14/03/14: Precinct 2. My family have resided at this address for over 50 years.	As per submission 15(o) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15(o) above.
48	Environs Kimberley PO Box 2281 BROOME, WA, 6725				
а			While we welcome some very positive ideas in the Strategy we advise that further consultation would be beneficial on some of the proposals which are contentious according to feedback from Environs Kimberley members and the general public.	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
			 Relocation of Town Beach vehicle and boat trailer parking We have had significant feedback that this is not supported by many in the community. There is a perception that this will reduce the public open space area at Town Beach and turn what is currently a popular part of the park into bitumen. 		
			EK Recommendation We recommend that the Shire holds a public workshop on this proposal prior to any development plans being developed. EK does not support this proposal until this happens.		
b			 Old Broome rezoned to Mixed Use This has the potential to significantly impact on streetscapes e.g removal of trees and filling of verges with cracker dust. EK Recommendation We recommend that development approvals ensure the retention of trees and encourage the use of native plants on verges. 	The draft Old Broome Design Guidelines state that the Shire will only consider verge parking if a proponent submits a landscaping plan that demonstrates acceptable and appropriate landscaping within the development site and within the remaining verge area. This can include retention of mature trees and landscaping with native plants. Permeable paving will also be recommended for car parking areas . The Shire's current Engineering Policy 3.1.16 sets out the requirements for verge treatment and includes a street planting guide with endemic species	Support. No modifications required.
С			 A plan for a Tramway and the Jetty to Jetty walk/boardwalk idea These can be a positive development for Broome but need to be developed sensitively. EK Recommendation 	The Shire has engaged consultants to prepare a business case for the Jetty to Jetty Walk which includes potential designs for the jetty. This was endorsed by Council in July 2014.	Note submission.
			That the tramway and Jetty to Jetty project be developed with significant community consultation prior to the design phase.	Community engagement for the business case for the Jetty to Jetty walk to date has included a series of stakeholder workshops and one-on-one interviews.	
d			4. Recommendations for a Bicycle Plan for Broome	Noted. Action 20 of Section 4.4.4 (Movement) states:	Support. No modifications
		This would be a very positive initiative for Broome. EK Recommendation We recommend that a Bicycle Plan be developed which would include bicycle hiring programmes and signed bicycle trails developed through streets and laneways.	 20. Prepare a comprehensive Bicy will provide recommendations for cycleway network. Design of the cycleway network of appropriate locations for signed streets and laneways and the Str 		
----	--	---	--		
е		 5. Development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 Hamersley Street These lots are extremely environmentally sensitive and in our view should be public 	consider hiring programmes and to increase participation in cyclin As per submission 41(h) above. of these lots to a reserve could th		
		open space. EK Recommendation That the Shire rezones these sites as public open space.	injurious affection and compensa 11.5 of LPS6.		
f		6. Protection of mangroves	The OBDS does not propose the		
		Some reference to the removal of mangroves has been made EK Recommendation	mangroves. The only reference to mangroves is in Appendix A – 'St Outcomes May 2013' and reflect		
		Mangroves should not be removed due to their biological and aesthetic values.	workshop attendees brought up 'Opportunities and Challenges' b		
g		 7. Roebuck Bay Marine Park We have the opportunity for a world class marine park in Roebuck Bay. EK Recommendation 	Boundaries for Marine Parks are the <i>Conservation and Land Mana</i> and as such this is beyond the sc		
		That the Shire support the Roebuck Bay Marine Park boundary to be to the high tide mark along the Town Beach foreshore in order to capitalise on the tourism and marketing opportunities that it would be bring.			
49	Yawuru Native Title Holders Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC ICN 7033 PO Box 425, BROOME, WA, 6725				
а		The Yawuru PBC resolved at its meeting on 7 May, 2014 to oppose the draft Old Broome Development Strategy ('Strategy') and to seek a dialogue with the Shire of Broome aimed at incorporating Yawuru values in the Shire's planning responsibilities.	Section 1.3 of Part 2 of the OBDS Indigenous Land Use Agreement outlines the importance of the IL the primary and principal rights of		
		Background	community in protecting and pre		
		The Yawuru PBC represents the Yawuru community of native title holders who hold native title rights and interests to lands in and surrounding Broome. Regardless of whether Yawuru	values.' This section recognises ' is significant to the Yawuru' and		
		native title rights have been extinguished or impaired by western law, all land and waters within Yawuru country are important to Yawuru people under customary tradition.	consultations and agreements (v the Yawuru are required to ensu of affected land within the precis		
		The recognition of Yawuru native title in Australian law has changed the relationship between the Shire of Broome and Yawuru people. The initial formalisation of the new relationship of recognition and inclusion of Yawuru people as native tile holders by the Shire of Broome commenced with the signing of the Yawuru Native Title Global Agreement in the	Yawuru management plans and		
		form of two Indigenous Land Use Agreements in 2010.			

cycle Strategy which or a Broome-wide	required.
can include bicycle trails through rategy can also d other opportunities ng.	
Changing the zoning trigger claims for sation under Clause	Reject.
removal of any to removing takeholder Workshop ts that some o the idea in the brainstorming session.	Support. No modifications required.
e established under agement Act 1984 cope of the OBDS.	Note submission.
S is titled 'Yawuru t.' This section LUA in 'recognising of the Yawuru eserving heritage 'the entire foreshore I states that 'Heritage where necessary) with ure the management inct is aligned with the practices.'	Note submission.

	Yawuru are concerned that the Shire has limited its recognition of Yawuru native title holders to the legal technical scope of the Global Agreement. In this regard Yawuru believe that we are treated as just another stakeholder or interest group and not accorded genuine respect as native title holders and traditional owners by the Shire of Broome with respect to planning Broome's future.	
b	Yawuru argue that the building of a new relationship between the Shire and Yawuru must incorporate Yawuru values within Broome's social, economic and civic fabric. This includes managing and protecting the natural environment and built heritage and infusing the statutory planning processes with Broome's rich and complex cultural and social heritage. Yawuru have articulated these values in submissions to the Shire of Broome on the Chinatown Development Strategy and the Local Planning Strategy and Scheme.Conventional use of statutory planning guidelines by the Shire does not incorporate Yawuru values: extended family life, use and occupancy of the land and seas, recreation and entertainment, social and economic enterprise and protocols that govern relationships between us and other cultural groups. Yawuru values go hand in hand with practical matters of liveability for Yawuru people, such as a space to live and access to the natural environment. These matters should also be incorporated in the Shire's plans.In a post native title determination environment Yawuru is seeking a dialogue with the Shire of Broome to explore how best Yawuru values should be incorporated into Broome's regional planning processes.	Yawuru was invited by the Shire in number of forums during the pre- Broome Development Strategy. A held between Cardno, the Shire a Yawuru staff prior to the prepara and Yawuru staff also attended t in May 2013. Further to the adop Strategy for advertising purposes workshop with Yawuru on 16 App The vision of the Strategy is: <i>Old Broome will be a vibrant, acc mixed use precinct meeting the n</i> <i>visitors through development that</i> <i>rich cultural heritage and natural</i>
C	Yawuru Concern with Draft Old Broome Development StrategyWhilst Yawuru recognise that the draft Strategy contains some positive initiatives, our concern is about the framework and philosophical underpinnings of the draft Strategy and the way it is incorporated in Broome's planning strategies.Yawuru believe that the Strategy does not reflect adequately Broome's heritage and values as a unique multicultural Australian town and a place where Yawuru native title rights have been recognised and celebrated. Despite references in the draft Strategy about the importance of protecting and enhancing Old Broome's heritage, Yawuru believe that the strategy will, in fact, do the opposite and undermine Broome's capacity to protect its heritage as a vibrant continuing feature of Broome's social and economic character.Yawuru argue that the Strategy represents a settler society vision of Broome's future with planning zones that will facilitate investment with little safeguards for the protection of Old Broome values or for the revitalisations of those values as an economic and social force.	Yawuru values described. See also the response to submiss above. The Old Broome Values are artice Local Planning Strategy 'Cultural provide over arching direction to the Shire.
d	Broome values or for the revitalisations of those values as an economic and social force.Over the past three decades or more, Broome has been transformed by significant population and investment expansion. This transformation has eroded Yawuru and old Broome facilities' sense of ownership and connection to their community and natural environmental. Yawuru are concerned that this Strategy will intensify Old Broome's residential and commercial development without acknowledging the negative impact of Broome's development on Yawuru and Old Broome families.Yawuru is particularly concerned that the draft Strategy will promote further commercial and residential development along the foreshore which will have a negative impact on the cultural, environmental and social integrity of Yawuru and old Broome families and also	The majority of freehold land alo north of Louis Street is currently under TPS4. LPS6 will extend the south of Louis Street along the w Hamersley Street. The Old Broom Strategy will not in itself rezone a provide additional guidance for h developed. The land described al freehold title and the Shire cannot development from taking place.

e to participate in a reparation of the Old An initial meeting was and Nyamba Buru ration of the Strategy, the public workshop option of the draft es, the Shire held a pril 2014.	Note submission.
cessible and equitable needs of residents and at is respectful of the al environment.	
onsistent with the	
sions 15(c) and 17(c)	Reject
culated in the draft I heritage' section and o development within	
ong the foreshore / zoned 'Mixed Use' e 'Mixed Use' zoning western side of me Development any land, but will how land can be above is owned in not prevent However, as	Note submission.

	Ι		1
		diminish an important long term economic asset for Broome.	discussed above through the impl draft Old Broome Design Guidelin seek to control the built form of r ensure, as much as is possible, that the foreshore's cultural heritage a environment. With respect to public land along Concept Plan identifies the poten buildings to be constructed— the Beach Cafe and a 'Catalina Plane I Museum,' which is shown to the e The exact location, size, and desig is yet to be determined. If these buildings are constructed ownership of the Shire and may b operator as is the case currently w Cafe. This will allow for a high leve and usage and will prevent privat foreshore. It is noted that The Concept Plan as a non-statutory tool to identify ideas and orientate them spatially the level of detail of a Master Plan used as such. The elements on the indicative and will be subject to fu
			(including an assessment of feasild design.
e		There is no economic justification or policy document which supports dispersing Broome's commercial footprint further into the Old Broome area. Broadening the mixed use precinct is likely to have a detrimental impact on the commercial viability of Chinatown as Broome's commercial heart and also create an economic environment which erodes the Old Broome character by encouraging redevelopment in a highly urbanised manner.	As discussed above the LPS shows Broome as 'Mixed Use.' Section 4 of Part 2 of the Old Broo Strategy is an Analysis of Commen was undertaken using population prepared by AEC Group in 2012 to preparation of the LPS. Section 4 under the medium growth scenar likely to be a approximate shortfa 18,000m ² and 22,000m ² of retail f between 3,000 and 5,000m ² of co floor space by 2031. Objective 1 of Section 4.1.2 (Land 1. For Old Broome to evolve over use area that complements but do the town centre functions of Chine The Strategy is clear that the bulk

plementation of the ines the Shire will new development to hat it is respectful of and natural	
g the foreshore, the ential for up to two e relocated Town e Hangar and e east of the museum. sign of these buildings	
d, they will be in the be leased to a private with the Town Beach vel of public access atisation of the	
n is intended to serve fy potential project lly. It does not provide an and should not be he Concept Plan are future investigations sibility) and detailed	
ws the entirety of Old	Reject.
oome Development ercial Demand, which in projections to assist with the 4 considers that arios 2 and 3, there is fall of between I floor space and commercial office	
d Use) states:	
er time into a mixed does not detract from natown.	
lk of new retail	

	1		
f		Yawuru argue that the Shire of Broome will fail to capitalise on long-term economic and social development opportunities if it pursues planning strategies for Broome's development that does not include Yawuru and Old Broome families as strategic partners. The draft Strategy fails to encompass a range of living heritage values which makes Broome a fascinating and unique Australian town. Broome's remarkable multicultural heritage is an important aspect of tourism, Broome's biggest industry, but this is not incorporated in a meaningful tangible form in the draft Strategy. The draft Strategy is further evidence of the Shire's segmented and uncoordinated approach to planning in Broome. It does not link or incorporate other strategies and initiatives such as the Chinatown Development Strategy, the Jetty to Jetty Project. The Broome Heritage Interpretation Project and the Yawuru Conservation Estate into a cohesive planning vision or narrative for Broome's future.	development should take place in there is scope for some of the offi service-orientated commercial us Broome as is currently the case. The introduction of the OBSCA wi development in much of Old Broc not 'highly urbanised' built form. The Old Broome Development Str with what is recommended in the Development Strategy. Elements such as the tram line, the jetty to relocation of the municipal library what was proposed in the Chinato Strategy Concept Plan. Section 4 Commercial Demand) draws heav methodology and conclusions from Development Strategy. In July 2014 Council endorsed the the Jetty to Jetty Project and at the received and formally acknowledge comprehensive work undertaken Yawuru (NBY) for the identificatio of interpretive signage material at between Town Beach and Chinato invited NBY to enter into an Account incorporate the interpretive signant Stage 1 of the Jetty to Jetty Roebu Walk.
g		Although the Strategy's vision statement refers to "development that is respectful of the rich cultural heritage and natural environment", the Strategy's treatment of Yawuru and Aboriginal and Asian cultural heritage is tokenistic. The proposal for a Yawuru Cultural centre to be part of the Jetty to Jetty walk does not begin to demonstrate the significance of Yawuru people's connection to the country where Broome is located. The Strategy confines Yawuru to museum relic status. Nowhere is mentioned the song lines that intersect Broome, places where Yawuru have used for thousands of years, sites that tell stories of early Yawuru and settler encounters, or the institutional colonial practices that controlled the lives of Yawuru, other Aboriginal groups and Asian people. The story of the Common Gate which is vitally important to Yawuru and old Broome families is not referred to in the Strategy. The failure of the Strategy to incorporate these aspects of Broome's living tradition means that history is silenced and the explanation about much of Broome's built heritage and the way the town has operated, as both a community and population centre, is not told.	The Old Broome Development Str fundamentally a land use planning purpose is not to describe in deta Broome's rich history. In August 2014 the Shire updated Heritage Inventory, this contains thematic history about the develop A business case for the Jetty-to-Jet presented to Council in July 2014 work undertaken by Nyamba Burn recognised in Council's resolution Council's resolution, Nyamba Burn invited to enter into an Accord with Broome to incorporate the signage developed into stage 1 of the Jett proactive work Nyamba Buru Yaw recognised by the Shire of Broom

in Chinatown, but ffice, tourist and uses to locate in Old will limit the density of pome to R10 which is	
n. Strategy is consistent the Chinatown as on the Concept Plan, to jetty walk, and the ary follow on from atown Development 4 of Part 2 (Analysis of avily on the from the Chinatown	Reject.
ne business case for the same time dged the n by Nyamba Buru ion and development and locations atown. Council further ford with the Shire to nage material into buck Bay Coastal	
Strategy is ing strategy and its tail all elements of	Support. No modifications required.
ed its Municipal s a more detailed elopment of Broome.	
Jetty walkway was 14. Comprehensive aru Yawuru was on. Also, as part of aru Yawuru was with the Shire of age material it has tty-to-Jetty walk. The awuru undertakes is me and similar	

				Accords are encouraged in future development ventures initiated by the Shire. An email was sent (dated 14 August 2014) to Nyamba Buru Yawuru inviting it to provide input and/or prepare additional text to be included in Section 3 – Heritage in Part 2 of the OBDS. No response was received prior to the preparation of the final report for Council	
h			 Conclusion Yawuru request that the Shire of Broome do not proceed with the Old Broome Development Strategy and that a formal dialogue between Yawuru and the Shire is commenced so that Yawuru and Old Broome family values can be incorporated into Broome's shire plans and development strategies. Yawuru believe that the time has come to develop a holistic and comprehensive vision for our town that weaves Yawuru culture and values, history or pearling and multiculturalism, Broome's built heritage and our extraordinary natural environment into an interconnected narrative. Such a narrative will enhance Broome's social cohesion and build our collective capacity to attract public and private investment to support a comprehensive Broome vision. This objective can only be achieved through a collaboration of Broome's primary local institutional pillars; the Shire of Broome and the Yawuru Native Title Holders Corporation. 	The Old Broome Development Strategy will be adopted by the Shire as a Local Planning Policy to guide land use and development in the area identified as 'Precinct 2 – Old Broome' under the LPS. As discussed in submission 35(a) above, the public advertising period for the Strategy well exceeded what is required for a local planning policy. As discussed in submission 51(b) above, Yawuru participated in a number of forums during the design and advertising of the Strategy. Additional consultation is not considered necessary.	Note submission.
50	M. Chi PO Box 141 BROOME, WA, 6725	22-24 Frederick Street	Yawuru would welcome such collaboration.		
а			I do not support the creation of such a large boat trailer bitumen carpark in the proposed location. I may support a smaller car park. I do not support the loss of too much of the lawn area at town beach. I am not certain that I support the relocation of the town beach café and the relocation of the new building/restaurant to the proposed site as it will restrict the public from access to the view unless we are in the restaurant.	As per submissions 10 and 28 above.	Support in part. As per submissions 10 and 28 above.
b			I do not agree with fish cleaning facilities at Demco Beach area. Traditionally Aboriginal people clean their fish at the water's edge and throw the guts etc for the sea gulls to eat and into the sea for the tide to take away and for the little fish or tiny crabs etc. to eat. Anything caught or killed is supposed to be left for the sea to take rather than left in rubbish bins or dumped elsewhere. There are rocks at that beach that people can clean their fish against. Not sure what fish cleaning facilities are being suggested but if you are thinking of having them at the car park, you have to provide water as well and bins. This will result in smells and increased ant activity and scavenging dogs. Unpleasant.	Element 32 in Section 5.2 discusses Demco Beach amenities. Fish cleaning facilities are not mentioned as part of this Element. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. The provision of fish cleaning facilities requires responsible community use and ongoing regular maintenance by Shire crews. Whether such facilities are feasible will be tested when the master Plan is prepared. It is noted that fish cleaning is mentioned in Section 2.1.7 – Demco Beach Facilities in Part 2. Part 2 is intended to provide background information and analysis and will not have either a statutory or a visionary role.	Note submission.

C			Please do not interfere with the red pindan cliffs at the north of Town Beach or start growing more lawn or watering that area, you will cause the red cliffs to erode and fall into the sea even quicker. Please do not build structures around this fragile piece of dirt. I do not agree with the suggestion of an amphitheatre as it will mean more traffic, more lawn and more erosion. The area is arid, yet you keep wanting to make it tropical and pump more water in the area. Broome people need to accept the environment they live in rather than change it. Please don't ruin our town by wanting to change its environment for people who only visit.	Element 28 of the Concept Plan focuses on 'Coastline Stability and Revetment' and makes specific reference to the eroded pindan cliffs at Town Beach. Element 28 recommends erosion protection and control measures to be implemented at vulnerable locations along the length of the foreshore, including a revetment to help prevent further erosion of the pindan cliffs. The Shire has already prepared a plan (Town Beach Revetment Strategy / Plan) and undertaken detailed design to construct the revetment, and is currently sourcing funding to carry out the works. The amphitheatre is secondary to the primary purpose of limiting erosion. Whilst Element 2 in the Concept Plan talks about establishing a linear foreshore park, the landscaping of the park has not been determined. The Concept Plan does not provide the level of detail of a Master Plan and should not be used as such, as the elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. Future investigations will need to consider the appropriateness of irrigated lawn areas.	Note submission.
d			I do not agree with a stone walled bathing pool which will retain water from high tides. That is the beauty of Broome tidal movement, it flushes things out. We are not in Sydney where this concept comes from and where there is limited movement. What happens when you get iriganji, jellyfish, sea snakes and crocodiles and other fish in that stone pool. How are you going to get them out and what is the insurance risk to the shire and danger to the public. We used to have a steel wire enclosure to swim in (ask the older Broome local people), we used to have wire and wood fish traps at Town Beach and when it was jelly fish season the jelly fish would get stuck in those wire structure. If people are so desperate to have somewhere to swim 24 hours a day they should go to Cable Beach or find a swimming pool. This pool will be an eyesore and interfere with the line of the coast.	As per submission 15(i) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15 (i) above.
51	Uniting Church Northern Synod PO Box 38221 WINNELLIE, NT, 0821	11 & 13 Anne Street 40 Robinson Street	 While in agreement with the general intent of the Old Broome Development Strategy, there is a need for fine tuning of the area use zoning boundaries. In the current strategy proposal there is one type of zoning on one side of a street or and different zoning on the other side of street where the area boundaries meet. Conventionally, zoning boundaries would follow the property boundaries rather than the street line. This convention has been used where Area A meets Areas B and C in the development strategy. Under the proposed development strategy the Broome Uniting Church and its associated buildings at 11 & 13 Anne St and 40 Robinson St will be zoned Mixed Use Tourist/Residential while the other side of Anne At will be zoned Commercial/Civic. In the view of the Uniting Church the Commercial/Civic zoning is a more appropriate rating for the southern side of Anne St adjacent to the hospital, as it will accurately reflect the current use of the Church and associated buildings. It will also address potential future usage as the Church responds to the mission and ministry needs within the Broome community. 	The contents of this submission from the Northern Synod duplicates the submission from the Broome Uniting Church – Refer 39 above.	Support. As pr submission 39 above

52 53	Uniting Church Northern Synod W. Freeman PO Box 1868 BROOME, WA,	The adoption of the Commercial/Civic zoning for the Uniting Church area of Anne St will also ensure that the Uniting Church and the other Churches within the Old Broome area will be within the same zoning area and guidelines which will allow for equitable planning. COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE	As per submission 39 above.	Support. As per submission 39 above.
a	6725	I would like to acknowledge many good aspects of this plan around the need to preserve the traditional aspects of Old Broome in its built design, inclusion of the natural environment and lifestyle. There are many specifics of this plan that I also agree with but due to constraints I will focus on point of concern. Mixed Use: The strategy talks of Old Broome purely as mixed use development and neglects to refer to it in terms of the residential area in which it is.	 The LPS describes the objective for Precinct 2 (Old Broome) as: Establish Precinct 2 as a 'Mixed Use' area consisting of residential, tourist, and office uses in an open form of development that recognises the historic character of the area. Residential uses are a key part of the mix of uses for the area. However, it is noted that 'residential' uses are not specifically mentioned Section 1.1 – Background. This is an oversight and it is considered that this section should be amended to more accurately reflect the intent. 	Support. Recommend amending the second sentence of paragraph 4 in Section 1.1 – Background to state: It is intended that there be diversity in the land uses provided within the precinct to include residential, offices, community services, tourist development and limited retail; and that the cultural heritage, recreational and tourism values of the area be maintained.
b		 Hopton and Hamersley St Extension: Extend Hamersley Street towards Town Beach as far as an extension of Hopton Street between the museum and the proposed tram line. This will erode the limited public open space available for public recreational use. Though the Strategy talks of the road being available for closure for special events the majority of the time it will add to the hard surface area in the precinct adding heat and taking away 	As per submission 11(c) above.	Support in part. As per submission 11(c) above.
C		capacity for rain stormwater retention.Changing Road layoutsPlans to extend Weld Street straight through to intersect with Louis Street and Hamersley Street at a new Roundabout and a new formal road link between Hamersley Street and Robinsons Street between the Seaview Shopping Centre and the Broome Museum will both result in the loss of public parking spaces.The Strategy mentions any car parking removed in order to achieve a proposal in the concept plan is to be replaced elsewhere within the precinct. Unfortunately this will be at the expense of more lost open public space and again create more heat sink hard surfaces and lost capacity for rain and stormwater retention.	As per submissions 15(v) and 41(d) above. It is proposed that the action mandating replacement of car parking be deleted.	Support in part. As per submissions 15(v) and 41(d) above.
d		Verge Parking: Old Broome's wide verges are integral to its character and mustn't be chopped up for parking spaces. In the Strategy Figure 3 Parking Configurations shows clear parking plan but Figure 6 Concept Plan – Town Beach Foreshore Section shows a conflicting plan and large sections of the Old Broome region are left undefined in relation to road side verge parking.	As per submission 41(d) above.	Support. As per submission 41(d) above.

	Т			
		As above removing road verges not only takes away from the character of Old Broome but		
		adds dramatically to the hard surfaces that create heat and reduce soil retention of rain and		
		stormwater.		
		The Strategy also acknowledges;		
		As Old Broome evolves into a more intensive residential and mixed use area, the		
		requirements for car parking will increase. It will be important that car parking for		
		development is sensitively sited so that does not visually dominate verges and street		
		frontages. Apart from being very expensive to build and maintain; extensive car parking, not		
		in use for extended periods of time, will increase the number of hard surfaces in and around		
		Old Broome. Hard surfaces are not ideal in Broome's climate and have the potential to		
		become visually and environmentally detrimental to the area.		
		All this additional parking will mostly be for special events and mostly underutilised		
		All this additional parking will mostly be for special events and mostly underutilised.		
		Additional parking and road extensions will not be successful in assisting traffic flow at		
		special event occasions as they will just encourage more vehicular use and exacerbate the problem. Limited parking will encourage better use of cycleways, walkways and the future		
		tram and public transport. The exception to additional parking and road access will need to		
		be for disabled and emergency services access.		
e		Town Beach boat parking	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per
C		I fully support the removal of parking bays from the Town Beach foreshore to help create a		submission 10 above.
		more safe and family friendly reserve. The extension of the Robinson St car and trailer		
		parking needs to be reduced or redesigned to not encroach on any of the current limited		
		reserve space.		
f		Relocate the Municipal Library	As per submission 15(k) above.	Note submission.
1		Relocate the municipal library to Chinatown near the Visitor's Centre will result in loss of		
		public open space in the Haynes oval and surrounds. This is also a well-used public special		
		events area.		
		If the library needs to be relocated the site of the old shire chambers could be explored.		
a		Bourne and Ingliss store	The Bourne and Ingliss Store was listed in the	Support. No modifications
g		This heritage site has WA heritage protection but as yet not listed with the Shire. All avenues	Municipal Inventory 2004 and the recently reviewed	required.
		of protection need to be explored and implemented to secure the future preservation and	and updated 2014 version. The store is listed as Place	required.
		restoration of this site. Too many Broome heritage buildings has been lost through neglect	No. 18 with a grading of 'A' which is the highest level	
		of demolition.	of significance.	
h		Drainage	Submissions 2 and 11(d) support expanding the	Support. No modifications
		The strategy recognises the importance of drainage, especially in relation to the	extents of the Old Broome Special Character Area	required.
		environmental protection of Roebuck Bay and environmental impacts such as Lyngbya algal	where the R10 density coding will be maintained.	
		blooms.	where the first density county will be maintained.	
		Excessive and special use parking adds to this problem by creating more hard surfaces.	Submission 41(d) outlines controls to limit car parking	
		Building density increases in Old Broome will also add to the problems of drainage and as	in road verges and submission 48(o) outlines that	
		such as much as possible of Old Broome's R10 zoning needs to be preserved.	parking for events is to be informal in nature.	
i		Footpaths	Standards for footpath construction in WA are	Note submission.
'		Many current footpaths have been laid next to busy roads and still create a hazard to users	outlined in the Institute of Public Works Engineering	
		especially children. Footpaths needs to be separated from the road. Areas of verge parking	Australia (IPWEA) Local Government Guidelines for	
		have also created hazards with footpath safety.	Subdivisional Development (Edition 2.1 -2011). The	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IPWEA Guidelines state:	
			Footpaths should be separated from the street	
			Pootpaths should be separated from the street pavement, and usually located against or close to the	

54 S. Salmon	11 Robinson Street		alternative sites, and where vehicle volumes or road design speeds are low. If footpaths abut kerbs, verges may need to be widened to accommodate trees in locations clear of services. In new subdivisions, footpaths will be constructed away from the seal road edge, however the Shire is unlikely to retrofit existing paths.	
a		Why is the Town Beach Concept Plan linked to the Old Broome Town Planning? These should be two different documents, with different workshops and submission periods. Town Planning and development in Old Broome should be treated with respect and sensitivity, not tacked on as an after thought to what was originally a plan to revitalise Town Beach. The submission period of 42 days was not adequate, nor the level of awareness raised in the community.	The officer's response to submission 35(a) discusses the consultation period which well exceeded the statutory requirements for advertising a local planning policy under TPS4. It is acknowledged that the function of the Concept Plan is different than the function of the land use planning sections of the Old Broome Development Strategy. This is discussed in the officer's response to submission 13(e) above which recommends that the 'Implementation' section of the Strategy be amended to clearly describe the role both components will play in future decision making.	Support in part. As per submission 13(e) above.
b		The original workshop was advertised as "A workshop to discuss the future of Broome's Town Beach will be held on Tuesday 28 May and interested community member are invited to nominate themselves to attend. Shire of Broome Director Development Services, Andre Schonfeldt, says the workshop is part of a project that will ultimately produce a development strategy that will become a local planning policy to guide land use and development in the Town Beach precinct." It is concerning that this advertising is somewhat misleading, especially when considering that the document that transpired from this singular workshop is proposing drastic changes to the land uses in much of Old Broome. Where is Town Beach Precinct? Did Town Beach Precinct exist in any formalised Broome Shire documents before the beginning of this consultation period? According to the attendance register of the workshop, there were less than ten members of the public present. Is this considered an acceptable level of community input to the development of the plan?	 The draft Local Planning Strategy which was originally adopted as a draft by Council in December 2012 and was publicly advertised in May 2013 identified the area of Old Broome as part of 'Precinct 1: Chinatown – Town Beach.' (Section 3.3.1.1) This area was described as: Precinct 1 contains the early settlement of Broome along the shores of Roebuck Bay from the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park site in the south to Chinatown in the north. It also includes Broome Airport (eastern half). The western boundary south of Frederick Street is generally Herbert Street. North of Frederick Street, the precinct includes newer commercial and retail areas on the southern margin of the airport. The precinct also includes the Demco residential subdivision. The Guidelines for Precinct 1 included the following point: Prepare Development Strategies which consider the integration of retail, mixed use development and tourism and recreational values of the precinct. As per the above, when the public workshop was held on 28 May 2013 the Old Broome area was described in the draft LPS as 'Town Beach.' The Old Broome Development Strategy was therefore originally called 	Note submission.

				the 'Town Beach Development St
				the Chinatown Development Stra
				already been prepared and adopt
				During the submission period on t
				expressed concern over the termi
				LPS and stated that the area in qu
				as 'Old Broome.' As a result office
				Council that the Precinct boundar
				changed so that the area south of
				and east of Herbert Street becam
				referred to as 'Old Broome.' This
				Council in November 2013. To be
				working title of the 'Town Beach I
				Strategy' was amended to the 'Ol
				Development Strategy.'
				With respect to the public worksh
				this was scheduled for after work
				the widest possible participation.
				choose to attend community enga
				not something that the Shire can
55	E. Adams	42 Walcott Street		
	17 Keane St PEPPERMINT			
	GROVE, WA, 6011			
а			No reopening the Walcott/Frederick intersection	As per submission 3 above.
b			No more hospital extensions in Old Broome	As per submission 15(o) above.
С			Retain R10 zoning always in residential areas	As per submissions 2 and 11(d) at
d			In the inevitability of Roebuck Bay caravan park increasing in size & traffic, Walcott Street	As per submission 3 above.
			will become a very busy & noisy thoroughfare if Walcott intersection is re-opened.	
е			In 1981 my husband introduced Lord McAlpine to Broome. We would go on to place Broome	Building heights are determined b
			on the world map with the ultimate competition of the Cable Beach Club. He also understood that Broome's unique character was what drove the town's success as a	scheme. Under TPS4, developmer and 'Tourist' zones must not exce
			international destination. Indeed he spent many years involved in the restoration of many	10 metres and a building height o
			old buildings and frequently believed that no building should be taller than a palm tree	(generally corresponding to three
			(where possible) and all new buildings should reflect Broome's heritage.	limits have been carried across in
				residential development.
			This outlook remains just as relevant today and I as a long time resident with a long	
			involvement in Broome do not wish to see the Broome that I love extinguished by profit	Residential development is restric
			driven development.	TPS4 and LPS6 to a wall height of
				building height of 10.5 metres (ge
				corresponding to two stories).
				The 'Broome style Architecture' p
				outlined in the officer's response
1				above and the draft Old Broome I

Strategy,' similar to rategy which had oted by Council.	
a the LPS, submitters ninology used in the question was known cers recommended to aries and names be of Frederick Street me its own precinct, s was supported by e consistent, the n Development Old Broome	
shop in May 2013, – k hours to encourage h. How many residents gagement activities is n control or direct.	
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
	Support in part. As per submission 15(o) above.
above.	Support in part. As per submissions 2 and 11(d) above.
	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
by the local planning ent in the 'Mixed Use' ceed a wall height of of 14 metres ee storeys). These n LPS6 for all non-	Note submission.
ricted under both If 6.5 metres and a generally	
provisions in LPS6 as e to submission 15(II) e Design Guidelines	

				will help control the form of new
56	Salten Pty Ltd "The Company" PO Box 753 SOUTH PERTH, WA, 6951	1 Robinson Street		ensure it is compatible with Broc
а			 The Company as Trustee for the CP Smartt Superannuation Fund is the owner of Lot 1 on Survey Strata Plan 42301 (1 Robinson Street Broome) "the Company's land" The company generally supports the concept of a development strategy for the old Broome precinct – the proposal as put however to rezone the Company's land (and that adjoining it) from R20 to Mixed Use Residential/Tourist will, the Company believes, have a material adverse effect on the location generally and is not consistent with the proposed residential development the Company had planned and would like to see happen. 	During the submission period for owners of the subject land reque zoned 'Mixed Use' with a density supported this submission. The o OBDS are intended to provide fu respect to preferred and non-pre 'Area D – Tourist / Residential,' n development such as single, grou dwellings will be preferred uses.
b			3. The Company would like to see the Company's land rezoned from R20 to R50 to enable its development (making use of its dual Robinson Street and Hamersley Street frontages) into three residential units – thereby taking full advantage of the vary few oceanfront lots still available in Broome as a whole.	As per above, subject to the Min the subject land will be zoned 'N density of 'R40.' This will allow for development. It is noted, howev Hamersley Street frontage of the shown as a 'Priority Active Front development will not be permitt active frontages unless located a new or existing commercial deve
С			 The Company considers this type of development is much needed in the area and by retaining its residential zoning (and that of the adjoining land) the views and amenity of the location would be preserved. 	The draft Old Broome Design Gu development controls to ensure Bay are preserved. These control residential and non-residential fo
d			5. The Company considers that to commercialise this section of Hamersley Street with some tourist type of development or anything other than Residential development would be bad planning and a lost opportunity for Broome and the precinct.	The subject land faces the Conti proposed to be enhanced as a lir as described in Element 2 on the Commercial activation will comp improvements to the public real level of passive surveillance. Ha main thoroughfare with consider vehicular traffic, and the Concep tram line to run along the easter The section of Hamersley Street already has a mixed use character that this will extend south as the develops. Locating 'Mixed Use' d area is consistent with the urban articulated in the WAPC's Liveab
e			 6. The Company also considers that the Company's land – being a 611m2 – long (47m) and narrow (13.2m) lot does not individually lend itself to a Tourism type of development – because of it size/shape and the constraints that would apply. 	As stated above tourism develop compulsory. Some form of comm will be required along the Hamer which is suitable for the site. Tou could also take the form of a Bec Holiday Home which resembles a

w development and	
ome's character.	
or the LPS and LPS6 ested that the land be ey of 'R40'. Council classifications in the urther guidance with referred uses. Within residential ouped and multiple	Reject.
nister approving LPS6 Mixed Use' with a for grouped dwelling ver, that the e subject land is tage'. New residential ted along priority above or behind a elopment on site.	Support in part. No modifications required.
uidelines contain	Note submission.
the views of Roebuck ols will apply to both forms of development.	
Foreshore which is near foreshore park e Concept Plan. olement these Im and increase the amersley Street is a erable pedestrian and ot Plan proposes a rn side of the street. c north of Bedford Park er and it is envisaged e area further development in this n planning principles ble Neighbourhoods.	Reject.
pment will not be mercial development ersley Street frontage urism development d and Breakfast or a dwelling.	Reject.

		The OBDS requires a nil setback along lots depicted as	
		such on the Strategy Plan which will help maximise	
57 A. McInerney		the developable area of the subject land.	
	With regards to 5.2.17, I am opposed to the proposed car park.	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per
a	with regards to 5.2.17, Fail opposed to the proposed car park.	As per submission to above.	submission 10 above.
0	With regards to Old Broome being zoned Mixed Use, I am opposed.	As per submission 17(c) above.	Reject.
	With regards to the development of Lot 451 and 601 on the foreshore of Roebuck Bay, I am	As per submission 17(e) above.	Note submission.
	opposed.		
t t	With rising sea levels a fact, more emphasis should be placed on protecting the mangroves and I am opposed to any clearing.	As per submission 48(f) above.	Support. As per submission 48(f) above.
	Mare feaus chould be placed on creating community grass where people can relay without	As not submission $1\Gamma(f)$ above	Noto submission
	More focus should be placed on creating community areas where people can relax without fear of cars and drunks, a beautiful tree lined boulevard perhaps.	As per submission 15(f) above.	Note submission.
8 Water Corporation			
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment regarding the Draft Old Broome Development Strategy.	Noted.	Note submission.
	The Water Corporation does not object to this development in principle; however it is subject to the advice on the following pages.		
	All the advice provided by the Corporation is subject to annual and ongoing reviews and may change depending on;		
	Timing of development		
	Water Corporation Wastewater and Water Planning Reviews		
	 Annual project prioritization review of the Corporations Capital Investment Program (aven projects that are well advanced are subject to the financial situation at the 		
	(even projects that are well advanced are subject to the financial situation at the time and hence deferral if there is other state wide projects which have higher		
	priorities.)		
	If development has not proceeded within the next 6 months, the proponent is required to		
	contact the Corporation in writing to confirm if the information is still valid.		
	Redevelopment and Rezoning of Existing Areas – General Advice	Noted. As discussed above the Strategy does not	Note submission.
	Water and Wastewater Scheme Infrastructure Planning & Capacity	rezone any land and it is envisaged that redevelopment in the Precinct will be incremental.	
	The proposed changes to landuse, in particular in areas D and B, appear to be significant		
	enough to review the existing water and wastewater scheme planning to confirm what may		
	be required to serve the area including possible upgrades. The Corporation planners have		
	been asked to consider and provide advice if there is any significant upgrades are likely to be		
	required. When this is understood the Corporation shall provide further advice.		
	Water Reticulation	Noted.	Note submission.
	The area is currently serviced, however there will be upgrades to existing reticulation		
	required where the existing pipe size is 100mm diameter, upgrade to 150mm. The majority		
	of the road reserves are 40 m wide. As the area redevelops reticulation mains are likely to		
	be required on both sides of the road reserve to serve properties.		
	Wastewater Reticulation	Noted. The position of existing utility infrastructure	Note submission.
	The area includes wastewater reticulation in lots. Lots which are proposed to be	will be considered when subdivision and development	
	redeveloped with existing wastewater reticulation along the boundaries will be required to	of individual lots is proposed.	
	consider the mains zone of influence and the Corporations requirements. These can be		

	found on the Corporations website at the following link;	
e	Broome No. 2 Wastewater Pump Station (WWPS) Robinson Street Confirmation that this pump station is classed as a type 180 and requires a 50m* buffer from the centre of the wetwell has been requested. Only compatible landuse shall be supported within the buffer. A compatible landuse table was provided in the recent scheme planning and strategy public submission. The Corporation shall provide confirmation on the buffer when it is available. *the Water Corporation subsequently advised by email that the correct buffer was 30m	Noted. Using aerial photography the 30m buffer will encroach slig 22705 which on the Concept Plan the potential for infill developme It is noted that The Concept Plan as a non-statutory tool to identify ideas and orientate them spatiall the level of detail of a Master Pla used as such. The elements on the indicative and will be subject to f (including an assessment of feasi design. The detailed design for fudevelopment will need to conside Water Corporation infrastructure buffers.
f	Proposed Re-establishment of the Rail Line 7m wide corridor from Hopton St along Robinson and Hamersley to Frederick St	As per submission 11(b) above.
	 History The steam engines on the tram line required water. The bore tanks and pipe work may have been originally installed for the steam engines, which are record on the state heritage register. In those days the Public Works Dept. would have been involved with delivering, operating and maintaining the train and the water infrastructure. The old tram line closed in 1966 and the Public Works Department was divided into separate departments during the 1980's. Preliminary Summary of existing water & wastewater mains that needs to be considered, including the requirements for; Proposed rail crossing existing mains Proposed rail crossing existing mains The Corporation has prepared a preliminary summary of existing services in order for the shire to gauge the items that shall need to be addressed in a 'detailed utility service investigation report'. The Corporation supports with the understanding that a 'detailed utility service investigation report' is prepared during the early stages of the project to identify requirement for all utilities. A report should include but not be limited to; cross sections, zone of influence of mains, separation distances between above and below ground infrastructure and service utility. Please find the attached marked plan giving an indication of where a proposed rail may cross existing mains and the route running parallel may be in close proximity to existing mains, and potentially require relocation in order to accommodate the rail. Below are some general comments in regard to crossing, separation distances and process to relocate or provide protection to existing mains. 	
	Treatment for rail crossing existing and planned future mains under pressure. The treatment of rail crossing Corporation assets shall meet Corporation requirements and meet AS 4799-200 and Owner/Operator requirements. AS4799-2000 is an important	

y it is estimated that ghtly into Reserve an is shown as having ent.	Note submission.
n is intended to serve fy potential project lly. It does not provide an and should not be the Concept Plan are future investigations sibility) and detailed future infill der the location of re and any required	
	Note submission. As per submission 11(b) above.
	submission 11(b) above.

	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		standard to enable the management of risk to the rail, its users and the operation of o	
		assets. It applies to any operating rail and one of its main objectives is to remove risk t	o the
		rail, rail cars, and particularly to all those people operating the train and being transpo	rted.
		The specifications within the standard make it such that any burst on a pressure main	water
		or sewer) below the rails will not risk the operation of the train.	
		The general treatment is for pipes to be installed in sleeves. Design plan examples of s	eeves
		can be provided on request. Consulting engineer is required to provide his own design	
		liaison with the Corporation's asset manager, and that each individual design may nee	
		vary depending on the location, surroundings, risks, asset type, and other important fa	
		Treatment for rail running parallel and in close proximity to existing or future planne	d
		mains, and consideration of separation distances	
		The treatment may be required to meet AS4799-200. The information on the Corporat	ions
		website may assist when reviewing separation distances. There may sections of main v	
		the only option may be to relocate. This should be considered along with the requirem	
		for road reserves that are 40m wide to have water mains on both sides of the road, wh	
		may be delivered as the area develops and in combination with a proposed rail.	
		Link to information regarding building near services.	
		Future Planned Mains	
		Sleeves under the rail shall be considered for future planned mains, if there is a good	
			+
		opportunity to install as part of the rail project / during the rail project in order to assis	
		future construction / installation.	
		Process to deliver protection and relocation of existing water/wastewater pipe work	
		All crossings will be required to be designed to our standards and submitted in accorda	
		with the requirement of the Developer's Manual for reticulation class assets. For head	
		class mains that require relocation protection, contact should be made initially with th	
		regions Asset Manager.	
		Submissions will be by individual assets but can be lumped into one or a few submission	
		agreed with the Assets Manager & Development Services Branch. Submissions are to k	
		made by a suitable consulting engineer who may initially liaise with the Corporation's a	isset
		manager for advice prior to developing final proposals.	
		All submissions shall include existing service detail and have taken into account the gu	dance
		in the 'Utility Providers Code of Conduct'.	
59	J. O'Keeffe	My brother, Julian Mills has written to you in length to oppose a large number of the	As per submission 17(c) above.
	92 Victoria St	recommendations of the Draft Old Broome Strategy. I would like to whole heartedly su	pport
	MOSMAN PARK,	all of his recommendations.	
	WA, 6012		
		Old Broome is unique in the setting that is represented in diagram outlined in green be	low.
		To change part of this to mixed use commercial and civic seems like madness to me. A	
		ambience would be lost and I feel that property owners especially living north of Anne	
		along Walcott would be affected to the point where they might consider selling proper	
		which could cause an aesthetic disaster that would be the beginning to the end of what	
		now cherished as Old Broome.	
		Dispersion consider this proposal with streagt are as it would be a tarrible shows to and	ha
		Please consider this proposal with utmost care as it would be a terrible shame to see t	ne
1		ruining of a historical location that is so special to Broome. (Refer to Submission #28)	

Reject.

60	C. Dhurt		
60	S. Blunt	My brother, Julian Mills has written to you in length to oppose a large number of the	As per submission 17(c) above.
	81 Monument St	recommendations of the Draft Old Broome Strategy. I would like to whole heartedly support	
	WA, 6012	all of his recommendations. My family have been yearly visitors to Broome for last 18 years.	
		This is a great family time that we cherish. This is largely due to Broome's uniqueness. We	
		thoroughly enjoy staying in "Old Broome" at our family house. "Old Broome" is like China	
		Town and Cable Beach, it is what makes Broome a place that people call special and	
		mystifying. It is what creates "Broome Time" – a laid back atmosphere that you want to	
		return to year after year. To bulldoze China Town would be seen as madness, to allow shops	
		on Cable Beach would all be seen as ludicrous! So it astounds me why in a down turn in the	
		economy for Broome (no gas hub, no major influx of workers) would the council even	
		consider ruining the delightful streets of "Old Broome" by rezoning this area.	
		Please consider this proposal with the utmost case as it would be a terrible burden to bare,	
		the ruining of a historic location that is cherished and revered the world over. (Refer to	
		Submission #28)	
61	Hutchinson Real		
	Estate		
а		I write to object to the above draft scheme as requested and submit my comments as	Noted
		follows. I think parts of the proposal are a disgrace and a very poor piece of planning and I	
		wonder who is responsible for this atrocious piece of work which has caused much stress	
		around the town.	
l			
b		Walcott St Opening	As per submission 3 above.
		I object and oppose the opening of Walcott St as this will have a negative effect on the	
		character of the Old Broome area as a whole. The residential amenity of the area will be	
		adversely affected due to the increased traffic flow resulting in an outflow of people living in	
		the area. At present Walcott St epitomises the true character of Old Broome as referred to	
		in the DOBCS as characterised with wide street verges and bungalows of low height and a	
		sense of tranquillity with an impression of wildness and secrecy.	
		It's amazing then that this document suggests investigation of the opening of Walcott St	
		with the massive increase of traffic that would follow and destroy this historic character	
		area for what gain? I have spoken to someone who said that the Shire engineer advised that	
		it was the Shires intention to open Walcott St even through the review hasn't been	
		completed which is concerning.	
с		Mixed Use Zoning proposal	As per submissions 15(c) and 17(c)
		I believe the mixed use zone area proposal has gone too far.	
		Old Broome is predominantly a residential area and should stay as such otherwise the whole	
		character of the town could be diluted. It could also have a negative effect on Chinatown	
		which is already struggling to attract customers. I believe the front row of properties along	
		Hamersley and Robinson St and adjoining properties should be the only properties rezoned	
		to mixed use. Instead the balance could have an increased density of R20 to allow more	
		homes. I am a local real estate agent with 27 years' experience in business in Broome although you don't have to be qualified to see the vacant shops and struggling businesses in	
		Chinatown and the Boulevard shopping centre.	
d		Town Beach Precinct	As per submission 10 above.
		I am alarmed and concerned about the plan for town beach suggested in the DOBCS.	
		This area has evolved into its current form over many years and it works well and is practical	
		and respectful.	
		We do not want the existing parkland turned into a car park with the existing area pulled up	
		at great expense.	

oove.	Reject.
	nejeen
	Noted.
	Noted.
	<u> </u>
·.	Support in part. As per
	submission 3 above.
	Delest
nd 17(c) above.	Reject.
e.	Support in part. As per
	submission 10 above.

e		 We do not want a large car park in front of the properties fronting Robinson St where my family has lived since 1978 (84 and 86 Robinson St and 3 Hopton St). This new huge bitumen car park will be a massive heat sink. This will impact us more than most. The car park, as it is, has shape, is organic and reacts to the topography of the site and is easy to park in with boats or cars. It is currently well treed and is spread out for minimal impact. It works well. These proposed changes will be less functional than the existing setup as people will have to walk a long way after launching their boats particularly when the tide is out. I am also the owner of Workline Dive and Tackle and have good experience in this field. Only last year I watched a brick retaining wall and garden bed being built across the road from 86 Robinson St. Is this to now be demolished to make way for a car park? The moving of the café is a waste of money and would be disrespectful to the relatives of 	As per submission 28 above.	Support in part. As per
		those that are buried in the pioneer cemetery as it would dominate the view from the cemetery.		submission 28 above.
f		The skate park could be positioned elsewhere rather than removing lawns and gardens at more expense causing the loss of a great picnic area. Why not put it in an undeveloped area or closer to residential areas or leave it where it is on Cable Beach Rd. This may also affect the amenity of the area with large groups of teenagers potentially menacing young children and the elderly as they enjoy a quiet area.	As per submission 1(a) above.	Support. As per submission 1(a) above.
g		This area is also used by many local aboriginal families and other long term residents who I believe would see this as an extremely negative retrograde move. If you look at town beach you will see many locals driving down to the foreshore to eat their lunch or check the tide and beach conditions which have become a tradition in Broome. The elderly and the infirm could be denied reasonable access in your plan.	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
h		I object to the removal of any mangroves for obvious reasons, the mangroves are the nursery for many fish, birds and aquatic species. Do we really need an enclosed swimming area which will still be subject to irukandji and box jelly fish. Broome is not Bondi or Cairns.	As per submissions 15(i) and 48(f) above.	Support in part. As per submissions 15(i) and 48(f) above.
i		Conclusion Overall this plan is a retrograde step at expense and should not proceed in anyway near its current form. The funds should be spent to enhance what is already there or put towards the new tram track or walk way or anything else. Otherwise you may have a riot on your hands. I appreciate the opportunity to submit and look forward to a positive outcome for all.	As per submission 13(e) above.	Support in part. As per submission 13(e) above.
62	V. Burgess & M. 15 Walcott Street Owen			
a		 We strongly object to the Old Broome are being turned into what would effectively be a theme park for tourists alongside commercial and civic offices. In particular we object to: The change to mixed use commercial/civic for area B The opening up of Walcott St intersection with Frederick St to traffic The increase in kerbage and introduction of pavements to Walcott St The opening up of Anne St intersection with Hamersley St to traffic The development of the hospital to the north Increase in car parking in area B especially around the Broome Primary School The increase in size of the caravan park. 	As outlined below.	Note submission.
b		The change to mixed use commercial/civic for area BIn your background statement you talk about 'enhancing the sense of place' you state that'there be diversity in the land uses provided within the precinct to include offices, retail,	As per submission 53(a) above. Officers have recommended that 'residential' be added to the statement in question.	Support. As per submission 53(a) above.

	E		F
		community services and hotels, and that the cultural heritage, recreational and tourism values of the area be maintained.' The fact that this is also a main residential area and has been for long time is not mentioned in this background statement. What does this say about your future plans?	
		Elsewhere (p19) you talk about the principle to 'retain and preserve the heritage significance of Old Broome'.	As per submissions 15(c) and 16(c)
		In Point 1.2.2 Residential Character you state: Old Broome was created in a grid road pattern, with wide road reserves (up to 40 metres) including generous verges which over time have been dotted with nature vegetation. Some roads such as Stewart Street and portions of Walcott Street are somewhat haphazard: lacking kerbing, formal paved crossovers, and footpaths. Older buildings are set back a considerable distance from the street as well as from side and rear boundaries, giving an impression of spaciousness. Front fencing is seldom provided, and when it is provided it is typically of a low height and does not appear visually dominant, enhancing a sense of openness. Most lots now contain lush vegetation which further adds to character and gives passers by the impression of wildness and secrecy. The oldest homes are low height with large open verandahs which ensures that the landscape is dominated by vegetation and not buildings. Although only a short distance from the heart of Chinatown, when walking the streets of the Old Broome residential areas you feel far away from the urban environment.	With reference to the comments i coding to a density of R40 will only areas outside the OBSCA, and will landowners to request that Counc Scheme Amendment. Whilst LPS6 provision that new residential dev 'Mixed Use' zone will not be perm above or behind new commercial does TPS4), the Strategy will make only mandatory for lots located al Active Frontage.' (Refer Internal so
		While you appear to value these characteristics you plan is to turn the area into mixed used – '4.1.2 That Old Broome be a focus for business tourism and for tourist activity'. In particular, Area B, which currently has a high number of residential properties, would become mixed use, commercial civic. The strategy (see point 4.1.3) states: Supporting scheme amendments for lots of frontage to the streets Increasing density to R40 Not permitting new residential development unless located above or behind an existing commercial development on site.	
		As residents of Walcott St of several years, we are currently surrounded by residential properties, which are lived in and/or owned by families with children. We chose to live here, precisely because it is a very quiet street, with little traffic, where the house has a large garden and frontage which give a sense of space and peace. We have spent time cultivating the leafy native vegetation which gives this area so much character. Many people have invested heavily in properties along this street precisely because they value very highly the attributes you pay lip service to in point 1.2.2 above. They do not want to live in cramped suburbs where the houses are built to the edge of the block, surrounded by Colorbond fencing, where there is little shade or breeze and no privacy. There is already enough development going on in Broome North, Roebuck, Cable Beach and Sunset Park estates that an increase in density is not required anywhere in Old Broome. Changing this area to mixed use, commercial/civic would detract from that value and irrevocably diminish the character of this area.	
C		The opening up of Walcott St intersection with Frederick St to trafficAnd the increase in kerbage and introduction of pavements that would cause to WalcottStOpening the Walcott St intersection with Frederick St to traffic, with the necessary changes to the road, kerbage and pavement development that would entail, would totally change the character of the street.	The potential opening of Walcott in submission 3 above.

b(c) above.	Reject.
ts in Section 4.1.3, up- only be considered in vill require individual incil progress a S6 contains the levelopment in the rmitted unless located ial development (as ake clear that this is along a 'Priority I submission).	
tt Street is discussed	Support. As per
	submission 3 above.

	We ourselves have a young boy who attends the local school and walks or cycles to school every day often with other children walking or cycling with us. The road is quiet and safe and car drivers recognise that this is a residential area with no pavements and drive accordingly. These is little traffic. At 8am this morning I stood with a neighbour talking on the street for over half an hour and in that time 3 cars passed.	
	Opening Walcott Street directly to Frederick Street has been proposed in the past in traffic control proposals for Frederick and Hamersley Streets but quite rightfully has not been progressed. By opening up the Walcott/Frederick St end of the road, you will turn this road into a rat run	
	for commuters, as well as for tour buses, taxis and cars heading to and from the airport. It will increase the traffic noise, the amount of pollution and increase the possibility of accidents on what is currently a very calm road. It will be less safe for pedestrians and	
	cyclists. It will necessitate the building of pavements and kerbs which are not part of the style of Old Broome streets and which will increase the amount of polluting ran water running into Roebuck Bay.	
d	The style of road in this area of Old Broome is such that the grading of the road allows stormwater run-off to flow into the nature strips, ensuring it does not end up in Roebuck Bay, and at the same time watering the vegetation, ensuring less need for watering by households at Wet Season time or year. Contrary to your statement that pindan soil has low stormwater retention, it is highly noticeable after heavy rain how much water is retained by this soil. The soil becomes softer, easier for digging up weeds and planting new vegetation. The introduction of kerbage on the east (hospital) side of Walcott St has already meant that more water flows down the street, picking up waste which then flows directly into the Bay, and households on the side of the street have to rely more on reticulation of their nature strips, increasing water usage.	Broome has vastly different soils, I rainfall compared to southern are different approach to Water Sensi Methods as often practiced in the the state will not be effective in Br is saturated, it is relatively imperm stormwater then tends to evapora be expected to permeate within th reason, soakwells are not used in 1
	Best practice on managing stormwater states: "Use no kerbs" This allows stormwater to infiltrate within road verges, median strips and carpark garden beds. Soakwells and drainage should be installed in road drainage side entry pits and within carparks <u>http://www.water.wa.au/Publication Store/first/89893.pdf</u>	Old Broome when subdivided was incorporate swales to accommoda requirements. The drainage strate Broome area has attempted to ma water into the verge areas by usin
	and this from the Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia Rainfall, for the majority of events occurring each year, should be retained or detained on site (i.e. as high in the catchment and as close to the source as possible, subject to adequate site conditions). Runoff from constructed impervious areas (e.g roofs and paved	crossovers and gaps in the kerbs to drainage. The previously complete reconstruction project is an examp
	 areas)should be retained or detained through the use of soakwells, pervious paving, vegetated swales or gardens. For detention systems, the peak 1 year average recurrence interval (ARI) discharge from constructed impervious areas should be attenuated to the pre- development discharge rate. Events larger than 1 year ARI can overflow 'off-site'. For larger rainfall events (i.e greater 	Kerbs are typical of an urban road protect the edge of the road from recent Herbert Street subdivision however this treatment is expensi
	than 1 year ARI events), runoff from constructed impervious areas should be retained or detained to the required design storm event in landscaped retention or detention areas in public open space or linear multiple use corridors. Any overflow of runoff towards waterways and wetlands should be by overland flow paths across the vegetated surfaces. Further detention may be required to ensure that the pre-development hydrologic regime of the receiving water bodies is largely unaltered, particularly in relation to peak flow rates	The Old Broome Development Stra 4.5.4 (Natural Resource and Enviro Management) recommends additi improve stormwater management Broome, such as:
	and, where practical, discharge volume. http://www.water.wa.gov.au/Publication Store/first/44217.pdf	1. Investigate retrofitting existing Broome to reduce discharge of nut

s, landscape and reas, which requires a nsitive Urban Design. The southern part of Broome. Once pindan rmeable and prate faster than it will the soil. For this n Broome.	Reject.
as not designed to date the drainage itegy within the Old maintain the flow of sing depressed s to maintain historic eted Barker Street mple of this practice ad formation and m breaking. The	
n used flush kerb, nsive to implement. strategy in Section rironmental litional measures to ent within Old g drains in Old	
outrient loads into	

		The introduction of kerbage is completely contradictory to best practise of stormwater	Roebuck Bay.	
		management in WA. Increasing traffic in this area is at odds with the stated intent of making		
		it easier and safer for cyclists and pedestrians. The fact that the Shire has already started the	2. Investigate opportunities for the retention of	
		process of developing these 'ideas' by introducing kerbage on one side of Walcott St and the	stormwater in existing landscaped areas of Public	
		roundabout at the end of the street makes one wonder if this draft plan is already in	Open Space, such as in portions of Bedford Park.	
		progress by stealth.		
е		The opening up of the Anne St intersection with Hamersley St to traffic	Support in part. As outlined in Submission 3 above.	Support in part. As per
C		The opening of Anne Street to Hamersley Street is proposed without evidence of genuine		submission 3 above.
		need or benefit. Again it will only serve to increase the traffic in an otherwise very quite		
		street, near a park where families often gather. There is no need for this to go ahead.		
f		The development of the hospital to the north	Support in part. As per submission 15(o) above.	Support in part. As per
•		Page 13 mentions the development of the existing hospital to the north. Given that this area		submission 15(o) above.
		includes not only our residential dwelling but several others, it is clear the intent is to		5051115(0) 05070
		destroy these dwellings to develop the hospital. These dwellings currently house several		
		families, who either live in them or bought them at high cost for the quiet enjoyment of this		
		area. There are also two Shire of Broome Municipal Inventory Places directly to the north of		
		the hospital and it is part of the so-called 'Old Broome Special Character Area'. There is no		
		need to develop the hospital in this way. We believe there is already an existing space laid		
		aside for the building of a new hospital on Cable Beach Road West. If this is not the case, then, if the hospital does need to expand, it should build elsewhere, not in an area		
		surrounded as it is at present on three sides by residential dwellings, and with a primary		
		school on the remaining side.		
a		Increase in car parking in area B especially around the Broome Primary School	As per submission 41(d) above. The car parking survey	Support in part Ac par
g				Support in part. As per
		This area does not need more car parking which will merely encourage more traffic into the area, especially around the Primary school. If anything, the area needs to be decongested,	will consider whether the current parking provision is	submission 41(d) above.
			sufficient, and the outcomes of this survey will inform	
		as the increased movement of cars in the morning is an extra risk to child safety and	the preparation of a Parking Management Strategy	
		discourages people from walking or cycling to school. The increased parking would also	which will consider the appropriate location and	
		detract from the character of the area, especially in the so-called 'Old Broome Special	design for additional car parking, if required.	
		Character Area'.		
n		The increase in size of the caravan park	Expansion of the caravan park is proposed into the	As per submission 15(r)
		We do not believe this park needs to be any larger. We wonder if there may be a conflict of	area that is currently occupied by the Department of	above.
		interest between Shire members who have a stake in the Caravan Park and the	Parks and Wildlife / Department of Environment	
		development of this Park.	Regulation only. The officer's response to submission	
			15(r) above explains that this will not happen without	
		Broome is unique. It is the reason people come here to live and make their homes. It is	the support of the Departments which would have to	
		immensely stressful, to build a life and a home anywhere, to then see what attracted you	relocate.	
		and kept you in that place ripped apart by the town Shire. If it has a reputation as a 'too		
		hard town' maybe that is for a good reason. If the residents do not fight for that uniqueness		
		it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to		
		it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly		
		it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily		
		it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily by residents, not tourists, to maintain the character.		
63	M. Ozies	 it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily by residents, not tourists, to maintain the character. This is a formal objection to the proposed planned development of a large car park area as 	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per
63	PO Box 5523	 it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily by residents, not tourists, to maintain the character. This is a formal objection to the proposed planned development of a large car park area as stated in the Draft Attachment No2 – Old Broome Development Strategies and Concept Plan 	As per submission 10 above.	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
63	PO Box 5523 BROOME, WA,	 it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily by residents, not tourists, to maintain the character. This is a formal objection to the proposed planned development of a large car park area as 	As per submission 10 above.	
63	PO Box 5523	 it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily by residents, not tourists, to maintain the character. This is a formal objection to the proposed planned development of a large car park area as stated in the Draft Attachment No2 – Old Broome Development Strategies and Concept Plan 	As per submission 10 above.	
63	PO Box 5523 BROOME, WA,	 it will simply disappear or become a parody of itself as some tourist theme park, as seems to be the intention of the Shire. Old Broome and especially area B has to remain a strongly residential area, with quiet streets, leafy vegetation and secluded houses lived in primarily by residents, not tourists, to maintain the character. This is a formal objection to the proposed planned development of a large car park area as stated in the Draft Attachment No2 – Old Broome Development Strategies and Concept Plan for Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Part 1. 	As per submission 10 above.	

			rocks and the surrounding mangroves.	
			They saw many changes throughout history, the onset of pearling from which many male and females members dies diving for pearls, the bombing of Broome by the Japanese; they welcomed the return family members who were lost at sea during the cyclones of 1940s from this very beach, witnessed the opening and closing of the pastoral industry abattoir and countless other changes.	
			No Djugun person has ever ceded their sovereign right to make decisions on their land. No Djugun person has ever signed away their rights to claimed Native Title Lands. It is the <u>Right</u> enjoyed by all Yawuru traditional owners on Djugun country.	
			I therefore strongly object the proposed development plan on behalf of <u>all</u> Djugun families whom have never been heard in the past and continue not to be heard by the Shire or the Yawuru Native Title land holding body.	
64	R. Meister PO Box 2411 BROOME, WA, 6725	7 Walcott Street		
а			I would like my objection registered regarding your proposal for the Old Broome Development Strategy on the following grounds:	As per submission 3 above.
			 The opening up of Walcott Street at the northern end does nothing to preserve the heritage, biodiversity and cultural values of Old Broome as this would mean the widening of the street and therefore result in a diminished feel for what Old Broome is all about, quality of life. The increased traffic caused by the funnelling of vehicular movements from the planned roundabout on Frederick Street to Walcott Street all the way to Town Beach jeopardises the safety of pedestrians. To avoid this the widened road would have to also accommodate foot/cycle paths which in turn further erodes the heritage values of Old Broome. 	
b			 The rezoning of existing blocks in to smaller R codes will create the need to remove heritage trees from blocks in question resulting in significant loss of flora and fauna from a presently healthy and thriving eco system. Nowhere in your draft planning policy do you state why all this is necessary. 	The draft Old Broome Design Guid such matters as setbacks, building landscaping, outdoor living and la the principles in these sections are development patterns.
				Within the OBSCA, development c applied to matters such as and bui controls have been designed after the current form of development a ensure that the appearance of new development will closely resemble development.
с			5. There was very little community consultation regarding your proposal. I would have thought it appropriate to inform Residents of the areas in questions personally.	As per submission 35(a) above. En included a mail out to all landown
d			 The Town Beach reserve boat ramp proposal on such a small beach would further erode the already scarce public space and cater for an interest group (Recreational Fisherman) only. I would, however, support the expansion of the waterpark and the 	The Town Beach boat ramp is discu 15(g) above. The skate park is discu 1 above. The potential expansion of

	Support in part. As per submission 3 above.
Guidelines will apply to ding bulk, car parking, d landscaping. Many of s are based on historical nt controls will be building design. These fter taking into account ent and will seek to new 'Mixed Use' nble existing residential	
. Engagement activities wners in the precinct.	Reject. As per submission 35(a) above
discussed in submission discussed in submission on of the water park is	Note submission.

	establishment of a skate park to cater for the needs of children and their families.	proposed to remain on the Conce is noted that the elements on the indicative and will be subject to f (including an assessment of feasi design.
e	7. You fail to explain as why there are government offices planned to be situated within the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park. Please inform my on why this is proposed.	As per submission 15(r) above.
f	8. The proposed change of the eastern side of Walcott street mixed use would seriously jeopardise the residential values of this precinct as the establishment of this type of use would encourage subdivision of existing blocks to accommodate offices, tourism businesses etc resulting in diminished occupancy rates which in turn means a commercialisation of Old Broome. This is, in my view, contrary to your objective to preserve the heritage values of Old Broome.	As per submission 17(c) above.
g	9. Nowhere in your proposal do you explain what you deem as appropriate development apart from naming commercial use for the precinct and how you will preserve old trees from being chopped down in favour of development.	Figure 3 – Strategy Plan separate Area into precincts. Action 1 in So Use) sets out preferred and not p each of the precincts. It is noted been amended from the draft ve greater clarity and is included as Submission. As discussed above, the Shire is p Broome Design Guidelines which additional development controls site design, and building form. Th Design Guidelines require retent trees in the property verge, as we trees on individual development
h	 10. Leave Old Broome as it is. Spend our rates on more sustainable and less radical proposals. 11. Can you please explain the need for all of this? 12. Have you considered that your changes if passed will open the floodgate to much development that needs policing? Has the Shire got the capacity to adequately enforce and control the stringent building requirements as outlined in your strategy? 13. Can you please explain how your proposed amendments to the Town Planning Scheme would not impact negatively existing landowners within the Old Broome precinct who are happy with how things are at present? 	Old Broome already has a consid 'Mixed Use' development and the guidance as to how this can evolve discussed above the majority of the included in the Old Broome Spect which will maintain a density of F The draft Old Broome Design Guid control the form of development brought to Council for considerate new development within Old Broome using the Design Guidelines. It is Shire has the appropriate resource these assessments as part of its r determine Planning Applications. As discussed above, the OBDS do land and rather provides guidance provisions of the Local Planning S applied. It will be the responsibilit landowners to apply to rezone ar

cept Plan, although it ne Concept Plan are future investigations sibility) and detailed	
	Support in part. As per submission 15(r) above.
	Reject. As per submission 17(c) above.
es the Old Broome Section 4.1.4 (Land preferred uses for I that this table has ersion to provide s # 1 in the Internal	Reject.
preparing draft Old h will provide s for the public realm, he draft Old Broome tion of significant vell as a net gain of t sites.	
derable component of ne OBDS provides lve over time. As the area will be cial Character Area R10.	Reject.
uidelines will further at and are being ation separately. All oome will be assessed s considered that the rces to undertake normal function to s.	
oes not rezone any ce as to how the Strategy are to be lity of individual and /or develop their	

				land.
i			14. In my view there appears to be a conflicting message about reserving the heritage values of Old Broome while at the same time rezoning existing R listed blocks owned privately and by the Dept of Housing and how that contributes to retain the heritage values of Old Broome.	As per submission 15(c) above. Development undertaken by the Housing is considered to be a 'Pul Public Works Act 1902. Section 6 Development Act 2005 exempts s from requiring Planning Approval works. Under the Planning and De 2005, local governments are requ when a public work is proposed b the power to stop it from taking p enforce conditions.
65	C. Beausein	7 Walcott Street		
			SHIRE OF BROOME TOWN PLANNING SCHEME NO.4 (TPS4) – LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES I am writing to you regarding the proposed Town Planning Scheme no.4 and would like to take the opportunity to comment on your proposal.	As per submission 3 above.
			I bought a block of land in Walcott Street and built my house there twenty-three years ago. I have lived here ever since. During my time in Broome I have contributed to the Arts Community and like to think that I am a valued member of the wider Community.	
			The proposals outlined for Old Broome I see as damaging to this most important and historic part of town. They are counterproductive in protecting the Jewel in Broome's crown that is Old Broome. The proposals of most concern to me are:	
			1. The proposed opening of Walcott Street to Frederick Street that would destroy the casual ambience of this part of town.	
			2. The rezoning of land to commercial would result in many of the unique and important houses in the area being lost for commercial buildings, threaten the large, old trees that help to create a shady and attractive streetscape and ultimately totally annihilate what we should be protecting; the character of Old Broome.	As per submission 17 (c) above.
			3. The development of Lot 451 and Lot 601 on Hamersley Street is not appropriate these blocks should be for public use as they are right on the foreshore.	As per submission 41(h) above.
			4. The car park at town beach development is also not appropriate as this area creates a cooling green belt, which is far better, than hot concrete or asphalt.	As per submission 10 above.
			5. I am very concerned that the increase in traffic and higher density buildings would result in a loss of habitat for the rich ecosystem that function in the area.	As discussed above, it is considered Old Broome will occur increments of Old Broome will be included in Special Character Area and will re R10, as discussed in submissions 2
			6. I am extremely concerned that the rezoning may mean a rate hike for me, which as an artist with a low income would be unsustainable.	The OBDS does not rezone any la responsibility of individual landov implications are not a relevant co
			7. The change of use of the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park seems to me like a greedy land grab. The Caravan Park creates it's own holiday, casual ambiance as well as supporting	As per submission 15(r) above.

	Reject.
e Department of ublic Work' under the 6 of the <i>Planning and</i> state governments al to undertake public <i>Development Act</i> quired to be consulted but they do not have g place or to issue and	
	Support. As per submission 3 above.
	Reject. As per submission 17(c) above.
	Note submission.
	Support in part. As per submission 10 above.
ered that changes in Itally. Large portions in the Old Broome retain a low density of s 2 and 11(d) above.	Reject.
and. This will be the owners. Rating consideration.	Reject.
	As per submission 15(r) above.

			many large trees and wildlife.	
			I also have many other concerns in this badly thought out proposal. I suggest more time is allowed for public comment and suggestions in order to get this right rather than forging ahead with an unpopular and destructive proposal that threatens the only unique and historic part of town besides Chinatown (which is already commercial but has always been so).	As per submission 35(a) above.
66	D. Dureau PO Box 114 BROOME, WA, 6725		 I disagree with the consultation and planning process that has gone into the creation of this draft strategy plan. There has not been any genuine consultation or involvement of the community throughout this process and it is not until the process is almost complete that community input is requested. Were it not for how strongly I felt I would refuse even to validate this inadequate and insincere process by even making a submission which I will do with enthusiasm when a sincere consultative process produces the plan. The community of Broome find itself in a similar position with proposed TPS4 in around 1988 when the Shire presented its Town Plan from internal sources without proper consultation from the community. 	The community engagement proc discussed in the officer's response 35(a) above and the Community F which is Attachment 3 to the Cou With respect to the draft Local Pla 6, an extensive community engag also undertaken and this process the Department of Planning. Cour Local Planning Scheme No. 6 in N has now been supported by the V
			The community exposed this lack of consultation and the then Government responded by temporarily transferring a skilled town planning officer to Broome with a brief to consult with the community patiently and to thoroughly develop the concept of a consulted community plan.	Planning Commission and Ministe subject to modifications being car
			It was that lengthy independent consulted process which achieved consensus within the Broome and allowed for the successful approval of the plan by the Planning Commission and has served the community of Broome well, is now overdue for replacement. (TPS5 been gone, 20 + years lapsed, recommended revised every 5-8 years).	
			For this I would sincerely comment that this alternative consultative process replace the current proposals for TPS6 and that the Shire immediately seek the expertise of an independent officer to seek the support and opinion of the community before submitting the draft strategy plan to the Council for approval.	
			The current "top down" approach suit the "big players" such as: the Port Authority, State Housing, Chamber of Commerce, Real Estate and Developers, including most likely Nyamba Buru Yawuru, Broome Airport and mining and oil/gas interests. See Page 3 of attached.	
67	Gidgegannup Nominees Pty Ltd PO Box 232 COTTESLOE, WA, 6011	21 Walcott Street		
а			1. We endorse the submission by Mr Bob Bunning (Refer to Submission No. 18).	Noted.
b			 Additional Submission from Gidgegannup Nominees Pty Ltd When John Adams, who was Lord Alistair McAlpine's lawyer and Chairman of his company, Australian City Properties, invited us to Broome in 1988, we were extremely impressed by the ambience of the old buildings that were being restored, and the new ones being built in the Broome Style. 	As per submission 15(o) above.
			In 1990 we purchased a block of land at 21 Walcott Street, and subsequently built a	

	Reject.
ocess for the OBDS is ase to submission / Engagement Report ouncil Agenda report. Planning Scheme No. agement process was as was supported by uncil adopted the final November 2013 and it Western Australian ter for Planning carried out.	Reject.
	Note submission.
	Support in part. As per submission 15(o) above.

			1
		home which was a modern interpretation of a Pearling Masters House.	
		Walcott was a quiet street, and our kids could safely walk between the Adams home	
		on the corner of Louis and Walcott Street, and our home. We were also advised that	
		the Hospital was to be moved to a new area off Cable Beach Road. We believe that	
		this land is still available to the Hospital. We, like many of the other residents are	
		totally opposed to the expansion of the Hospital in Old Broome.	
C		3. We read in the papers constantly about the difficulty that Broome is having in positioning itself as a tourist destination. Surely a great tourist attraction would be promoting the history and unique architecture of Old Broome. In other cities and town around the world, they embrace and treasure their historic buildings, and we feel that the area of Old Broome should be given special status as an historical area. Guided walking tours of the area could be conducted. We feel that this would add greatly to the marketing of Broome as a unique and wonderful place to visit and something that tourists from around the world would be interested in.	Large portions of Old Broome will Old Broome Special Character Arr retain a low density of R10, as dis submissions 2 and 11(d) above. The Design Guidelines seek to apply p the OBSCA to ensure the existing preserved. Action 1 in Section 4.6.4 (Heritag states: 1. Design a heritage trail with dis reflecting significant buildings, fe which reflect the history of Broom within the Old Broome Precinct.
			If implemented, the heritage trail feature that attracts tourists to O
d		Some of the issues raised in the OBDS are controversial so we need to talk more about what the resident of old Broome want. Consultation with residents in May 2013 was all about the Town Beach and Conti foreshore, and not about the heart of Old Broome. The majority of the residents who attended that meeting were from foreshore areas.	Appendix A to Part 2 of the OBDS Report for the community works 2013. Whilst some participants for improvements to the Town Beach wider area was also discussed and considered future development a precinct. The Shire cannot contro members choose to attend public
			The Shire has also conducted an e engagement process on the draft discussed in the officer's respons 35(a) above and the Community
			which is Attachment 3 to the Cou
е		We are passionate about retaining the style of Old Broome, and feel that this can only happen by maintaining it as a largely residential area.	As per submission 17(c) above.
68	D. Oliver	Sorry guys, I like Broome the way it is now: red dirt, side walks, big green-scaped verges, low	The vision for Old Broome is for n
	PO Box 7068	density, great views, breeze and open skies, relaxed and low key.	be 'respectful of the rich cultural
	BROOME, WA,	De we need more concrete, business, or parks landscaring development think confully.	environment.' As discussed above
	6725	Do we need more concrete, business, car parks, landscaping, development, think carefully and act moderately.	the precinct will be incorporated Special Character Area, where a le will be maintained. The draft Old
		I am very concerned for the health of Roebuck Bay (runoff & erosion) and for the	Guidelines which are currently in
		"atmosphere" of Town Beach. DON'T MAKE IT A TOURIST PRECINCT! – Please.	seek to impose planning controls
1			amongst other matters, preserve
			use of verges for car parking.

ill be included in the rea (OBSCA) and will iscussed in The draft Old Broome planning controls to g character is	Support. No modifications required.
ge) of the OBDS	
liscrete signage eatures and places me and are situated	
iil could become a Old Broome.	
oS is an Outcomes shop held in May focussed on ch Foreshore, the nd the design session across the entire rol which community lic workshops.	Reject.
extensive community ft OBDS which is se to submission / Engagement Report ouncil Agenda Report.	
	Reject.
new development to al heritage and natural ve, large portions of d into the Old Broome low density of R10 d Broome Design n preparation will also s on development to, e views and limit the	Note submission.

69	B. Long & S.	11 Robinson Street		Section 4.4 (Movement) is heavily improving the transport network cyclists. Action 2 in Section 4.4.4 (proposes footpaths for one side of Broome, and on both sides of all ' Frontages'. Action 3 in Section 4.4 states that pathways are to be illus shaded to increase user safety and Section 4.5 (Natural Resource and Management) acknowledges that discharge into Roebuck Bay can be environmental impacts such as lyn Subsequent sections recommend these impacts, such as retrofitting infrastructure, ensuring new deve consistent with Better Urban Wat Principles, and investigating oppo stormwater in existing landscaped Open Space. Portions of Old Broome already h function and the Shire's Local Plan (2013) states that the area will co for business tourists. It is consider tourism development can be com existing character of Old Broome.
	Salmon PO Box 3071 BROOME, WA, 6725			
a			 "What kills a place like Broome is the building of high and ugly buildings along the coastline in an area where people live Development has to be balanced. It has to be done with intelligence and sensitivity. If you wish to see, what Broome shouldn't look like, go to the Gold Coast." (Lord Alistair McAlpine, The West Australian, January 2011.) As owners and residents of 11 Robinson Street we object to our residential block and those in the vicinity of Weld, Stewart, Robinson and Barker Streets being rezoned from Residential R10 to Mixed Use R40 as proposed in the Old Broome Development Strategy. As the LPS6 endorses a change to Mixed Use from Residential, but maintains an R10 density coding, the submission will focus on maintaining the R10 coding, as opposed to the higher density coding of R40. We have resided in this house for the last 15 years and are raising our children who attend the local primary school. We were attracted to this area of Broome due to its character and amenity and the belief, consistently reinforced by Shire Policy in the intervening years that this area would retain its characteristic charm and uniqueness and R10 Residential zoning. 	As per submission 2 above.

ly focused on k for pedestrians and (Movement) of every street in Old I 'Priority Active .4.4 (Movement) luminated and nd comfort.	
nd Environmental at stormwater lead to adverse yngbya blooms. d actions to minimise ng existing drainage velopment is ater Management portunities to retain ed areas of Public	
have a tourist anning Strategy continue to develop ered that future mpatible with the e.	
	Support. As per submission 2 above.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Previous Shire decisions, strategies and policies, have recommended that this area of Old Broome be zoned Residential R10 to
	"Ensure the historical character and amenity is protected from redevelopment". (LPS 1998).
	This rationale has been reinforced through, amongst others, the Broome Housing Strategy (1997), TPS4 (2004), Broome Planning Steering Committee (2005) and the Local Housing Strategy (2009) and is still relevant and pertinent today.
	At the Special Meeting of Council on November 20, 2013, the Council endorsed the Officers Comments and Recommendation that;
	"officers acknowledge that the Local Housing Strategy 2009 proposed that the area between Robinson and Herbert Streets remain coded R10 in recognition of its historic character"
	And that
	"in line with the Local Housing Strategy 2009 the lots between Weld and Robinson Streets are to remain Mixed Use in LPS6, but that the density coding is to remain R10 as per that of the TPS4".
	Having once again so recently accepted the rationale that this area remains R10 density coding in recognition of its historical character how is it possible to entertain a conflicting rationale that it can have the higher density of Mixed Use R40?
	This area should be included in <i>Area I – Old Broome Special Character Area</i> of the Old Broome Development Strategy. This will ensure the area retains R10 density.
	The area north of the hospital and in the vicinity of Weld, Stewart, Robinson and Barker Streets sits immediately adjacent to the proposed Special Character Area.
	This area east of Herbert Street is the oldest residential area of Broome. The area north of the hospital and in the vicinity of Weld, Stewart, Robinson and Barker Streets is a distinct representation of the character and amenity of Broome and it is essential to Broome's identity that this area is protected through appropriate density, design and policy provisions.
	As established in previous Shire policy the key characteristics of Old Broome are the wide road reserves, large tree lined verges, relatively large, heavily vegetated lots and a distinctive scale and design of buildings. This is all represented in the area in questions.
	The area in question contains two of Broome's most celebrated historic buildings, St. John of God Convent and McDaniel's House on Barker Street between Weld and Robinson Streets. Both of these buildings are listed on the State Register of Heritage Places and afforded a category 'A' listing on Broome's Municipal Heritage Inventory meaning they are " <i>essential to the heritage of the locality.</i> " If the Old Broome Development Strategy is to have an Old Broome Special Character Area, then surely these two buildings should be included in it, when they sit less than one block away from the proposed Special Area boundary.
	The cultural and heritage significance of this area is further enhanced, (refer to Clause 10.2.1 of LPS6 sections (h), (i), (k), (n) and (o)) in that it also contains two other buildings which are



 in good condition and were established in 1912, and sits adjacent to 'Arthurs Flats' the Master Pearlers House and 24 Stewart Street which is also on the Broome Municipal Heritage Inventory. The corner of Barker and Robinson Streets is often referred to by local families as 'Mango Trees', in reference to the 11 mango trees planted by the late Phillip Cox, Broome's (possibly Australia's) first indigenous Shire Councillor who resided there and planted one mango tree for each grandchild. Stewart Street between Weld and Robinson also contains two of the last remaining houses purpose built for the meatworkers in the 1970's. Including this area in the Old Broome Special Character Area would also connect the proposed Old Broome Character area through to the Old Courthouse and no to Chinatown. Mixed Use with a R40 density, with new three storey commercial buildings, extra car parking and loss of vegetation in this area will detract from the amenity of the popular attraction of the Courthouse and the Courthouse markets and from the vistas along Barker and Stewart Streets which enhance this experience. Conversely, it would also disconnect the Old Broome Special Character Area from the iconic heart of Old Broome that is the Courthouse and associated gardens. With regards to this area of Old Broome the draft Old Broome Development Strategy is incongruous with the action of Objective 7 of the Local Housing Strategy (2009) Objective 7 which is to "Protect the Character of Old Broome". The Map on Future Urban Land on page 10 of the Local Housing Strategy clearly defines this area of Old Broome to be retained R10. East of Herbert Street is the only area left of Broome to have a R10 coding. To change this to R40 diminishes the options of residents who would prefer to live on a larger block than offered in any of the new housing estates. We, and other residents in the area bought blocks designated as R10 and rightfully according to all Shire documents have maintain
We are concerned that Mixed Use Business and increased housing density will result in an increase in social issues, such as noise and possible anti-social behaviour, which will affect the amenity of the area.
There are serious concerns around traffic congestion, and in particular traffic safety, around Broome Primary School and Broome Hospital in Robinson Street. Robinson Street has both a student pick up/drop off area and an ambulance entrance. Any increase in building density or change in zoning to Robinson Street will exacerbate these traffic and safety issues.



	Designating this area of Old Broome as R40 in the Old Broome Development Strategy, when both the TPS4 and LPS6 maintain an R10 coding is opening the possibility for disjointed Scheme Amendments to increase density from R10 to R40. Amongst other ramifications this could prove costly for the Shire and the applicants as Residents of this area would object to any development for inappropriate Mixed Use or which increase building density, and would expect Councillors to do the same, for those reasons outlined in Clause 10.2.1 of the LPS6, in particular the matters 10.2.1 (h), (l), (j), (k), (l), (n), (o), (p), (q) and (v).	
	Clause 10.2.1 of LPS6 states;	
	(h) the conservation of any place that has been entered in the Register within the meaning of the <i>Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990,</i> or which is included n the Heritage List under clause 7.1, and the effect	
	(i)the compatibility of a use or development with its setting;	
	(j) any social issues that have an effect on the amenity of the locality'	
	(k) the cultural significance of any place or area affected by the development;	
	(I) the likely effect of the proposal on the natural environment and any means that are proposed to protect or to mitigate impacts on the natural environment;	
	(n) the preservation of the amenity of the locality;	
	(o) the relationship of the proposal to development on adjoining land or on other land in the locality including but not limited to, the likely effect of the height, bulk, scale, orientation and appearance of the proposal;	
	(p) whether the proposed means of access to and egress from the site are adequate and whether adequate provision has been made for the loading, unloading, manoeuvring and parking of vehicles;	
	(q) the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the proposal, particularly in relation to the capacity of the road system in the locality and the probably effect on traffic flow and safety;	
	(v) whether adequate provision has been made for the landscaping of the land to which the application relates and whether any trees or other vegetation on the land should be preserved.	
	As so many of the subclauses of 10.2.1 are applicable to the vicinity of Robinson, Barker, Weld , and Stewart Streets, it is apparent this area is inappropriate for R40 density Mixed Use Development.	
	Chapter 4.2 of the Draft Old Broome Development Strategy rightfully points out that " <i>it is important that the Old Broome Development Strategy does not facilitate commercial development in access of genuine need, which may serve to divert commercial activity from Chinatown</i> ", however applying a density coding of R40 to Mixed Use in this area in question would be doing just that.	



		The rationale that increasing building density in this unique area of Old Broome is required for the estimated increased commercial office space throughout all of Broome of 15,866m2 by 2031 is seriously flawed when considering the new commercial development east of the Broome Boulevard has a total developable area of 223,650m2. It would be more appropriate for this 'Service Commercial' zone to be amended to permit some commercial office space, than to allow scheme amendments to increase density in the historically and culturally sensitive areas of Old Broome. Furthermore, the Vacant Land and Redevelopment sites identified in Figure 4 of the OBDS does not identify any potential sites in the vicinity of Weld, Barker, Robinson and Stewart Streets.	
70	E. Foy PO Box 114 BROOME, WA, 6725		
а		I object to this proposed Planning Policy because I do not think that local people have been consulted enough and their views incorporated into this strategy. Financially this will affect us because there is nothing in the consultation which demonstrates the costs of these changes to the residents of the Shire of Broome. I think that with the Government budgeting that the Council needs to more closely consider their budget and concentrate on maintaining and completing what they already have. We do not need to live in cluster homes like people in the cities live, we live in the Kimberlies and we need open community space and large yards in Old Broome.	As per submission 35(a) above.
b		I think that the Shire should consider a new shopping outlet instead of only focusing on development of Chinatown. We should open up a new shopping centre where people live so that residents of Broome have a choice.	The provision of commercial shop centres hierarchy as set out in the Local Commercial Strategy. The Se and Fong Store Local Centre provi with retail choice within a walkabl
C		I believe that the Shire should remember that this town was founded on the Pearling industry & we should try and preserve the boat shed sand other old buildings and large blocks of Old Broome and recognising the Aboriginal and indentured labour that contributed to the foundation of Broome. The Beach down from the Continental should be appropriately named as a 'Lugger Beach' where the luggers would be maintained.	The use of the name 'Conti Foresh park name used by the Shire. Peop representation to Council to chang
d		The Shire should work on getting the logo back on their letterheads. The new logo has no meaning and does not identify with the town that was founded on Pearling Luggers.	The comments are noted. This is n addressed through the OBDS.
e		The Shire has not adequately considered the social impacts of this proposed strategy.	Social impact assessments are a to used to assess individual developm development proposal is reasonab terms of the scale of development allows projections to be undertake development may impact, either p negatively, on the local area. It is difficult to undertake a social if for a strategic document as a Deve as the extent to which the Strateg implemented by landowners, as w timeframe for implementation, is discussed above, the OBDS will no

	Reject. As per submission 35(a) above
ops is based on the ne Shire of Broome Seaview Local Centre vide local residents ble distance	Reject
shore' reflects the ople are able to make inge park names.	Note submission.
s not a matter to be	Note submission.
tool that is typically pment proposals. A ably concrete in ent proposed and this aken as to how such r positively or	Reject.
al impact assessment evelopment Strategy egy will be well as the is unknown. As not rezone any land	

			but will provide the planning fram rezoning applications put forward individual landowners. The OBDS will also guide Council of individual development proposals of Local Planning Scheme No. 6, th to have due regard for the following determination on a Planning Appl
			(j) any social issues that have an e of the locality; (x) the potential loss of any comm benefit resulting from the plannin
			This will ensure that consideration impacts is undertaken for all new Old Broome.
71	D. Fong PO Box 60 BROOME, WA, 6725	 Suggest that proposed archway in Chinatown be located on Eastside of Male Oval with signage displaying brief history of Jap town/Chinatown. The sign should be hi enough to discourage vandalism. If the oriental archway is located in the roundabout as originally proposed then information signage should still be installed on Male Oval. I do walking tours of Chinatown and the lack of knowledge particularly amongst locals is disturbing. 	The Old Broome Development Str area generally south of Frederick Herbert Street. Development in C of this Strategy.
72	P. Lowe PO Box 309 BROOME, WA, 6725		
a		General Comments: I notice this claim on the Strategy Plan: 'The heritage of Old Broome is one of its key definit characteristics so its conservation is critical to retaining the essence of what makes it special.' I endorse this statement wholeheartedly, and I appreciate any efforts made to retain that heritage. It does seem to me that the effect of the development strategy risks removing most of that heritage. The heritage includes large blocks, many shade trees, quice neighbourhoods, old buildings and the like. Turning all that into a commercial area, complete with big new car parks and areas with 'nil setback' seems at odds with the intention to protect heritage.	
b		Given that a significant number of retail premises in the two existing shopping centres are present standing vacant, and that many houses are now on the market, I wonder where th pressure to create numerous new commercial premises, and denser residential areas, is coming from. However, I not with relief that the strategy envisages a long-term implementation. I consider that any town, especially a town as beloved of its residents as Broome is, should be allowed to develop organically, in response to need. Grand new concept plans prepared by out-of-town consultants seldom meet the needs or local people. Like our long-term residents, I grieve already about the accelerating disappearance of the Broome I knew, the increase in housing density, the loss of trees, the obliteration of verges, the ugly metal fences, the concrete driveways, the utilitarian multiple dwellings. The loss of Old Broome i	e

mework to assess rd to Council by	
Il consideration of als. Under Clause 10.2 the Shire is required wing when making a plication:	
effect on the amenity	
munity service or ing approval.	
on of potential social w developments in	
Strategy deals with the k Street and east of Chinatown is not part	Note submission.
	Reject.
'(c) above.	Reject.

			its present form will be regretted by many, particularly if new developments follow the		
			utilitarian pattern of recent buildings in the area.	· · ·	
С			I am pleased to note the development strategy mentions extensions to walk and cycle paths around Broome and considers public open space.	Noted.	Note submission.
d			Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Plan	Noted.	Note submission.
			While I endorse some features of the Town Beach and Conti Foreshore Plan, it seems to me in danger of overdoing the 'enhancements', as has happened at Streeter's Jetty, where the old-world, natural approach is now under concrete, with regimental-looking rocks plonked around it and a tarmacked car park, all of which have ruined the former ambience. Specific responses to proposed features of this Plan follow:		
e			1. I see that the nature of the look-out points along the foreshore is not detailed, but I urge planners to remember that most people value a natural landscape. Anyone who walks along the green area above the foreshore can look out and see the view, and all that is needed is a series of benches near shade trees for people to sit on to admire it. Grander structures and interpretive signs would detract from the appeal of he place. Less is more. Similarly, trail markers are completely unnecessary on a beach, where on can't get lost. They would detract badly from the natural appeal of the beach. A beach is a beach, not a kids' adventure trail.	Noted. The Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. The design of lookouts and trails can be further considered at a later stage of the process.	Note submission.
F			2. Every effort should be made to preserve public open space. Like many people I have spoken to, I object strongly to any further reduction in the grassed area at Town Beach, including an extension of the water feature, the building of a skate park and the moving of Town Beach Café. I object particularly to the building of a big new car park at the end of Hopton Street, which would further erode the open space. The grassed area is used by many, many families and other groups for relaxing and recreation, to celebrate special occasions and so on, as recognised in the plan. This is certainly an important aspect of Broome's heritage that needs protecting. I also object to a car park being constructed in the existing grassed areas near the 'pearling woman' statue, again eroding the public open space.	The skate park is discussed in the officer's response to submission 1 above, and the car parking at Town Beach is discussed in response to submission 10 above. As discussed above, the elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design. The OBDS notes under Element 33 in Section 5.2 (Concept Plan Elements) that Car parking near the Women of Pearling statue, if undertaken, will formalise current informal use of the area for parking, and will remain unsealed.	Support in part. As per submissions 1 and 10 above.
g			3. The Plan does not specify what the safe swimming area would consist of, but a permanent barrier of rocks should not be considered without extensive research into the likely effect of such a barrier on the immediate environment, water flow, the seagrass beds further out and so on, and into weather it would be a hazard to boats. I would support the placement of a removable barrier that does not trap fish or interfere with the natural flow of water, and the provision of irukandji nets.	As per submission 15(i) above.	Support in part. As per submission 15(i) above.
h			4. I object strongly to the building of 'Strategic mixed-use development sites' on the shoreward side of Hamersley Street (9), which would spoil forever the famous 'open vistas to Roebuck Bay' along that stretch of Hamersley Street, mentioned in the report. In what way are these sites 'strategic'? What is the 'strategy' they embody?	As per submission 41(h) above.	Note submission.
i			5. PCYC: whether it is retained or relocated, the deep Robert Street verge with its enhancing vegetation and Bowerbird nests should be retained and cared for. I have assisted on occasion in removing climbing weeds from the trees there, but more regular tending is required.	Noted.	Note submission.
73		0 Barker Street			
	PO Box 236				

	BROOME, WA,		
	6725		
a		I have lived in Walcott St for the passed forty years, at the corner of Anne St and then later moving to corner of Barker St, closer to the airport after commitments from those involved to relocate the airport. The airport is still there 15 years later and with the expected increase of air traffic its relocation should be of greater importance than any proposed changes to old Broome. The Old Broome Development Strategy fails to address this issue.	The matter of relocating the airport through a precinct based land use The Shire of Broome Strategic Co – 2023 sets out the following strate State Government to ensure a new site is made available. Similarly, the Shire of Broome Loc contains the following strategy – I international airport. The Local Pla maps show the relocation of the a proposed site on Broome Road.
b		I strongly object to the proposed opening of the northern end of Walcott St to Frederick St. I also object to the changes to town beach and question the cost of such a proposal at a time when business, property owners and in fact a lot of the community are struggling to get by. I would object strongly to the Broome shire borrowing money or increasing shire rates to cover the cost of implementing these proposed changes.	As per submission 3 above.
74	B. Thomas PO Box 5323 BROOME, WA, 6725	I am opposed to the proposed changes to town beach. I think the current layout of town beach is sufficient and provides a great area for families to spend time. I also object to the proposed opening up of Walcott St onto Frederick St. As there are no kerbs or footpaths at the end of this street the cost involved I imagine is significant. I believe the rate payers money could be better spent on more important projects around the town.	As per submissions 1, 3, 10 and 28
75	GoolaraboolooMilli binyarri Indigenous Corporation PO Box 7475 BROOME, WA, 6725		
a		 Introduction Introduction This submission is made by the Goolarabooloo Millibinyarri Indigenous Corporation. Our members are Goolarabooloo people and include the senior law bosses Phillip Roe and Richard Hunter. The law bosses have authorised this submission.	Noted.
		'Strategy'):	

port is not dealt with se planning strategy.	Reject.
Community Plan 2013 rategy - <i>Lobby the</i> <i>ew serviced airport</i>	
ocal Planning Strategy – <i>Relocate the</i> Planning Strategy e airport to the	
	Support in part As per submission 3 above.
28 above.	Support in part. As per submissions 1,3,10 and 28 above/
	Note submission.
ouncil, it will become a	Note submission.

		3.1.1.The Strategy sets out details of the consultation undertaken to date in the	Local Planning Policy and there will be no further	
		preparation of the Draft. It does not however indicate the consultation process	consultation undertaken, unless the OBDS is reviewed	
		proposed under the Strategy, or Policy, once implemented.	over time. For Local Planning Policy review, the	
		3.1.2. The Strategy outlines consultation conducted with various groups, including	Shire's Local Planning Policy 8.23 – Public	
		Yawuru, in the development of the proposed strategy. Limited reference is	Consultation – Planning Matters requires that a	
		also made to future consultation with Yawuru in relation to stabilisation of the	Community Engagement Plan be prepared to	
		dune system at Demco Beach, as well as heritage consultation and agreement	determine the significance of the project and the	
		under the Yawuru Indigenous Land Use Agreement, signed 25 th February 2010.	engagement activities that are to be undertaken.	
		3.1.3. It is acknowledged that Yawuru should be consulted in relation to the		
		proposed Strategy and Policy, and any planning and development subsequent	For future Scheme Amendments, subdivision	
		to it. However this consultation should not be limited to Yawuru PBC as there	applications or development applications in the Old	
		are several other Aboriginal groups who have significant cultural and heritage	Broome area, the level and method of consultation	
		interest within the strategy area which are connected to law and culture and	will be as per the Shire's Local Planning Policy 8.23,	
		are independent of land tenure.	Public Consultation – Planning Matters.	
		3.1.4. The views of these other Aboriginal people and groups are not necessarily	-	
		represented by Yawuru PBC and any agreements or consultation entered into		
		by it.		
с		Submission One:	Consultation undertaken for the OBDS is discussed in	Reject. As per submission
			the officer's response to submission 35(a) above.	35(a) above.
		It is submitted that cultural and heritage consultation in relation to the preparation and		
		implementation of this Strategy and Policy should be conducted independently with other	Part 2 of the OBDS contains Figure 3 – Places of	
		Aboriginal groups, including Goolarabooloo, in order to ensure that their cultural heritage	Heritage Significance which shows that large areas of	
		rights and interests are protected within the Old Broome Development area.	Old Broome are located within the boundaries of an	
			Aboriginal Heritage Site as shown on the Department	
		1.1. Consultation required in relation to the Song Cycle Path:	of Aboriginal Affairs Register. Under Section 17 of	
		1.1.1. The area for which consultation with Goolarabooloo is specifically required	the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972, it is an offense to	
		is the area of the Song Cycle Path. The significance and extent of the Song	alter a Site, unless approval to do so has been	
		Cycle Path, and the cultural authority of Goolarabooloo to speak in relation to	received from the Minister of Aboriginal Affairs.	
		it, has been extensively documented over the past 30 years and detailed in		
		various submissions to the Shire of Broome.	Council has previously resolved in November 2013 to	
		1.1.2. The extent of the area of the Song Cycle Path can be seen generally in the	write to the Department of Aboriginal Affairs to	
		map at Appendix 1. The Song Cycle Path encompasses the entirety of the area	express concern in relation to the registration and	
		subject to the proposed Local Planning Policy – Old Broome Development	protection of places of Aboriginal Heritage	
		Strategy.	significance under the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act</i> 1972.	
		1.1.3. It is disappointed to Goolarabooloo that the Shire has again failed to	Discussions over the administration of this Act are	
		mention the extent and significance of the Song Cycle Path, and its importance	ongoing and fall beyond the scope of the OBDS which	
		to Aboriginal people of the Dampier Peninsula and to the cultural heritage of	is principally designed as a land use policy.	
		the Shire of Broome, in the proposed Strategy and that no consultation has		
		been undertaken in relation to the Song Cycle Path within the Strategy area.		
		1.1.4. The acknowledgement and protection of the Song Cycle Path under the		
		Strategy would be in line with the object of the Local Planning Policy ' <i>To</i>		
		ensure that all land use and development within Precinct 2 – Old Broome:		
		Reveals, interprets and conserves Old Broome's historic character and heritage		
		laces'.		
		1.1.5. In relation to this submission it is noted again that the application of the		
		Aboriginal Heritage Act within Western Australia currently is such that the		
		protection offered to Aboriginal heritage objects and places is limited in the		
		first instance and temporary at best (because the administration of the Act		
		reveals that there is an almost absolute certainty that an application for		
		authorisation to damage or destroy the site under section 18 will be given).		
L				

а		RE: Old Broome Development Strategy. Incorp Town Beach Conti Foreshore	Car parking at Town Beach is discussed in the officer's	Support in part. As per
76	S. Griffiths 7 S	all planning policies developed under the Town Planning Scheme no 4 and similarly to any subsequent development, planning and policies and policies under the Local Planning Scheme No. 6. Sands St		
		These submissions in relation to the Old Broome development strategy and Policy, apply to all planning policies developed under the Town Planning Scheme no 4 and similarly to any		
		These principles of should be incorporated into the Old Broome Development Strategy and should apply equally to consultation with Goolarabooloo and other groups under the proposed Policy and generally to all consultation conducted by the Shire of Broome.		
		Strategy and Policy be conducted in accordance with the principles of Free, prior informed consent and be in line with international standards and obligation.		
е		Submission Three: That any consultation in relation to the development and implementation of the proposed	As per submissions 75(b) and 75(c) above.	As per submissions 75(b) and 75(c) above.
		 Further it is submitted that Goolarabooloo should be consulted, directly, in relation to any development proposal or planning matter that may impact (either directly or indirectly) on their cultural heritage rights and responsibilities within the area of the Song Cycle Path and that this requirement be incorporated into the proposed Strategy. 1.1. Consultation requirements: Any consultation under the Strategy, in relation to cultural heritage, should be conducted in line with international standards and obligations (see appendix 2) and based on the principles of Free Prior informed Consent (see appendix 3). This requires that any development, within Goolarabooloo Country and the area of the Song Cycle System, should only occur: with free, prior and informed consent of the Goolarabooloo Community and Law Bosses; and in a culturally and environmentally appropriate way (in accordance with the principles set out in Appendix 4) 		
u		It is submitted that the extent of significance of the Song Cycle Path should be incorporated into the proposed Strategy in order to protect the cultural heritage associated with this area.	As per submissions 75(b) and 75(c) above.	and 75(c) above.
d		1.1.6.It is therefore essential that the Shire of Broome make provision for the protection of Aboriginal cultural heritage places at the local level within local planning policies and strategies. This approach is also in line with the objects of the proposed Local Planning Policy – Old Broome Development Strategy (as noted at 2.3.3) and the stated mission and values of the Council itself. Submission Two:	As per submissions 75(b) and 75(c) above.	As per submissions 75 (b)
		It is of specific concern that the application of the Aboriginal Heritage Act is such that Song Cycles (or Song Lines), are unable to be protected under, even when an aboriginal heritage place has been mapped and identified, and its cultural heritage significance has been authoritatively established. This position under the <i>Aboriginal Heritage Act Western Australia</i> is of extreme and ongoing concern to Goolarabooloo.		

		response to submission 10 above.	submission 10 above.
	 Please give due consideration to these key points. Unfortunately I only returned to Broome yesterday and have not had opportunity to read proposal in full. 1. Recommend prime waterfront land is not used for trailer and vehicle parking (see12, 	With respect to the parking south of Catalina's, the Concept Plan is intended to serve as a non-statutory tool to identify potential project ideas and orientate	
	Catalinas 17) Promote walking and bicycle riding and recognise the area is already congested. Give priority to access parking in existing areas.	them spatially. It does not provide the level of detail of a true Master Plan and should not be used as such. The elements on the Concept Plan are indicative and will be subject to future investigations (including an assessment of feasibility) and detailed design.	
		It is recommended that the Catalina's boat parking be retained on the Concept Plan as current practice of boat ramp users parking on verges and on private property is not ideal. However it is noted that future investigations will need to consider the major drain which is located in the area.	
о 	 Higher density development means many more people in a small area. Where will these people recreate? POS is paramount for healthy living and long term planning 	The OBDS in Section 2.1.12 of Part 2 acknowledges that there is a shortfall of Public Open Space (POS) in Old Broome, as much of the area was subdivided before the requirement for mandatory provision of 10% POS at subdivision.	Note submission.
		However, whilst there are limited 'pocket parks' in the precinct, Town Beach and the Conti Foreshore are shown in the Shire's Local Planning Strategy as a District-level park. It is considered that this area	
		caters for the recreational needs of a large portion of Old Broome residents. The Concept Plan provides a variety of options for enhancing recreational facilities along the foreshore. Additionally, the northern portions of Old Broome are located within the walkable catchments for two other District level parks as shown on the LPS – Male Oval and Haynes Oval.	
	 At Town Beach a takeaway café is requested NOT a restaurant. Where is it to be relocated please? 	The text for Element 19 – Relocate the Town Beach Cafe in Section 5.2 of the OBDS states that the new cafe building 'will accommodate a café/restaurant and a kiosk to cater for both formal dining and casual refreshments.'	Note submission.
	 Support the rebuilding of the Old Jetty for community access NOT for commercial development and use a place to fish, stroll and ponder. 	As per submission 29(b) above.	Note submission. As pe submission 29(b) above
	 5. Redevelop Caravan Park as a REGIONAL PARK! Relocate caravan park else where. A regional park already – has shade, water, access etc DO THIS FOR BROOMES FUTURE (Think Centrall Park think Kings Park) 	The OBDS Concept Plan recommends that the Roebuck Bay Caravan Park will remain as a Caravan Park, and potentially be upgraded to enhance visitor experiences. It is not proposed to alter this recommendation.	Reject.
	 Skate Park is noisy and not consistent with quiet amenity or water based activity. Proposal is a poor use of prime POS. 	As per submission 1(a) above.	Support. As per submission 1(a) above.
3	7. Please use a better term it is not DEMCO Beach. Use of this term is incorrect.	Should advice be provided as to the appropriate name to use for this part of the coastline this will be incorporated into the maps and figures.	Note submission

h		8. Tram Line. While it sounds okay the reality is unless it suits a transport function (ie people moved to key places rather than driving) it is not supported. I assume it will be a tourist type of activity at cost – not public transport. Therefore it will detract from the family and community amenity and require large ugly areas to "store" trams etc. I do not support this initiative as it stands.	As per submission 11(b) above.	Note submission. As per submission 11(b) above.
i		9. I do not support any development on the bay side of the existing roads except a low key pathway, to reduce POS and amenity and to mitigate risks to property in vulnerable coastal areas.	There are two privately owned lots on the eastern side of Hamersley Street across from Bedford Park. One lot (601) is currently developed for residential use and the other (Lot 451) is vacant and has an existing development approval in place issued April 2014 which has not been actioned. These lots are zoned to allow development, however the OBDS stipulates that such development shall: <i>allow for a high level of general public access on the</i> <i>ground floor level and ensure vistas to Roebuck Bay</i> <i>are retained. Any building on this site should be</i> <i>sensitively designed, have a 'light' construction and</i> <i>address all four sides. The development should not be</i> <i>'privatised' nor dominate the location visually.</i> The Concept Plan identifies the potential for up to two buildings to be constructed along the Town Beach Foreshore – the relocated Town Beach Cafe and a 'Catalina Plane Hangar and Museum,' which is shown to the east of the museum. The exact location, size, and design of these buildings is yet to be determined. The officer's response to submission 15(a) above outlines the work that is being done as part of the Coastal Vulnerability Study (CVS) and why more foreshore development has not been recommended. The outcomes of the CVS may lead to amendments of the OBDS.	Note submission.
j		10. 'NIL SETBACKS' will change the overall feel and amenity of the TB area and Old Broome. It will lead to over development of a key cultural and heritage area. Reducing visual amenity restricting breezes and making a small peninsula feel "crowded".	Nil setbacks are only shown along the streets that are depicted as such on Figure 2 – Strategy Plan. These streets include Frederick Street, Hamersley Street north of Guy Street, and Robinson Street south of Guy Street. These streets already have an emerging Mixed Use character and accommodate a higher level of vehicle and pedestrian movement. Larger setbacks will be required on other streets in Old Broome and will be more fully articulated in the Old Broome Design Guidelines.	Reject.
77	L. Middleton			
а		Broome supports thousands of species of fish and wildlife, and they are crucial for the regional economy, culture and quality of life. Healthy coastal habitats also protect us from the effects of cyclones and flooding. However, coastal habitats and wildlife are under pressure from human activities such as development and pollution. Now, sea-level rise and other climate changes due to global warming will create even greater challenges for our coasts, foreshores and estuaries.	The importance of healthy coastal habitats is noted. Section 4.5.1 – Natural Resources and Environmental Management outlines the environmental significance of Roebuck Bay and recognises the ongoing threat of erosion on the coastal landscape. It discusses the Coastal Vulnerability Study (CVS) and potential impacts of sea level rise. The objectives / principles of	Reject.

We are faining an ecological crists that could see wholesale loss of wildlife populations and profound thruges in our outdoor way of lie. Management include: The planning department of the Broome Shire is in a unkue position to assist the community in understanding and adapting to the expected consequences of climate change in the proceeding on the could ensor the portential loss of currently inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands or the portential loss of current ly inhabited lands of these of the solution is an amail fragile and resolution. The status of the could lands of the portential loss of lands of these of the solution is a small fragile and resolution in the class. Hoursan are wired to respond stonges to threat situat are visible, imprecedent, drawn out, impacts us indirectly, and situated poperind change. Hoursan development change. Hoursan are wired to respond stonges to threat situat are visible, imprecedent ly has the botting out impact und are classed by an "energy". Climate change with change in the documents of the COS includes poperind change. Hoursan are wired to respond when avoid line coording resolution status with land other animals migrating with some going extinct. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's fifth Assessment Report that and other anima	
 community in understanding and adapting to the expected consequences of dimet shares. However, the GB frome Development Strategy has failed to address this use in any depth or incorporate the changes needed to address raining a levels, costal ension or potential loss of currently inhabited lands or the potential loss of critical infrastructure. What is the psychological mechanism that allows the Broome Shire to know something is true but act as if its inol? Even when the science is in why is its out or our small community, that is surrounded by ocean of three side and sits on a small fragile ending perinsula. Old firome Development Strategy has in all reality ignored it completely. Humans are wired to respond strongest to threat share visible, immediate, have historical precedent, have direct personal impact, and are caused by an "eneny". Climate of the CVS includes Policies such as the OBDS. Here sold the outcomes of the CVS includes Policies such as the OBDS. The outcomes of the CVS includes Policies such as the OBDS. The experimental to bring of the qualities that make us human: our limitations, our strengths. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate changer in the Assessment Report findings show we're already expending; more externe weather-related wens in Report findings show we're already expending; more externe weather-related wens and find and other animals migrating with some going extinct. Unelse we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our food and water supplies, critical infrastructure, security, health, economis goild coportate planning and development and work towards did dangers and exploit popriate planning and development and work towards did dangers and exploit comportate planning and development and work towards did dangers and exploit popriate planning and development and work towards did dangers and exploit popriate planning and development and work towards did dangers and exploit popriate pl	
What is the psychological mechanism that allows the Broome Shire to know something is true but at is fit is not? Yee when the science is in why is its offficult for planning officers to accept that climate change will and does pose a die problem for our small community, that is surrounded by ocean of three side and sits on a small fragile ending peninsula. Contrasting the science of the Sciene of the Science of the Science of the Scienc	community in understanding and adapting to the expected consequences of climate change.resources and environment in the precinct, particularly Roebuck Bay depth or incorporate the changes needed to address raising sea levels, coastal erosion or potential loss of currently inhabited lands or the potential loss of critical infrastructure.resources and environment in the precinct, particularly Roebuck Bay 2. To ensure that new development
perinsula. 1. Ensure the Shire's statutory from or effect the outcomes of the Coa Study. Humans are wired to respond strongest to threats that are visible, immediate, have historical precedent, have direct personal impact, and are caused by an "enemy". Climate change is one of these - is invisible, unprecedented, drawn out, impacts us indirectly, and is caused by us. The 'statutory from event to be uthouses of the COS includes proceeding its none of these. Until we understand what excites, threatens, and motivates us, we will not reimagine the full impact of climate change. In the end, climate change really has the potential to bring out the qualities that make us human: our limitations, our strengths. The 'statutory from evert's to be u the outcomes of the CVS are known. Intergovermental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report finding show we're alice mething and collapsing: more extreme weather-related events like droughts and floods; dring coris; stressed weater supplies; fringi, increasingly acidic oceans and fish and other animals migrating with some going extinct. Unless we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our flood and water supplies; rictical infrastructure, security, health, economies and communities will face ever-escalating risks, leading to increased human displacement, migration, and conflict. The Shire and the Broome community can not alford to do little or nothing of keep on pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual - all of which are not a veloanary and ster away from inappropriate planning and development and work towards building resultence on local levels. The serth's chading climate is forcinge reconsideration of strategies for conser	What is the psychological mechanism that allows the Broome Shire to know something is true but act as if it is not? Even when the science is in why is it so difficult for planningcoastal vulnerability and climate of coastal vulnerability and climate of of the science is in why is it so difficult for planning
Humans are wired to respond strongest to threats that are visible, immediate, have have historical precedent, have direct personal impact, and are caused by an "enemy". Climate change is none of these - its invisible, unprecedented, drawn out, impacts us indirectly, and is caused by us. Until we understand what excites, threatens, and motivates us, we will not reimagine the full impact of climate change. In the end, climate change really has the potential to bring out the results of the CVS are known. Until we understand what excites, threatens, and motivates us, we will not reimagine the full impact of climate change. In the end, climate change really has the potential to bring out the results of the CVS are known. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report findings show we're already experiencing the ever-increasing inpacts of global warming: ice caps and Arctic sea ice metting and collapsing; more extreme weather-related events like droughts and floods; dying corals; stressed water supplies; rising, increasingly acidic oceans and fish and other animals migrating with some going extinct. Unless we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our food and water supplies, critical infrastructure, security, health, economies and communities is foreight, the capsity to low had head to avoid dangers and exploit oportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and steer away from inapproprinte planing and development and work towards building resilice on local levels. The Shire and the Broome community can out afford to ourderstand where for our community le	peninsula. 1. Ensure the Shire's statutory framework of the statutory framework of
 historical precedent, have direct personal impact, and are caused by an "enemy". Climate change is none of these – its invisible, unprecedented, drawn out, impacts us indirectly, and is caused by us. Until we understand what excites, threatens, and motivates us, we will not reimagine the full impact of climate change. In the end, climate change really has the potential to bring out the qualities that make us human: our limitations, our strengths. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report findings show we're already experiencing the ever-increasing impacts of global warming: ice caps and Arctic sea ice melting and collapsing; more extreme weather-related events like droughts and floods; dying corals; stressed water supplies; rising, increasingly acidic coerans and fish and other animals migrating with some going extinct. Unless we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our food and water supplies, critical infrastructure, security, health, economics and communities will face ever-escalating risks, leading to increased human displacement, migration, and conflict. Unless we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our food and water supplies, critical infrastructure, security, health, economics and communities will face ever-escalating risks, leading to increased human displacement, migration, and conflict. The Shire and the Broome community can not afford to do little or nothing of keep on pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual – all of which are not a viable options. One of our main human unique abilities is foresight, the capacity to look haed to avoid dangers and exploit oportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and stere away from inappropriate planning and development and work towards building resilience on local levels. The earth's changing climate is forcing reconsideration of strategies f	Old Broome Development Strategy has in all reality ignored it completely. Study.
Until we understand what excites, threatens, and motivates us, we will not reimagine the full impact of climate change. In the end, climate change really has the potential to bring out the qualities that make us human: our limitations, our strengths. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report findings show we're already experiencing the ever-increasing impacts of global warming: lee caps and Arctic sea ice melting and collapsing: more extreme weather-related events like droughts and floods; dying corals; stressed water supplies; rising, increasingly acidic oceans and fish and other animals migrating with some going extinct. Unless we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our food and water supplies, critical infrastructure, security, health, economies and communities will face ever-escalating risks, leading to increased human displacement, migration, and conflict. The Shire and the Broome community can to affort to do little or nothing of keep on pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual – all of which are not a viable options. One of our main human unique abilities is foresight, the capacity to look ahead to avoid dangers and exploit opportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and steer away from inappropriate planning and development and work towards building resilience on local levels. The earth's changing climate is forcing reconsideration of strategies for conserving natural resources and urban planning. Planners need to understand where and when the resources they manage might be vulnerable to climate change. They also need a better understanding of the factors that contribute to that ulterability. This knowledge is essential to determine which planning management actions are realistically sustainable and successful in long term economics.	historical precedent, have direct personal impact, and are caused by an "enemy". Climate change is none of these – its invisible, unprecedented, drawn out, impacts us indirectly, and is caused by us.the outcomes of the CVS includes Policies such as the OBDS. If nece recommendations in the OBDS with
already experiencing the ever-increasing impacts of global warming: ice caps and Arctic sea ice melting and collapsing; more extreme weather-related events like droughts and floods; dying corals; stressed water supplies; rising, increasingly acidic oceans and fish and other animals migrating with some going extinct. Unless we, as a community act quickly, our coastal foreshore, our food and water supplies, critical infrastructure, security, health, economies and communities will face ever-escalating risks, leading to increased human displacement, migration, and conflict. The Shire and the Broome community can not afford to do little or nothing of keep on pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual – all of which are not a viable options. One of our main human unique abilities is foresight, the capacity to look ahead to avoid dangers and exploit opportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and steer away from inappropriate planning and development and work towards building resilience on local levels. The earth's changing climate is forcing reconsideration of strategies for conserving natural resources and urban planning. Planners need to understand where and when the resources they manage might be vulnerable to climate change. They also need a better understanding of the factors that contribute to that vulnerability. This knowledge is essential to determine which planning management actions are realistically sustainable and successful in long term economics.	Until we understand what excites, threatens, and motivates us, we will not reimagine the full impact of climate change. In the end, climate change really has the potential to bring out
 critical infrastructure, security, health, economies and communities will face ever-escalating risks, leading to increased human displacement, migration, and conflict. The Shire and the Broome community can not afford to do little or nothing of keep on pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual – all of which are not a viable options. One of our main human unique abilities is foresight, the capacity to look ahead to avoid dangers and exploit opportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and steer away from inappropriate planning and development and work towards building resilience on local levels. The earth's changing climate is forcing reconsideration of strategies for conserving natural resources and urban planning. Planners need to understand where and when the resources they manage might be vulnerable to climate change. They also need a better understanding of the factors that contribute to that vulnerability. This knowledge is essential to determine which planning management actions are realistically sustainable and successful in long term economics. 	already experiencing the ever-increasing impacts of global warming: ice caps and Arctic sea ice melting and collapsing; more extreme weather-related events like droughts and floods; dying corals; stressed water supplies; rising, increasingly acidic oceans and fish and other
 pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual – all of which are not a viable options. One of our main human unique abilities is foresight, the capacity to look ahead to avoid dangers and exploit opportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and steer away from inappropriate planning and development and work towards building resilience on local levels. The earth's changing climate is forcing reconsideration of strategies for conserving natural resources and urban planning. Planners need to understand where and when the resources they manage might be vulnerable to climate change. They also need a better understanding of the factors that contribute to that vulnerability. This knowledge is essential to determine which planning management actions are realistically sustainable and successful in long term economics. 	critical infrastructure, security, health, economies and communities will face ever-escalating
resources and urban planning. Planners need to understand where and when the resources they manage might be vulnerable to climate change. They also need a better understanding of the factors that contribute to that vulnerability. This knowledge is essential to determine which planning management actions are realistically sustainable and successful in long term economics.	pretending its not real, or ignore it long enough it will go away or carry on "business as usual – all of which are not a viable options. One of our main human unique abilities is foresight, the capacity to look ahead to avoid dangers and exploit opportunity. It's time for our community leaders to be visionary and steer away from inappropriate planning and
Roebuck Bay foreshore and some adjacent inland areas are already under threat as a result	resources and urban planning. Planners need to understand where and when the resources they manage might be vulnerable to climate change. They also need a better understanding of the factors that contribute to that vulnerability. This knowledge is essential to determine which planning management actions are realistically sustainable and successful in long term
	Roebuck Bay foreshore and some adjacent inland areas are already under threat as a result

ance the natural be Old Broome ay. ent is 'future proofed' ficial impacts of e change. e objectives include: amework is updated coastal Vulnerability e updated to reflect es Local Planning cessary, will be amended once n.	s and Environmental
ntial impacts of e change. e objectives include: ramework is updated oastal Vulnerability e updated to reflect es Local Planning cessary, will be amended once	ne Old Broome
ramework is updated oastal Vulnerability e updated to reflect es Local Planning cessary, will be amended once	ntial impacts of
oastal Vulnerability e updated to reflect es Local Planning cessary, will be amended once	objectives include:
es Local Planning cessary, will be amended once	-
	es Local Planning cessary, will be amended once

	of erosion and occasional inundation during high tides and/or storm surges.	
b	 Vulnerability Study information provides the baseline for developing scientifically grounded strategies fro climate change adaption. It also provides planning decisions makers with the information to determine which adaptation options might have a higher probability of maintaining ecosystem and community resilience. Assessment of climate change vulnerability for ecosystems and habitats should be used to directly inform key conservation/resource management and planning. The Shire of Broome should be congratulated for undertaking a Coastal Vulnerability Study, however this is only the first step in the process. Once this study has been completed we then need to development an Adaptation Plan. Releasing the Old Broome Development Strategy before the completion of the Coastal Vulnerability Study has basically put the cart before the horse. Until we have a clear understanding of our local vulnerabilities associated with raising sea levels and other expected climate impacts on our community, a planning document of this nature should be in enclipted we have a clear planning of our local vulnerabilities planning sea levels and other expected climate impacts on our community, a planning document of this nature should be in enclipted we have a clear planning of our local vulnerabilities planning sea levels and other expected climate impacts on our community. 	As per above. Once the outcomes available, the Shire will be able to adaptation planning using the bas result in amendments to the OBD planning documents. The Old Broome Development Str provide additional guidance on th of the Shire's endorsed Local Plan shows the entirety of the Old Bro Use.' The Shire considers the OBD important document to ensure th of new 'Mixed Use' development coordinated, rather than ad-hoc, guidance will be needed as soon a Strategy comes into force and the
	in all reality be an Adaptation Plan.	was made to progress the OBDS p finalisation of the CVS.
С	 The climate-change vulnerabilities results from this study should be released to the community in order to review, refine and use in identifying community adaptation strategies that would be appropriate, achievable and over the coming decades. The Shire planners have not made the link between climate change vulnerability assessment and adaptation strategies at al within the Old Broome Development Strategy. 	The CVS will be made publicly ava It is anticipated that a Local Plann potentially a Scheme Amendmen incorporate the results into the S framework. Local Planning Policie Amendments require a period of It is difficult to envisage what ada strategies will be appropriate in t modelling data.
d	Paleoecology has shown that past episodes of climate change triggered ecosystem change at regional and local scales with varying speed and intensity.	Noted.
	As the current rate of global change increases, the Broome community can expect profound shifts in key ecological processes to cascade through natural systems, resulting in altered productivity, changes to species composition, local extinctions, and many instances of ecological degradations or collapse. The Broome Community is scarcely prepared for these changes and this. While the modern scientific study of ecosystems dates back over a century, we do not sufficiently understand	
	the many linkages between key climate variables and ecosystem dynamics across diverse landscapes.	
	Nor do we fully understand the effects of other stressors, such as those tied to land use, that have already reduced the resiliency of many natural ecosystems.	
	One certain conclusion that we can draw from our experience is that ecosystems will not simply 'move' as climate changes, but will instead transform in unprecedented ways because of the controlling link between climate and many ecosystem processes.	
	In any given place, we need to better understand and assess the relative vulnerability of ecosystems, natural communities, and habitats to the specific climate-induced stressors that	

es of the CVS are to commence aseline data. This may DS and other Shire Strategy is needed to	Reject.
the recommendations anning Strategy, which roome area as 'Mixed 3DS to be an that the introduction at occurs in a c, manner. This as the Local Planning herefore the decision 5 prior to the	
vailable once finalised. nning Policy, and nt, will be needed to Shire's planning ies and Scheme of public advertising. daptation planning	Note submission.
the absence of the	
	Note submission.

		a re most likely to occur here. We also need to integrate this assessment with knowledge of other existing stressors, such as land & water use change, non-native species invasions, and pollution effects. An integrated assessment will be needed to directly inform investments in adaptation strategies by all stakeholders.	
		The task then, is to develop tools that build on our current understanding of ecosystem processes, structure, and composition so that we can begin to evaluate possible vulnerabilities in a transparent way.	
		Transparency is absolutely essential because it allows for measuring key inputs and outputs, documenting uncertainty, and revising assessments as new information becomes available.	
		 In sum, the challenges for the Broome Shire Council in the coming decades are: To develop transparent, scientifically grounded forecasts of ecosystem characteristics that may enhance or inhibit their transformation under anticipated climate regimes. To clarify conservation strategies that strengthen ecosystem resilience and minimize the potential for ecological degradation or collapse through a loss of ecological integrity and infrastructure; To facilitate the natural transformation of ecosystems in ways that maximise retention of biodiversity and food-web dynamics, and; 	
e		 To identify Adaptation Action that has the greatest probability of success. Stormwater drainage Preparing a comprehensive drainage strategy for Old Broome should be foremost in our planning prioritises. Imposing a whole new set of accumulative impacts on our already existing stormwater issues will only exacerbate the current glitches. Stormwater drainage into Roebuck Bay is a concern, as some outlets do not have any compensation or nutrient stripping. Early indications from water quality monitoring suggest that these drains contain higher than normal nutrient loads which can lead to adverse environmental impacts such a Lyngbya algal blooms. I support the preparation of a comprehensive drainage strategy for Old Broome. However, I am afraid that this is purely rhetoric and I strongly believe there is no real intention, interest or motivation to address this issue seriously. 	Action 3 of Section 4.5.4 (Natural Environmental Management) of t 3. Prepare a comprehensive drain Broome to determine the most ap achieve site drainage on a street-l basis that does not result in requir excessive amounts of fill or in sign differences between adjacent prop As discussed in the officer's respo 14(e) above, once adopted the OE Informing Strategy under the Shir Planning Framework. This means guide future revisions to the Shire Business Plan, Long Term Financia annual budget. Projects in the OB to the relevant Shire departments responsibility of these department best way to carry them forward. Sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.4 also conta
			stormwater management in Old E completion of the Drainage Strate
			4.5.3 Strategies / Policy
			2. Ensure that new drainage infra

al Resource and the OBDS is:	Support. No modifications required.
inage strategy for Old appropriate ways to t-block by street-block uirements for gnificant height coperties.	
oonse to submission DBDS will become an ire's Integrated s that it will used to re's Corporate cial Plan and the DBDS will be allocated its and it will be the ents to determine the	
ntain additional o improving Broome prior to the tegy:	
astructure is	

r				
				 consistent with Better Urban Wat principles and the Shire's Stormw Policy. 4.5.4 Actions 1. Investigate retrofitting existing Broome to reduce discharge of nu Roebuck Bay. 2. Investigate opportunities for the stormwater in existing landscape Open Space, such as in portions of
	f		Given the high-density housing purposed for Old Broome, words are on things but actions signify true intentions. The newly developed blocks on Guys street where houses are clustered together and surrounded with concrete leaving no soakage areas. These few new developments have just increased the flow and volume of storm water down Guy street and directly into Roebuck Bay. Additional car parks and more high-density development will increase the escalation, concentration and accumulation of the amount of unrestrained noxious storm water entering Roebuck Bay.	The officer's response to submiss outlines strategies and actions in improve stormwater managemer The provision of 'high density' ho submission 16(c) above, and the are discussed in submission 64(d)
			Broome's township once had good stormwater drainage. Water would spread our across the landscape evenly, enabling it to soak back into the ground. The concrete curbing undertaken in the 90s around town has effectively turned the streets into the local drainage system and Roebuck Bay into a compensation basin. Until the existing drainage issues and the polluting of Roebuck Bay is genuinely tackled no new infrastructure should be approved. Otherwise, we will just continue to produce effects that will eventually kill the Bay.	
			It is in all our interest to address the storm water drainage issue foremost because the costs associated with trying to reify this will only increase over time. The social, environmental and economic impacts will also be cumulative. We also must remain mindful that outgoing stormwater drains also act as avenues for saltwater intrusion. Reducing discharge of nutrient loads into Roebuck Bay can only be achieved through community education. Bedford Park is already being used as a nature place for the retention of stormwater to the point of saturation in the most moderate rain events.	
	g		The only proven, effective, economic and ecological appropriate way to address the erosion issue and increase the protection of vulnerable locations along the length of the foreshore is to plant mangroves. Growing and strengthening mangroves doesn't stop the sea level rise, but it does stop erosion. Communities with mangroves as a barrier to the sea are much less impacted by storms and cyclones. Constructing tiers into pindan cliffs is the most unwise and inappropriate concept to prevent erosion of the pindan cliffs on the north side of the old jetty. Firstly, the construction of the tiers will in fact contribute to the loss of very foreshore they seek to protect. The purpose building of tiers will effectively act as a cascading waterfall in the wet and will also be undermined by wave action making it susceptible to collapse.	Planting mangroves as a coastal of considered as part of a future add the CVS outcomes. Element 28 of the Concept Plan for Stability and Revetment' and mail to the eroded pindan cliffs at Tow 28 recommends erosion protection measures to be implemented at w along the length of the foreshore revetment to help prevent furthe

ater Management	
water Management	
ng drains in Old	
nutrient loads into	
the retention of	
ed areas of Public	
of Bedford Park. ssion 69(e) above	Note submission.
n the OBDS to	
ent in Old Broome.	
ousing is discussed in	
e impacts of kerbing	
d) above.	
defence may be	Note submission.
daptive response to	
focuses on 'Coastline	
akes specific reference	
own Beach. Element	
tion and control	
vulnerable locations	
e, including a	
ner erosion of the	

		pindan cliffs. The Shire has already prepared a plan	
	PLANT MANGROVES!	(Town Beach Revetment Strategy / Plan) and	
		undertaken detailed design to construct the	
		revetment, and is currently sourcing funding to carry	
		out the works. The amphitheatre is secondary to the	
		primary purpose of limiting erosion.	